ATTACHMENT 8

		1
1	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRI	CT OF PENNSYLVANIA
3		
)
4	IN RE: PROCESSED EGG)
	PRODUCTS ANTITRUST)
5	LITIGATION)
)MDL No. 2002
6)08-MD-0200
	THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO:)
7	ALL ACTIONS)
)
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	HIGHLY CONF	IDENTIAL
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION	OF DONALD L. BELL
15	Riverside, C	California
16	Tuesday, Augu	ıst 20, 2013
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Reported by:	
22	DENISE BARDSLEY	
23	CSR No. 11241	
24		
25		

```
2
                                                                                                          4
 1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                        1
                                                            APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
         FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
                                                        2
 3
                                                        3
                                                            For The Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs:
                                                        4
                                                               MILBERG LLP
     IN RE: PROCESSED EGG
 4
                               )
                                                        5
                                                                One Pennsylvania Plaza
     PRODUCTS ANTITRUST
                                )
     LITIGATION
                                                        6
                                                               New York, New York 10119
                                   )MDL No. 2002
                                                        7
                                                                (646) 733-5727
 6
                      )08-MD-0200
                                                        8
                                                                (No appearance.)
     THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO: )
                                                        9
 7
     ALL ACTIONS
                           )
                                                       10
                                                            For Indirect Action Plaintiffs:
 8
                                                       11
                                                               LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN & JACOBSON LLP
 9
                                                       12
                                                                BY: MERRICK SCOTT RAYLE
10
                                                       13
                                                               Attorney at Law
11
                                                       14
                                                                61 Broadway, Suite 501
12
          Videotaped deposition of DONALD L. BELL,
13
                                                       15
                                                               New York, New York 10006
     taken on behalf of The Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs,
14
     at 3500 Market Street, Riverside, California,
                                                       16
                                                                (212) 608-1900
15
     beginning at 10:01 a.m. and ending at 1:16 p.m.
                                                       17
                                                                msrayle@sbcglobal.net
16
     on Tuesday, August 20, 2013, before DENISE
                                                       18
17
     BARDSLEY, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 11241.
                                                       19
                                                            For Weaver Brothers:
18
                                                       20
19
                                                               DISNMORE & SHOHL LLP
20
                                                       21
                                                                1100 Courthouse Plaza SW
21
                                                       22
                                                                10 North Ludlow Street
22
                                                       23
                                                               Dayton, Ohio 45402
23
                                                       24
                                                                (937) 463-4928
24
                                                       25
25
                                                                (No appearance.)
                                                   3
                                                                                                         5
 1
     APPEARANCES:
                                                        1
                                                            APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
                                                        2
 3
     For The Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs:
                                                        3
                                                            For the Deponent:
 4
        QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
                                                        4
                                                                UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 5
        BY: STEIG D. OLSON
                                                        5
                                                                BY: MICHAEL R. GOLDSTEIN
 6
                                                        6
        Attorney at Law
                                                                Attorney at Law
 7
                                                        7
        51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
                                                                1111 Franklin Street, 8th Floor
 8
        New York, New York 10010
                                                        8
                                                                Oakland, California 94607
 9
                                                        9
        (212) 849-7152
                                                                (510) 987-9895
10
        steigolson@quinnemanuel.com
                                                      10
                                                                michael.goldstein@ucop.edu
11
                                                      11
12
     For The Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs:
                                                      12
                                                            For Midwest Poultry Services:
13
                                                      13
        HAUSFELD, LLP
                                                                FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS
14
        BY: JAMES J. PIZZIRUSSO
                                                      14
                                                                BY: KATHY L. OSBORN
15
                                                      15
        Attorney at Law
                                                                Attorney at Law
16
        1604 Locust Street, 2nd Floor
                                                      16
                                                                300 North Meridian Street, Suite 2700
17
                                                      17
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
                                                                Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-1750
18
                                                      18
        (215) 985-3270
                                                                (317) 237-1144
19
                                                      19
                                                                kathy.osborn@faegrebd.com
        jpizzirusso@hausfeldllp.com
20
                                                       20
                                                                (Telephonic appearance.)
21
                                                      21
22
                                                      22
23
                                                      23
24
                                                      24
25
                                                      25
```

	6		8
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUEU):	2	APPEARANCES (COMMINGE):
3	For RW Sauder, Inc.:	3	For Rose Acre Farms:
4	DECHERT LLP	4	PORTER, WRIGHT, MORRIS & ARTHUR
5	2929 Arch Street	5	BY: KARRI ALLEN
6	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104	6	Attorney at Law
7	(215) 994-2421	7	1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 500
8	(No appearance.)	8	Washington, D.C. 20006
9		9	(202) 778-3056
10	For United Egg Producers and the United States Egg	10 11	kallen@porterwright.com
11 12	Marketers: PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP	12	(Telephonic appearance.)
13	BY: WHITNEY REDDING	13	For Nu-Cal Foods, Inc.:
14	Attorney at Law	14	KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN, LLP
15	3000 Two Logan Square	15	BY: JASON S. TAKENOUCHI
16	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	16	Attorney at Law
17	(215) 981-4714	17	101 California Street
18	reddingw@pepperlaw.com	18	San Francisco, California 94111
19	(Telephonic appearance.)	19	(415) 655-4335
20		20	jtakenouchi@kasowitz.com
21 22		21 22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	7		9
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2		2	
3	For Giant Eagle, Inc.:	3 4	For Hillandale Farms, Inc., Hillandale Farms East,
4 5	MARCUS & SHAPIRA LLP One Oxford Center, 35th Floor	5	Inc., Hillandale Gettysburg LP and Hillandale Farms of Pa., Inc.:
6	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	6	BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY
7	(412) 338-3344	7	Two Liberty Place
8	(No appearance.)	8	50 South 16th Street, Suite 3200
9		9	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102
10	For Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.:	10	(215) 665-3884
11	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	11	(No appearance.)
12 13	2100 McKinney Avenue	12 13	For the Direct Action Plaintiffs:
14	Dallas, Texas 75201-6912 (214) 698-3279	14	JENNER & BLOCK
15	(No appearance.)	15	BY: STEPHEN R. BROWN
16	(> -FF	16	BY: RICHARD CAMPBELL (Telephonic appearance)
17	For Sparboe Farms, Inc.:	17	Attorneys at Law
18	BRIGGS AND MORGAN	18	353 North Clark Street, Suite 4500
19	2200 IDS Center	19	Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456
20	80 South 8th Street	20 21	(312) 840-7282
21 22	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 (612) 977-8415	22	stephenbrown@jenner.com richardcampbell@jenner.com
23	(No appearance.)	23	Hondradampbone jornior.com
24	(110 appointmos.)	24	
25		25	

	10		12
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	1	INDEX
2		2	WITNESS EXAMINATION
3	For Michael Foods:	3	DONALD L. BELL
4	LEONARD, STREET & DEINARD	4	
5	BY: PETER J. SCHWINGLER	5	
6	Attorney at Law	6	BY MR. OLSON 19
7 8	150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2300	7 8	
9	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 612 335-7023	9	
10	peterschwingler@leonard.com	10	EXHIBITS
11	(Telephonic appearance.)	11	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
12	(respire the appearance)	12	Exhibit 1 E-mail from Gene Gregory to 22
13	For Moark LLC and Norco Ranch, Inc.	13	Jeff Armstrong, et al., 4/13/09
14	EIMER STAHL, LLP	14	Bates No. SF003886
15	BY: TRAVIS KENNEDY	15	
16	Attorney at Law	16	Exhibit 2 Managing the Nation's Laying 51
17	224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1300	17 18	Flock 1992 by Don Bell
18 19	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 660-7604	19	Bates Nos. BELL-D-00031284 to -295
20	tkennedy@eimerstahl.com	20	Exhibit 3 UEP United Voices, 2/22/99 70
21	(Telephonic appearance.)	21	Bates Nos. UE0064537 to -539
22	(Telephorne appearance.)	22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	11		13
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	1	INDEX (Continued):
2	5 111 5 1 5	2	EVUIDITO
3 4	For Winn-Dixie, Inc., Roundy's Supermarkets, Inc.,	3 4	EXHIBITS EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
5	C&S Wholesaler Grocers, Inc., and H.J. Heinz Company, L.P.:	5	Exhibit 4 Cooperative Extension, University 83
6	BAKER & McKENZIE LLP	6	of California, Egg Economics
7	300 East Randolph Street	7	Update, No. 154, 4/15/94
8	Chicago, Illinois 60601	8	Bates Nos. KRGEG00020640 to -43
9	(312) 861-3735	9	
10	(No appearance.)	10	Exhibit 5 UEP Fax to Don Bell and Lee 85
11 12	For Ohio Fresh Fresh	11 12	Schrader from Gene W. Gregory,
13	For Ohio Fresh Eggs: KEATING MUETHING & KLEKAMP PLL	13	7/1/99 Bates Nos. BEL-D-00026787 to -788
14	BY: JOSEPH M. CALLOW, JR.	14	Dates NOS. BEL-D-00020767 to -766
15	Attorney at Law	15	Exhibit 6 Cooperative Extension, University 92
16	One East Fourth Street, Suite 1400	16	of California letter to Al Pope
17	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3752	17	from Donald Bell, 3/17/95
18	(513) 579-6400	18	Bates No. BEL-D-0032755
19	jcallow@kmklaw.com	19	
20	(Telephonic appearance.)	20	Exhibit 7 Cooperative Extension, University 93
21 22	Vidoographor	21 22	of California letter to Gene
23	Videographer: SCOTT SLATER, VERITEXT	23	Gregory from Don Bell, 7/2/99 Bates Nos. BELL007698 to -709
24	SSOTT SETTER, VERTERT	24	Dates 1103. DELEGO/0/0 to -707
25		25	

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	16
14	
1 INDEX (Continued): 1 Riverside, California, Tuesday, 2 10:01 a.m.	, August 20, 2013
3 EXHIBITS 3 4 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: G	ood marning
5 Exhibit 8 UEP United Egg Voices, 8/2/99 104 5 We are on the record at	J J
6 Bates Nos. UE0064456 to -459 6 August 20th, 2013. This is the	
7 deposition of Mr. Donald Bell. 1	
8 Exhibit 9 E-mail to Animal Welfare 108 8 Slater, here with our court repo	-
9 Committee from Don Bell, 12/22/99 9 Bardsley. We are here from Ve	
10 Bates Nos. BELL004041 to -42 10 at the request of counsel for dir	- II
11 plaintiffs. This deposition is bei	•
12 Exhibit 10 United States Egg Marketers, 114 12 Market Street in the city of Rive	- 1
13 Inc. Letter to Don Bell from 13 92501.	
14 Jerry Faulkner 14 The caption of this case i	is In Re:
15 Bates Nos. BELL004353 to -354 15 Processed Egg Products Antitru	st Litigation, Case
16 No. 2002 08-MD-0200.	
17 Exhibit 11 Recommendations for UEP Animal 116 17 Please note that audio ar	- 1
18 Welfare Guidelines Submitted by 18 will take place unless all parties	
19 a Scientific Advisory Committee 19 the record. The microphones a	- 11
20 on Animal Welfare, 9/2000 20 pick up whispers, private conve	ersations or cellular
21 Bates Nos. UE0208684 to -703 21 interference.	
22 I am not authorized to ac 23 I am not related to any party in	•
23 I am not related to any party in 24 I financially interested in the ou	
25 May I please have an agr	11
15	17
15	1'
1 INDEX (Continued): 1 parties that we may proceed.	
2 MR. OLSON: Yes.	
3 EXHIBITS 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: AG	greed?
4 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. 5 Exhibit 12 E-mail from Gene Gregory to 132 5 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Yes.	
5 Exhibit 12 E-mail from Gene Gregory to 132 5 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Yes. 6 Don Bell, 12/12/00 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The	ank you
7 Bates Nos. UE0840403 to -404 7 At this time will counsel a	•
8 please identify themselves for the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9 Exhibit 13 Economic Consultant Agreement 133 9 MR. OLSON: Why don't v	
10 with Donald Bell 10 people on the phone. Can you	
11 Bates Nos. UE0790640, UE0790641, 11 yourselves?	
12 UE0790542 12 MR. CAMPBELL: Richard	Campbell, Jenner &
13 Block, for the Kraft plaintiffs.	
14 Exhibit 14 Cooperative Extension, University 135 14 MS. REDDING: Whitney I	J, 11
15 of California, An Egg Economics 15 Hamilton, for United Egg Produc	cers and United States
16 Update, 12/31/00 16 Egg Marketers.	
17 Bates Nos. BELL-D-000028020 to -031 17 MR. SCHWINGLER: Peter	- II
18 Leonard, Street & Deinard, for M	
19 Exhibit 15 Don Bell's Table Egg Layer 144 19 MR. OLSON: Anyone else 20 Flock Projections and Economic 20 MR. KENNEDY: Travis Ke	•
20 Flock Projections and Economic 20 MR. KENNEDY: Travis Ke 21 Commentary - 2002, 4/15/02 21 Stahl for Moart, LLC, and Norco	- 1
22 Bates Nos. BELL002774 to -777 22 MS. ALLEN: Kari Allen fro	
23 Morris & Arthur on behalf of Ros	
24 MR. CALLOW: Joe Callow	
25 Eggs.	

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	18		20
1	MR. OLSON: Anyone else?	1	with the United States Department of Agriculture.
2	MS. OSBORN: Kathy Osborn for Midwest	2	We had one here in Riverside, I can't remember what
3	Poultry Services.	3	the details of that one were, but that was a two-day
4	MR. OLSON: Anyone else?	4	hearing of some kind. Maybe less than six.
5	All right. This is Steig Olson from Quinn	5	Q Okay. Well, let me go over just a couple
6	Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan for the direct purchaser	6	ground rules, since it's been awhile.
7	plaintiffs.	7	First of all, at any time today if you need
8	MR. PIZZIRUSSO: James Pizzirusso, Hausfeld	8	to take a break for any reason, don't hesitate to
9	LLP, for the direct purchaser plaintiffs.	9	let us know. Understood?
10	MR. BROWN: Stephen Brown from Jenner &	10	A Uh-huh.
11	Block for Direct Action Plaintiffs, Kraft, Kellogg,	11	Q I'm going to do my best to ask clear
12	General Mills and Nestle.	12	questions today. If I ask a question that's unclear
13	MR. RAYLE: Merrick Rayle, Lovell, Stewart,	13	to you, I'd ask that you stop me and ask for a
14	Halebian & Jacobson, for the indirect plaintiffs.	14	clarification.
15	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Jason Takenouchi,	15	Is that fair?
16	Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman, for Defendant	16	A Uh-huh. That's fine.
17	Nu-Cal Foods.	17	MR. GODLSTEIN: Before we go on, was that
18	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Michael Goldstein from the	18	uh-huh a yes or no?
19	Regents of the University of California. I'm	19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	counsel for Mr. Bell.	20	MR. GODLSTEIN: Thank you.
21	And with us here today is Kristen Erving, a	21	BY MR. OLSON:
22	paralegal.	22	Q If you don't ask for a clarification, I'll
23	THE WITNESS: Donald Bell.	23	assume you understood the question.
24	MR. OLSON: You don't need to do that yet.	24	Is that fair?
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you very much.	25	A Yes.
	19		21
1	Will the court reporter please administer	1	Q You're doing a great job of this, but just
2	the oath.	2	to reiterate the point, because we have a court
3		3	reporter today, we have to do our best not to speak
4	DONALD L. BELL,	4	over each other. And in answering questions, please
5	having been administered an oath, was examined and	5	answer verbally, and yes or no as opposed to
6	testified as follows:	6	gestures.
7		7	Fair enough?
8	EXAMINATION	8	A Yes.
9	BY MR. OLSON:	9	Q All right. Now, how are you feeling today,
10	Q Good morning, Mr. Bell.	10	sir?
11	A Good morning.	11	A I'm feeling about normal for me, a little
12	Q Could you please state your full name and	12	backache, but that's okay.
13 14	current address for the record.	13 14	Q Okay. And, as I said, if you need to
15	A Donald D. Bell, B-e-I-I. 5918 Intervale, I-n-t-e-r-v-a-I-e, Drive, Riverside, California	15	stretch your back or anything, let us know. Is there anything today about your health
16	92506.	16	or otherwise that would stop you from being able to
17	Q Thank you.	17	answer my questions truthfully to the best of your
18	Have you ever been deposed before?	18	knowledge?
19	A Yes.	19	A Not to the best of my knowledge.
20	Q When was the last time you were deposed?	20	Q And if you ever start to tire and your mind
21	A Oh, maybe 15 years ago.	21	is feeling fuzzy or anything, again, I'd ask that
22	Q What type of case was it?	22	you stop and let us know.
23	A Let's see, several different types of	23	Will you do that?
24	cases. I think we've had individual lawsuits about	24	A Yes.
25	the feeding of any flocks, about the indemnification	25	Q Thank you.

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

22 24 1 Are you employed today? 1 A The answer is yes. 2 A No. I'm retired. 2 Q Okay. After that date of July 1, 2009, 3 3 Q How long have you been retired? have you done any work or consulting in the egg 4 4 A Since 2001. industry that you were paid for? 5 Q When was the last time that you received 5 A No. 6 6 any compensation for any type of job or consulting Q Now, you referred to retiring in 2001. 7 7 project involving the egg industry? What did you retire from in 2001? 8 8 A There's correspondence to that effect when A Well, my position was University of 9 9 I gave the United Egg Producers a wish to terminate California poultry specialist statewide. Now my 10 10 my relationship because of my age and lack of close title is emeritus in that same title. 11 contact with the industry. That might have been --11 Q Okay. So after 2001 you became emeritus? 12 12 I'd hate to give it to you, because it is in A Yes. 13 13 correspondence. Q And what is a poultry specialist? 14 Q Okay. And so I think I know what you're 14 A A poultry specialist is one of the staff of 15 referring to, so why don't we just introduce the 15 the state land grant institution in the cooperative 16 document that goes to that. 16 extension, not university extension, but cooperative 17 From time to time today I'll hand you 17 extension. 18 documents. Sometimes I'll -- it will be important 18 This organization is part of the role of 19 19 for you to look at the whole document, other times the university to teach, research and extend 20 20 information. maybe just portions. But if you ever think you need 21 21 to look at the whole document, just let us know. My role was to work with the poultry 22 22 (Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for industry of California, mostly in educational, 23 identification by the court reporter 23 research areas. 24 24 and is attached hereto.) Q Did you teach courses in that position? 25 BY MR. OLSON: 25 A Just adult courses from time to time, but 23 25 1 1 nothing formal. Q I'm handing you what's been marked Bell 1. 2 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Steig, for housekeeping 2 Q And over what period did you hold that 3 3 purposes, can you note the Bates number? position? 4 MR. OLSON: We already talked about that. 4 A 55 years. 5 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Okay. 5 Q Does that go through 2001 or through to the 6 MR. OLSON: And Bell 1 is Bates-stamped 6 present? 7 7 SF003886. A Can I correct myself? 8 Q And you can keep it in front of you. 8 9 Can you just start by identifying this as 9 A I had several positions in the same general 10 10 an e-mail written by Gene Gregory on April 13, 2009 area. As I got older, I got -- I had changes in 11 and you were copied and it was sent to a number of 11 title. Okay? But it is all doing the same thing. 12 12 Q Okay. And approximately when did you start people? 13 A That's true, yes. 13 in that position? 14 Q Okay. Is this the type of correspondence 14 A 1958. 15 that you're referring to? 15 Q Now, over the course of your career in that 16 position and afterwards, did you make any 16 A Yes, this is what I was referring to. 17 17 contributions to UEP and its members? Q All right. And this indicates that you had 18 18 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection. informed UEP in early 2009 that you were going to finally retire effective July 1, 2009, correct? 19 19 MR. OLSON: What's the objection? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 20 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Contributions, what does 21 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. that mean? 22 22 MR. OLSON: What's the objection? THE WITNESS: I don't know what that means 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Leading. 23 either. 24 BY MR. OLSON: 24 BY MR. OLSON: 25 Q The answer is yes? 25 Q Okay. Did you contribute any reports or

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	26		28
1	analyses to UEP and its members?	1	with their chairperson. And, of course, I've always
2	A Yes.	2	had the relationship with their members on a
3	MR. GODLSTEIN: I'm sorry, Steve. Before	3	one-on-one basis in my regular duties.
4	you go on, I want to move his microphone up a little	4	BY MR. OLSON:
5	because he's folding his arms and he may be	5	Q But was there a period when you were
6	impairing the record.	6	retained to provide industry statistics and economic
7	THE WITNESS: I'll keep it down.	7	reports for UEP?
8	MR. GOLDSTEIN: I want you to be able to	8	A Yes.
9	fold your arms if you want to.	9	Q When did that cease?
10	I'm sorry.	10	A Cease or start?
11	BY MR. OLSON:	11	Q Cease.
12	Q Over approximately what strike that.	12	A Cease. I assume it's the same date we just
13	Approximately when did you start	13	talked about, 2009 when I terminated.
14	contributing reports and analyses to UEP's members?	14	Q And when did that start?
15	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Object to form,	15	A I was afraid you'd ask me that.
16	contributing reports and analyses.	16	Q Approximately.
17	MR. GODLSTEIN: Go ahead.	17	A I would say it might have been a ten-year
18	THE WITNESS: I'll try to answer that	18	relationship.
19	question.	19	Q Now, what type of reports did you prepare
20	Since the formation of UAP. I don't know	20	for UEP and its members?
21	what year that was, but since the beginning.	21	A Prior to my working with UEP, in fact since
22	BY MR. OLSON:	22	the beginning of 1958, I've always written economic
24	Q And approximately when did you stop doing	23 24	reports for the industry. And UEP asked that I
25	that?	25	continue this work with them and with their members. And the Iowa State Egg Center now is continuing that
	A Theoretically, I'm still doing it today.		
	27		29
1	Q And why do you say that?	1	work also.
2	A Because I'm still working 20 hours a week.	2	Q And so you referred to economic reports
3	Q And what do you do in those 20 hours a	3	that UEP asked you to provide. How would you
4	week?	4	describe those economic reports?
5	A We write, edit and publish industry-wide	5	A Well, we had three regular newsletters on
6	newsletters.	6 7	economics pardon me, four. And they would be on
7 8	Q You say "We," who is we?	8	anything to do with the egg industry, primarily the
9	A The Iowa State Egg Industry Center. I'm on the board of directors.	9	table egg industry. And then when we worked out a relationship
10	Q And are those newsletters sent to UEP?	10	with UEP. We started what we called a memo,
11	A Sent to 1,000 egg producers in the United	11	m-e-m-o, along the same lines, but everything was
12	States and their allied industries.	12	different or from a different approach.
13	Q How long were you affiliated with UEP,	13	Q What do you mean from a different approach?
14	specifically?	14	A Just looking at it from the right side and
15	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form,	15	the left side and from an economic standpoint, from
16	"affiliated," the term is vague.	16	a sociological standpoint, from local, regional,
17	MR. OLSON: I'll ask when I want a	17	international views. Any one subject, you don't
18	clarification; otherwise you can just object to	18	want to put it all on one piece of paper. So you
19	form.	19	might have a series of papers on a given part of one
20	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Okay. You asked me for	20	of the discussions.
21	clarification before.	21	Q Now, of the reports that you prepared for
22	MR. OLSON: No right. I didn't ask this	22	UEP and its members, did you prepare reports that
23	time or the last time.	23	projected the size of the nation's table egg laying
24	THE WITNESS: As I say, I began working in	24	flock?
25	cooperation at the beginning of their organization	25	A Yes, we do that. We've done that since the

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

32 30 1 beginning every month. 1 Q Now, would it be fair to say that one focus 2 2 Q Did you prepare analyses of the of your work was providing sound data that UEP could 3 3 relationship between flock size and income in the use to help persuade its members to manage egg 4 4 egg industry? supply? 5 5 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. A Yes. 6 6 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 7 BY MR. OLSON: BY MR. OLSON: 8 8 Q And what was the purpose of those types of Q And based on your years of experience being 9 9 affiliated with UEP, would it be fair to say that reports and analyses? 10 10 A Well, they are all aimed at maintaining a one of the things that UEP did was to try to 11 healthy industry. Healthy is usually interpreted as 11 persuade its members to manage egg supply? 12 12 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, being economically sound. And you're working with a 13 13 mass audience as opposed to individuals. "affiliated." 14 14 THE WITNESS: Would you state the question Individual consulting without a fee is 15 available at all times for any of these discussions, 15 again, please? 16 but as we feel -- as I feel the needs of the 16 BY MR. OLSON: 17 17 industry, along with any given line, I will tend to Q Sure. Based on your years of experience 18 emphasize that for a time period. 18 being affiliated with UEP, would it be fair to say 19 19 Q And how did analyses of the relationship -that one of the things that UEP did was to try to 20 20 persuade its members to manage egg supply? (Interruption in the proceedings.) 21 21 MR. OLSON: Who joined? Who joined the 22 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Same objection. deposition? Did someone join? 23 23 Q All right. How did the analyses that you BY MR. OLSON: 24 prepared of the relationship between flock size and 24 Q And did you think that was an important 25 income relate to maintaining a healthy industry? 25 thing to do? 33 1 1 A Well, first of all, we have to be concerned A Yes. 2 2 about costs, and then we have to be concerned about Q And did UEP, from time to time, ask for 3 income 3 your assistance in doing that? 4 The greatest income is from eggs in this 4 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 5 particular case; that's almost 100 percent of it. 5 THE WITNESS: I'd say yes and no. 6 6 BY MR. OLSON: At times it might have been as low as 90 percent, 7 7 but it is normally -- today it is about a hundred. Q Did UEP, from time to time, ask for you to 8 8 Costs are predominantly feed. And so we prepare reports or analyses that would help it 9 9 place a lot of emphasis on feed costs and feed persuade its members to manage egg supply? 10 10 consumption, factors affecting feed consumption and MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 11 so on. And then the other costs make up the balance 11 THE WITNESS: I'd have to say yes and no 12 12 of -- and so between the two, costs and income, we again. 13 13 BY MR. OLSON: have a measure of the well-being of the industry. Q And what's the yes part? 14 Q And what was the purpose of projecting the 14 15 size of the nation's table egg laying flock and 15 A The yes part is that everyone associated 16 looking at flock size? 16 with the egg industry recognizes the relationship 17 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 17 between volume of production, bird members and 18 THE WITNESS: Well -- excuse me. 18 price. And it's -- the relationships are fairly 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 well established. 20 Q You can answer the question. 20 Anything that I would say -- that we have 21 21 A I can answer it? too many chickens, that we don't have enough 22 22 There are many, many reasons why prices chickens -- in a hundred examples, not just in so 23 change. And the main one that's recognized is the 23 many words, but in a hundred different examples, 24 size of the flock. More eggs, less price; less 24 applications, that that would be one of my roles, 25 25 eggs, more price. uh-huh.

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

36 34 1 Q And one of your roles was to provide data 1 Q Now, the first one you mentioned is cage 2 2 density. How can cage density be used in a flock that would help the industry balance the supply of 3 3 eggs with the demand for eggs, right? reduction program? 4 4 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. We are A That's right. We provided a one-source 5 5 place to go for statistics. The statistics didn't just talking about --6 6 MR. OLSON: Please no speaking objections. start with me, but they were focused by me on the 7 7 You objected to form. Thank you. problem. 8 8 MR. TAKENOUCHI: I'm trying to Q And were there times that UEP or its 9 9 understand -leaders, like Gene Gregory, for example, would ask 10 10 MR. OLSON: You objected to form, and you to develop plans for the industry at times when 11 11 there was an oversupply of eggs in the market? that's fine. 12 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 12 THE WITNESS: Cage density to most people 13 13 means how much space do you give your chickens, and THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 BY MR. OLSON: 14 that also is related to how many birds you put in 15 Q And were there times when you were invited 15 the cage. It's not necessarily the number of birds 16 to give presentations to the UEP board of directors 16 you have in the nation, that's not cage density. 17 17 regarding ideas for how to balance supply of eggs That's hen population. 18 18 with demand? Cage density, I first started my research 19 19 A Yes. with the extension service in about 1963 with cage 20 20 density experiments. At that time most cages held Q And were there times when you were invited 21 21 to USEM meetings? And are you familiar with what one bird. The industry readily found that that was 22 22 USEM is? not the way to go, it was not the economic way to go 23 23 A I've never been invited to their meetings. and so they went to two. 24 24 Q Are you sure? What does that do? That increases the 25 A Are you talking about the egg 25 population by a hundred percent. And then they went 35 1 to three, and so on, and so on. marketing association -- producers --2 2 So cage density is one of the easiest Q Sorry. United States Egg Marketers. 3 A I may have been to their meeting once, 3 things to correct and to demonstrate that this is 4 but -- I think I'll have to say I may have been. 4 too much or too little. 5 There are so many different organizations, and --5 BY MR. OLSON: 6 6 Q And how does cage density relate to flock associated with the egg industry, including Urner 7 7 Barry and so on. reduction, specifically? 8 But I seem to recall that I might have had 8 A The same thing. The -- if we're interested 9 a meeting with the marketing association, but 9 in reducing the flock size because the profitability 10 10 certainly nothing routinely. of the industry is absent, that's one of the first 11 Q But do you recall being asked to provide 11 things that anybody can do. And it's also not only 12 12 data and analyses to help support USEM with the useful to the industry as a whole, but it is 13 13 extremely useful to the individual who is going flock reduction program? 14 14 bankrupt. A Yes and no. I guess you'll have to explain 15 15 what the flock reduction program is. There's always the individual --16 16 Q Well, to your knowledge, what is a flock individual's needs as opposed to the industry's 17 17 needs reduction program? 18 18 A Well, it could be cage density. That's Q Now, are these points about cage density 19 19 probably the most visible. It could be when we that you were just making ones that you communicated 20 20 worked with them for a national marketing order to to UEP and its members? 21 21 A All the time -license production and I wrote several papers, so 22 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. it's a difficult one to answer with one word. 23 Q It could include a number of different 23 THE WITNESS: All the time, yes. 24 24 BY MR. OLSON: things, is that your point? 25 25 Q And it would be fair to say that -- that A Yes, I guess.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

40 38 1 you recognize, based on your work for the industry, 1 improve margins for the individual producers. 2 2 that one way UEP members could manage egg supply was BY MR. OLSON: 3 by adopting guidelines relating to cage density? 3 Q But you recognize that molting also 4 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 4 affected output, right? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 A Everything affects output. 6 BY MR. OLSON: 6 Q Molting does? 7 7 Q And one way of adopting those guidelines A Go ahead with the rest of them, but 8 would be to adopt welfare guidelines? 8 everything affects output. 9 9 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. Q Including molting, right? 10 10 THE WITNESS: Welfare guidelines is a broad A Yes, up and down. 11 series of management tools that does not just 11 Q But, in general, your work indicated to you 12 include cage density, but cage density is one of the 12 that using molting affected output down? 13 issues that can contribute to this. 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 14 14 BY MR. OLSON: So -- go ahead. 15 BY MR. OLSON: 15 Q Right? 16 Q And one of the things that welfare 16 A One of the outcomes of the replacement 17 guidelines could be used for is to manage supply? 17 program which includes molting, is average egg 18 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 18 production will be less. 19 19 THE WITNESS: That is an outcome of Q And were there times when UEP, as part of 20 20 managing cage density, would be to manage the flock its efforts to address supply issues, would 21 21 size, but it's also a way of managing the flock's recommend early molting programs to its members? 22 health and welfare and other issues that are in 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; lacks 23 23 demand by the industry, by the people associated foundation. 24 24 with the industry. BY MR. OLSON: 25 Q To your knowledge? BY MR. OLSON: 25 39 1 Q Now, you -- we were talking about factors 1 2 2 Q And what is the purpose of recommending an that impact national egg supply and we've been 3 3 talking about cage density. early molting program in order -- how does that 4 I take it, as a result of your work in the 4 relate to managing supply? 5 industry, you identified other factors that would 5 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation. 6 affect national egg supply; is that right? 6 THE WITNESS: When you molt chickens, that 7 7 particular flock goes to zero production. And if 8 Q And let me identify a factor, and you let 8 the country is overproducing, then a number of 9 us know if it's one you identified as playing a 9 farmers going to zero production over given a period 10 10 major role -of time is beneficial to the industry because it 11 11 A Yes. reduces egg members. 12 -- in affecting national egg production. 12 BY MR. OLSON: 13 The degree of flock recycling, is that a 13 Q Is there an additional way that molting can 14 14 be used to manage supply, other than that period factor? 15 A Yes. 15 when there is zero production? 16 A The average rate of lay would be about 10 16 Q And that refers to the molting process, 17 17 percent less year in, year out. correct? 18 18 And if we have 10 percent too many A Recycling means molting, yes. 19 Q And as part of your work, you recognized 19 chickens, that's one way of remedying that problem. 20 20 that molting could be a way of impacting national Q So would it be fair to say that in times of 21 21 egg production? low margins in the egg industry, molting can make 22 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. economic sense? 23 THE WITNESS: That would not be the goal of 23 A Absolutely, yes, yes. Q Thank you. But in terms of high profits, 24 anything I've ever done on molting. The goal of 24 25 using molting is to reduce costs and, therefore, 25 high margins, molting makes less sense?

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

42 44 1 A Well, the answer is yes. 1 industry, you understood that the egg industry could 2 Q Now, as far as other factors that affect make more money with fewer birds, right? 3 3 the size of national egg production, how about the A Yes. 4 4 Q That's something you mentioned before age of hens? 5 5 A Yes. today, right? 6 Q How does that affect the size of national 6 A Yes. 7 7 Q And that's a fact that you would stress to egg production? 8 8 A Well, the older the chickens, the fewer the UEP and its members? 9 9 eggs. A Yes. 10 10 And so if you do molt, you're going to Q Now, as part of your work for the egg 11 increase their average age by 30 or 40 weeks, which 11 industry, did you also come to understand that 12 12 is considerable. Or if you let your flocks go increasing floor space allowances could be difficult 13 13 without molting, you're going to keep them for a for an individual producer on its own to justify? 14 longer period without molting, and so the same thing 14 A Yes. 15 is going to happen. 15 Q Because if a producer just increases floor 16 Q And how about the use of exports, can that 16 space allowances on its own, that might reduce its 17 have a large impact on national egg production? 17 profits, right? 18 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 18 A Reduces volume of production, yes. 19 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. Q But did you also recognize that increasing 20 20 floor space allowances could be justified for a BY MR. OLSON: 21 21 Q And how does the use of exports affect the producer if it knew that a significant number of 22 22 size of national egg production? other producers were also going to do it? 23 23 A Well, the current problem in Mexico is a MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 24 prime example. They are short of eggs because of a 24 speculation. 25 disease problem. They go to their neighbor, the 25 THE WITNESS: First of all, if you take the 1 United States, and buy eggs. And when you take eggs 1 range of modifying your density from low to high, 2 2 off the market, your market goes up. you can go too far on either end, too few or too 3 3 Q And, to your knowledge, did UEP, or many. 4 affiliated groups with UEP, engage in exports for 4 My concern in evaluating this is what does 5 that reason? 5 it return for dollar invested. And four birds in a 6 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 6 three-bird cage is too many, one or two birds is too 7 7 foundation. few. So the answer is yes and no. 8 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you the motive 8 To the individual, the industry is mainly 9 of UEP or their organizations to do that. 9 interested in modifying the total population, and 10 10 Obviously, there are eggs that don't move on the they have to have individual people to cooperate and 11 11 local market and, therefore, new markets have to be do that. 12 12 BY MR. OLSON: sought. 13 BY MR. OLSON: 13 Q But I just want to focus on this problem of 14 14 Q And, really, I'm just trying to ask a increasing floor space allowances across the 15 15 question about your level of knowledge. How industry. knowledgeable were you about UEP or USEM's export 16 16 17 17 Q It might be good for everyone in the practices? 18 18 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. industry, as long as you don't go too far, right? 19 MR. OLSON: What's the objection? 19 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 20 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Compound. BY MR. OLSON: 21 THE WITNESS: Just what I read in the trade 21 Q Is that the point you were making? 22 22 press. A Each farmer has to judge for his own sake. 23 BY MR. OLSON: 23 You would only benefit three eggs per bird 24 Q Fair enough. 24 if you reduce your density. I might be involved in 25 Now, based on your work in the egg 25 20 eggs. Your answer is different than my answer.

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

46 48 1 The nation has to look at the averages. 1 BY MR. OLSON: 2 2 And if someone is going to recommend, such as myself Q Is that fair? 3 3 or UEP or anyone else that's in the advisory A It would be, quote unquote, more fair if 4 4 business, you have to be talking to the average everyone followed exactly the same rules. But it's 5 5 company. And the average is not necessarily for you not economically fair to impose a rule on you when 6 6 or for him. But, using a model, computer model, you don't need that rule or to me when I do. 7 7 what have you, you can say that, well, on the Q Maybe my use of the word "fair" was 8 8 average, it doesn't pay to put the fourth bird in confusing the question. I'm sorry. 9 9 the cage. A Okay. 10 10 Q Okay. So let's say that recommendation is Q I'm asking if I'm describing the problem 11 made. Now, looking at the individual -- an 11 fairly. And the problem facing UEP is that you want 12 individual producer, if they are worried that they 12 to increase floor space allowance to manage egg 13 13 are going to do it but they are going to be the only supply. It's not in an individual producer's 14 14 self-interest to do that on its own, but it might be one to do it, that may be hard to justify because 15 they're reducing their volume but not getting a 15 if producers were doing it jointly? 16 larger benefit, fair? 16 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; lacks 17 17 A Uh-huh. foundation, speculation. 18 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I think you have a very 19 19 MR. OLSON: You have to say yes. difficult question there, because in all degrees of 20 20 MR. GODLSTEIN: Say yes. fairness or current experiences, cage density, you 21 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. only get three eggs, I get 20, that's why we analyze 22 BY MR. OLSON: 22 each company by itself. 23 23 Q But that same producer might be more And then once you have all that knowledge 24 24 willing to follow that recommendation if it knew of all these companies, you never have a hundred 25 that a large percentage of the industry was also 25 percent, of course, as you know, but you say, well, 47 1 1 this is the rule of thumb or this is what most going to do it, fair? 2 2 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, people are doing and they are going down the wrong 3 3 path. For their personal success or failure, if 4 THE WITNESS: They are all competitors to 4 they are going down the wrong track, if you use the 5 each other, and they don't want a different set of 5 model. 6 rules for me than for you. 6 But this person over here, that's an 7 7 BY MR. OLSON: exceedingly good manager or this person is a 8 Q So the answer is that is fair, one might be 8 terrible manager, they have totally different 9 more willing to do it if you knew that others were 9 reactions. These people over here will go broke --10 10 going to do it as well? bankrupt. These people over here may make a very 11 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. good living. So it's a matter of audience. And 12 THE WITNESS: I think that would -- yes, 12 when I use the word "average," there really is no 13 13 such thing. But you do have variable audience out the answer is yes. 14 14 BY MR. OLSON: there that these things we are talking about affect 15 Q And that's one of the problems that faced 15 everybody differently. 16 BY MR. OLSON: 16 UEP in working with its members to manage egg 17 17 Q Did you have ideas for how UEP could help supply, right? 18 18 egg producers manage egg supply jointly? A Yes. 19 Q In other words, if an individual producer 19 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 20 20 THE WITNESS: Clarify your question. is doing it on its own, it might be against its 21 21 self-interest to increase floor space allowance, but BY MR. OLSON: 22 22 would be in its interest if it was done jointly Q Did you have ideas for how UEP could help 23 across the industry? 23 egg producers work together to manage egg supply? 24 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; misstates prior 24 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Same objection. 25 25 THE WITNESS: Well, I've used the testimony.

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

52 50 1 expression persuasion as opposed to regulation. 1 A That's true. 2 There are examples of regulation where you and I 2 Q And that's the topic you spoke on over the 3 3 both must do the same thing. Europe is a good course of your 55 years, correct? 4 4 example of that going on right now. Cages are A Yes. 5 disallowed in countries that still wish to run 5 Q So we touched on a lot of these issues, but 6 6 I just wanted to go over a couple of them. cages. 7 7 So persuasion without penalty is a pretty Let's look at slide 8 on the second page. 8 good tool. If you can do it by friendship and 8 The slide is entitled, "Production Change vs Egg 9 9 relationship, if you can do it by example, but Income"? 10 10 A Yes. you're trying to persuade people to look at their 11 books, look at their records, look at it from this 11 Q And there is a little graph here, and the 12 12 viewpoint and this viewpoint. Are you considering reference to a 1:4.5 ratio. 13 13 taxes, are you considering labor costs, and so on. Can you just describe what information is 14 So persuasion and education is a very important part conveyed on slide 8? 14 15 15 A This is titled, "Production Change vs Egg 16 BY MR. OLSON: 16 Income," and then it says, "(1:4.15 ratio)." 17 17 Q Do you recall making a recommendation that It says that for each 1 percent change in 18 UEP take a stronger stand on the issue of space 18 production, that the egg price will change in the 19 19 allowances? opposite direction 4 1/2 percent. Percent, not 20 20 A Sure, yes. cents. Percent, not cents. And then the graph 21 21 Q And what was the reason for that depicts that relationship. 22 22 recommendation? Q So, in other words -- okay. Fair enough. 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 23 Let's move on. 24 THE WITNESS: Because I know that if we 24 Let's look at slide 14 titled "Factors" --25 reduce the number of birds in the United States, 25 A 14? 51 53 1 Q Yes. It is titled, "Factors Which Have 1 that the egg producers in the United States will 2 2 have a more profitable relationship, set of results. Largest Impact on National Egg Production." 3 3 I've looked at costs and income and profits A Uh-huh. 4 for 55 years, and I've done studies every year for 4 Q And listed are "Cage Density," "Flock 5 55 years, so I feel like I have a feel for the 5 Recycling," "Age" and "Exports." 6 6 general health of this industry. And, therefore, I Do you see that? 7 7 think I'm entitled to use my experience to make A That's where you got your questions from. 8 8 recommendations. Q And we discussed those factors already, 9 9 right? (Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for 10 10 A Yes. identification by the court reporter 11 and is attached hereto.) 11 Q Under "Exports" you wrote, "'Release valve' 12 12 for surplus production." BY MR. OLSON: 13 13 Q Let me hand you what we've marked Bell 2. Can you explain what that means? 14 14 A Release valve is when pressure gets a A Sure. Yeah, I've seen this. 15 15 Q Okay. Just give me a moment here. little high -- a little too high for your cooking or 16 whatever it is you're running a plant of some kind. 16 And Bell 2 is Bates-stamped, for the 17 17 Release valve allows some of the volume to escape, record, BELL-D-00031284 through -31295. 18 18 and I guess that's why I used that term. Mr. Bell, can you identify this document 19 for us; in other words, just generally describe what 19 Q And was that something that was practiced 20 20 it is? in the U.S. egg industry, to your knowledge? 21 21 A This is, obviously, a speech and these are, MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 22 22 obviously, slides, and it was done in 1992, and I THE WITNESS: The percentage of eggs that 23 don't know where. 23 have gone overseas, which includes Mexico, it's 24 Q And the title of this speech was "Managing 24 usually the Asian market, and we have product eggs, 25 25 the Nation's Laying Flock"? as well as shellings.

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

1 Historically, it's been about 2 percent. 2 That's not much, but if you apply that 2 percent to 3 the formula that we just looked at, it becomes 9, 4 doesn't it? 4 1/2 times 2. 5 BY MR. OLSON: 6 O Right. 7 A That 2 was the total. If you change the 2 8 to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 1 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will of total titled "Supply Management Systems." 11 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 12 A 27 of A 37? Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 21 A Yes. 22 Q The second one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 55 1 A Yes. 2 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990. 1 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary 18 WT MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 18 guidelines. 19 WT MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 19 guidelines. 19 WT MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 19 guidelines. 20 O kay, And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 O Nay And here is a place where you're 22 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 24 foundation. 25 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 25 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 26 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 27 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 27 MR.		54		56
That's not much, but if you apply that 2 percent to 1 the formulal that we just looked at, it becomes 9, 4 doesn't it? 4 1/2 times 2. BY MR. OLSON: Ry MR. TAKENOUCH: Objection: form. Ry MR. TAKENOUCH: Objection: form. Ry MR. TAKENOUCH: Objection: form. Ry MR. OLSON: Ry			_	
3 the formula that we just looked at, it becomes 9, 4 doesn't if? 4 172 times 2. 5 BY MR, OLSON: 6 Q Right. 7 A That 2 was the total. If you change the 2 to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what 9 we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 16 A 737 Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh - yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 25 ground fifferent supply management systems, right? 23 a A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed: is that right? 25 The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990. 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 12 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 15 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 16 guidelines. 21 mR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 27 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 28 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 29 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 29 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 20 Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 29 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 20 Okay. And here is a place where you're 20 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Obje		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
doesn't it? 4 1/2 times 2. 5 BY MR. OLSON: 6 Q Right. 7 A That 2 was the total. If you change the 2 8 to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what 9 we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 tittled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh - yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 pionts as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The second one is "Ouota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed: is that right? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And that's something that you discussed with the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory come on board? 15 A Yes. 9 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively, I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 29 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 29 pionting out that welfare guidelines can be used as 21 a supply management tystem, correct? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 23 a supply management system, was a 24 persuasion-based approach using data? 25 mR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 26 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 27 pionting out that welfare guidelines can be used as 28 a supply management system, correct? 29 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 29 pionting out that welfare guidelines can be used as 30 a supply ma		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5 BY MR. OLSON: 6 Q Right. 7 A That 2 was the total. If you change the 2 8 to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what 9 we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at silde 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh - yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 25 A Yes. 26 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 28 A Yes. 29 Q The second one is "Voluntary- 3 Self-Interest: "The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990. 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a person-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, foundation. 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved — this would also be involved in yould land they would also be involved in yould land they would also be involved in pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management to their more and they have a board of directors? 21 go Okay. This idea of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 WMR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 29 Q Okay. Mah dree is a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6 Q Right. 7 A That 2 was the total. If you change the 2 to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what 9 we're talking about. 9 we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 15 titled "Supply Management Systems." 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 377 Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 2p points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 21 A Yes. 22 A Yes. 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed: is that right? 25 A Yes. 26 Q And I think as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 26 A Yes. 27 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively, I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 19 quickleines. 27 Q O Q And here is a place where you're 29 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 28 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 18 WMR. OLSON: 29 Q O Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 29 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 23 manuface and they have a board of directors? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 24 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 25 manuface and they may send this document to their members and they have a board of dir				•
to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what yet retailing about. Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay within our boundaries, because that's a market too, to-o. And, therefore, individual companies will choose that market, especially if the price is depressed. 'A C All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is depressed. 'A O All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is titled "supply Management Systems." A 37? Okay. O All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is titled "supply Management Systems." A 37? Okay. O And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form four different supply management systems, right? A Yes. O The first one is "Quota schemes," and you say that they've usually falled; is that right? The WITNESS: This was written in 1992, and the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, and data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? A Yes. O The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, and the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? A Yes. O The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, and the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? A Yes. O The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, and the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? A Yes. O The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, and they have a board of directors. So I would show involved in 1991 would also be involved in 290 would have discussed that topic with UEP pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. A Raken QuENTE in the only we have welfare to say any send this do				
8 to the 4 and increase your exports, that's what 9 we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 377 Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Un-huhyes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 25 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 25 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 26 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon or sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 28 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a large persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 198 YMR. OLSON: 26 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 28 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 29 Q Cayay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 29 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 24 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 25 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 26 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 27 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 28 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 39 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 30 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form. 31 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection is form		9	7	, ,
9 we're talking about. 10 Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay 11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 to-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh-yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 25 gour different supply management systems, right? 26 A Yes. 27 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 28 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 29 A Yes. 20 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 21 a Yes. 20 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 27 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 28 A Yes. 29 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in voluntary	8		8	,
11 within our boundaries, because that's a market too, 12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 tittled "Supply Management Systems." 16 tittled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay, 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh - yes. 19 Q And I think we've touched on some of these 21 points as well. Here you lay out in buillet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 supply management system with they've usually failed; is that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 25 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 8 A Yes. 19 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management systems, a persuasion-based approach using data? 19 persuasi	9		9	Q We're going to get into those.
12 t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will 13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 O All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay. 18 O Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these ploints as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 21 four different supply management systems, right? 22 four different supply management systems, and you say that they've usually failed; is that right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Ouota schemes," and you say that they've usually failed; is that right? 25 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guideliness. 19 BY MR, OLSON: 19 G Naw, And here is a place where you're pipinting out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 20 Q Nay, And here is a place where you're pipinting out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 mort surpply on a possible supply management system, in the time, early 1990, 1	10	Now, nobody says our eggs have to stay	10	A Okay.
13 choose that market, especially if the price is 14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh - ves. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed: is that right? 25 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990. 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 mR. TAKENOUCH: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation. 23 posculation. 24 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 24 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation. 25 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 26 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 27 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 27 MR. TAK	11	within our boundaries, because that's a market too,	11	Q But I just wanted to as far as the
14 depressed. 15 Q All right. Let's look at slide 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 377 Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form four different supply management systems, right? 22 A Yes. 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you say that they've usually falled; is that right? 25 say that they've usually falled; is that right? 26 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 27 Seff-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says a "(e.g. welfare)"? 28 A Yes. 29 Q The second one is, "Persuasion based upon round data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 30 A Yes. 31 Seff-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says a "(e.g. welfare)"? 42 Wou know, that's a fair one, and I should know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 5 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a persuasion-based approach using data? 3 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, foundation. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 5 A Yes. 6 Q And that's something you recognized at the time, right? 2 With the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? 3 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form. 3 Seff-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says "(e.g. Welfare)"? 4 You know, that's a fair one, and I should know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. Speak at any time. 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon foundation. 7 Sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, UEP, UEP supply management system, in 1992, with the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? 11 BY MR. OLSON: 12 Q You know, that's a fair one, and I should know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were i	12	t-o-o. And, therefore, individual companies will	12	information being conveyed on this slide 37
15 Q All right. Let's look at silde 37. It is 16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17 A 37? Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 21 four different supply management systems, right? 22 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 25 The second one is "Voluntary - 26 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 27 Gureliferents." The third is "Guidelines," it says will receive the following of the second one is "Voluntary - 28 A Yes. 29 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says will receive the following of the second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says will be seen to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, foundation. 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary - 1 think they would also be involved in voluntary - 1 think they would also be involved in voluntary - 1 think they would also be involved in voluntary - 1 think they would also be involved in pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 28 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, specific and they have a board of directors? 29 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, specific and they have a board of directors? 29 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, specific and they have a board of directors? 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, specific and they have a board of directors? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, specificary or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; f	13			
16 titled "Supply Management Systems." 17		·		-
17 A 377 Okay. 18 Q Are you there? 19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form four different supply management systems, right? 21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form four different supply management systems, right? 22 A Yes. 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you say that they've usually failed; is that right? 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 26 The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4" (e.g. welfare)"? 4 Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, they UEP, UEP supply management system, was a persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved this would also be involved in sould aline. 17 Q And that's something you recognized at the time, right? 18 time, right? 20 Q And is that something that you discussed with the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 21 BY MR. OLSON: 22 Q The becond one is "Voluntary Q O Vou know, that's a fair one, and I should sone any time. Speak at any time. 4 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, the fact that it's here in this document and then they receive this document on the rememberst and they have a board of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? 20 And is that something that you discussed with the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? 10 A Yes. 21 Devended time, right? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection: form, story in the tim		-		
18				. ,
19 A Uh-huh yes. 20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you say that they've usually failed; is that right? 55 1 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 2 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved this would also be involved in guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Kay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 2 a supply management system, correct? 2 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, officers or its board of directors? 2 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation.		,		
20 Q And I think we've touched on some of these points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 24 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved this would also be involved in guidelines. 29 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Kay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 24 With the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 21 with the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 25 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 26 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 27 minute of the folks at UEP, like Mr. Gregory or Al Pope? MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 28 MR. Takenouchi: objection form, 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 20 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 20 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 20 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 21 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 20 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 21 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 21 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form, 22 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 22 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 23 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form. 24 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 25 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form. 25 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 26 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 27 MR. Takenouchi: objection to form. 27 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 27 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 27 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 28 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 27 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 29 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 20 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form. 20 MR. Takenouchi: objection; form.		-		3
21 points as well. Here you lay out in bullet form 22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 27 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 20		3		
22 four different supply management systems, right? 23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you 25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 55 1 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 18 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 19 Ou And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 me with 1992, 22 might? When did Mr. Gregory come on board? 1'm not supposed to ask questions. 1 BY MR. OLSON: 2 Q You know, that's a fair one, and I should know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. Speak at any time. 3 A Yes. 4 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 2 guidelines as part of a supply management system, if you. BY MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 1 A Yes. 2 Q You know, that's a fair one, and I should know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. BY MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 1 A Yes. 2 Q You know, that's a fair one, and I should know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. BY MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 1 BY MR. OLSON: 1 BY MR. OLSON: 1 BY MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection form. 1 THE WITNESS: This was written in 1992, 1 might? When did MR. Takenouchi? Objection				ů ů
23 A Yes. 24 Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you say that they've usually failed; is that right? 55 1 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon round data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Kay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation.			22	3 3 1
25 say that they've usually failed; is that right? 55 1	23		23	THE WITNESS: This was written in 1992,
55 1 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon round data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, last bullet, is that as of this for any time. Speak at any time with for you. Mal Alexe bullet, is that as of using welfare guidelines as part of a supply management system, is any time. A A Ask the question again. Q O Okay. This idea of using welfare guidelines as part of a supply management system, is any time. Speak at a	24	Q The first one is "Quota schemes," and you	24	right? When did Mr. Gregory come on board?
1 A Yes. 2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 2 Q You know, that's a fair one, and I should 3 know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. Speak at any time. 6 A Ask the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare guidelines as part of a supply management system, if that one you recall discussing at any time with A Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here in this document and then they receive this document and they may send this document to their membersh and they may send this document to their membersh and they have a board of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation.	25	say that they've usually failed; is that right?	25	I'm not supposed to ask questions.
2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 "(e.g. welfare)"? 4 you. But I'm referring to at any time. Speak at any time. 6 A Ask the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 8 guidelines as part of a supply management system, if that one you recall discussing at any time with 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 14 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 15 in this document and then they receive this document 16 and they may send this document to their membersh 17 and they have a board of directors. So I would 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 24 show. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for 25 A Ask the question again. 26 A Ask the question again. 27 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 28 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in the pour call discussing at any time. 28 By MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 29 Q Okay. Supply management system, correct? 20 And is it possible that on other occasions you would have		55		57
2 Q The second one is "Voluntary - 3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 3 know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for you. But I'm referring to at any time. Speak at any time. 6 A Ask the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare guidelines as part of a supply management system, i that one you recall discussing at any time with 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, i 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their memberst 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 3 speculation.	1	A Yes.	1	BY MR. OLSON:
3 Self-Interest." The third is "Guidelines," it says 4 "(e.g. welfare)"? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 24 Ask the question again. 2 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 any time. 4 A Ask the question again. 2 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, if 4 that one you recall discussing at any time with 2 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 2 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 2 in this document and then they receive this document 2 and they have a board of directors. So I would 3 that one you recall discussing at any time with 4 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 4 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 4 DA Pope or Gene Gregory? 4 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 5 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 6 A Ask the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 8 guidelines as part of a supply management system, if 9 that one you recall discussing at any time with 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 expect this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 19 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 10 officers or its board of directors? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.			2	
5 A Yes. 6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 5 any time. 6 A Ask the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document and they have a board of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.	3	-	3	know. Maybe we'll try to get the answer to that for
6 Q And the last one is, "Persuasion based upon 7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 20 Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 4 A ksk the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 4 A ksk the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 4 A ksk the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 4 A ksk the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 4 A ksk the question again. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 3 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in 4 A Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they may send this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document and then they receive this document 15 and they may send this document and then they receive this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, as you selected	4	"(e.g. welfare)"?	4	you. But I'm referring to at any time. Speak at
7 sound data," and it says, "e.g. UEP"? 8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 7 Q Okay. This idea of using welfare 8 guidelines as part of a supply management system, is 9 that one you recall discussing at any time with 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.	5		5	any time.
8 A Yes. 9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 8 guidelines as part of a supply management system, in that one you recall discussing at any time with 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.				
9 Q The point you're making, particularly the 10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 9 that one you recall discussing at any time with 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.				•
10 last bullet, is that as of this time, early 1990, 11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 10 Al Pope or Gene Gregory? 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this documer 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.				
11 UEP, UEP supply management system, was a 12 persuasion-based approach using data? 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 11 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.				5
persuasion-based approach using data? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in guidelines. MR. OLSON: Q Okay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that it's here in this document and then they receive this document and they may send this document to their membersh and they have a board of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. BY MR. OLSON: Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 3 3
13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, 14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 13 in this document and then they receive this document 14 and they may send this document to their membersh 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this 17 particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.				•
14 foundation. 15 THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think 16 they were involved this would also be involved in 17 voluntary I think they would also be involved in 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 14 and they may send this document to their membersh and they have a board of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 19 Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
THE WITNESS: Not exclusively. I think they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in guidelines. BY MR. OLSON: Q Okay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 15 and they have a board of directors. So I would expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, to be discussed. BY MR. OLSON: Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP officers or its board of directors? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, speculation.		-		and they may send this document to their membership
they were involved this would also be involved in voluntary I think they would also be involved in guidelines. 18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 16 expect this particular slide, as you selected this particular slide, as you sele			15	• •
18 guidelines. 19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 18 BY MR. OLSON: 29 Q And is it possible that on other occasions you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.	16	they were involved this would also be involved in	16	expect this particular slide, as you selected this
19 BY MR. OLSON: 20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 29 Q And is it possible that on other occasions 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.	17	voluntary I think they would also be involved in		particular slide, to be discussed.
20 Q Okay. And here is a place where you're 21 pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as 22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 20 you would have discussed that topic with UEP 21 officers or its board of directors? 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.		9		
pointing out that welfare guidelines can be used as a supply management system, correct? a supply management system, correct? and MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 23 speculation.				
22 a supply management system, correct? 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, 23 speculation.				
23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 23 speculation.				
# 4₹ LDE VVITNESS: LDI NOLQIVING VOU 8 LDI 4₹ LDE VVITNESS: LDIS SHQ€/	24	THE WITNESS: I'm not giving you a I'm	24	THE WITNESS: This slide?
25 not weighting these or they are not in any 25 BY MR. OLSON:				

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

58 60 1 Q No, just that concept. 1 production was to use a long-term cage space 2 2 A That concept? program? 3 3 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. A That's one of the easiest ways, easiest to 4 4 THE WITNESS: Well, I know what you're demonstrate. 5 5 driving at, but --Q Just to pause there, do you recall 6 6 approximately when you reached the conclusion that BY MR. OLSON: 7 7 that would be one of the easiest ways to accomplish Q Well, I'm actually just wondering, and it's 8 8 fair whenever I ask a question to say "I just don't 9 9 recall." I asked you a question if you recall A Well, the question of optimum cage space, 10 10 discussing it. You pointed to a slide -one of the outcomes is it is going to change the 11 A I don't have a photographic memory. 11 volume of eggs. And we've already discussed the 12 12 MR. GODLSTEIN: Don, you're doing a great volume of egg relationship to egg price. 13 13 job, but try to remember what Steig said to you So the very first experiment I did in the 14 14 early '60s that showed a dramatic difference in egg about not talking over him when he's talking, and 15 he'll do the same for you, so we don't drive our 15 numbers, but from the same cage, that's the start of 16 court reporter crazy. Okay? 16 a 55-year recommendation, and it still exists today. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 Q And was there a time when you concluded 18 In the conversations with UEP officials 18 that in the United States an industry-wide program 19 19 and/or members, board members, or just plain old involving cage space guidelines was the best way to 20 20 members, I'm sure we spelled out that if you do this manage U.S. egg supply? 21 21 from a welfare standpoint, that it's going to have MR. TAKENOUCHI: Object to form. 22 22 THE WITNESS: It would result -- it would effects on price. 23 23 get results the fastest, it would be the easiest to And if you allow 67 square inches -- are 24 you familiar with that number? 24 apply, but everyone wouldn't be in agreement with 25 BY MR. OLSON: 25 59 1 Q Yes. 1 BY MR. OLSON: 2 A And Don Bell says you should give them 72, 2 Q And were these concepts about that type of 3 3 you know there's -- or the other direction, that you approach being fast and easy to apply ones that you 4 can affect it up or down, the results, because 4 discussed with UEP and its officials from time to 5 Don Bell is not going to say that 72 is the number, 5 time? 6 6 nor is the welfare committee or anything like that. A Yes, uh-huh. 7 7 So I hope, in my discussions with UEP, that Q Now, we've talked about animal welfare 8 8 the principles will be on the table and that we will briefly. 9 give an equal fairness to too many chickens or too 9 MR. GODLSTEIN: Steig, before we go on, 10 10 we've been going about an hour now. Would this be a few chickens, because they are both involved. 11 Q And would it be fair to say that you 11 convenient time to take a ten-minute break? 12 recognized at some point that the primary way to 12 MR. OLSON: Sure, if the witness would like 13 reduce nationwide chick hatch was through a 13 that, that would be fine. 14 14 long-term cage space program? MR. GODLSTEIN: Thanks. 15 15 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. 16 16 THE WITNESS: Cage space program, is that The time is 11:00 a.m. 17 17 (Recess.) what you said? 18 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the Hatches hasn't even come into the 19 discussion yet, so you brought it in there. 19 record. The time is 11:15 a.m. 20 20 BY MR. OLSON: MR. GODLSTEIN: So let's go for another 21 21 Q Okay. Sorry. We're just talking about hour or so, and then at that point I'd like to step 22 22 nationwide egg production. out with Mr. Bell and see where we are and whether 23 Would it be fair to say that, based on your 23 we can keep going a little longer or what, and then 24 work, at a certain point you recognized that the 24 we'll make a decision at that point. Okay? 25 25 primary way the industry could manage nationwide egg MR. OLSON: Absolutely.

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

62 64 1 Q Mr. Bell, if you don't even make an hour 1 factors affecting egg price, all of these have to be 2 2 and want to break before then, let us know. considered collectively. 3 3 A Keep at it. Q And when you refer to that list, you're 4 4 Q Okay. Now, before the break, this concept referring to the list in Bell Exhibit 2, the slide 5 5 of chick hatch came up. Now, is one way to reduce you prepared in the early '90s? 6 6 egg supply focusing on reducing chick hatch? A Which one was it? 7 7 A Yes. Q Exhibit 2, slide 37? 8 8 Q And what is -- generally speaking, what is A 37. That and other documents that you 9 9 chick hatch reduction? folks all have. You came to my house and took all 10 10 A Chick hatch is adult chickens six months my documents, remember? 11 11 pre, prior to the adult chicken. So it takes six Q We didn't take all your documents. 12 12 months to grow. So if you have an indication that A Oh, yes, you did, you did. You searched 13 13 you have an increase in chick hatch, this is also an under my carpet. 14 14 Q We'll hold that to one side. indication you're going to have more adult chickens 15 six months later. 15 A Okay. 16 And so it was given a lot of attention in 16 Q Would it be fair to say that one way that 17 17 earlier years, the direction of chick hatch, but was discussed to provide a long-term solution to the 18 there are so many factors -- if a person is going 18 supply issue was to have a long-term cage space 19 19 broke, he doesn't buy as many chicks, and that's the program? 20 20 same as the total volume, it's the whole industry. MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 21 21 If the industry is in trouble, they won't buy as THE WITNESS: I'm sure it was discussed by 22 22 many chicks. They don't buy as many chicks, and some people. I don't -- I'm trying to think if I 23 23 that's what gives us cycles. The cycle in the did it. You want to know if I did it? 24 24 industry is about five years, and that's why we talk BY MR. OLSON: Q Yes, if you were involved in the 25 about five-year averages, because that's the period 25 65 1 discussions. of time when you have to consider all these issues. 2 2 Q And was this a challenge in the industry, A Well, obviously, I am concerned about 3 3 how to try to address the cyclical nature of chick managing the nation's laying flock from the 4 hatch and egg production? 4 standpoint of the health of the industry. And if 5 A It is a cyclical fact of life, and you 5 that's one -- we listed four of them over here, or 6 6 can -- I've got charts I've used in my speeches whatever it is, there is a longer list someplace 7 7 where you can see a profitable industry, too many else that you've got. Many, many, many factors. 8 chicks, too many adults, too many eggs, an 8 Anything that the farmer does to reduce 9 unprofitable industry and then back around. 9 cost or increase income, bird numbers are involved. 10 10 And so this has got to be realized, because Q All right. Let's talk about the --11 it is a cyclic industry. You can't just look at one 11 actually, another issue came up before the break. 12 12 year at a time and say it means anything. You were talking about cage sizes, and you mentioned 13 13 67 inches versus 72 inches. Q Now, did you give some thought as part of 14 14 A Right. your work for the egg industry on how to overcome 15 15 the cyclical nature and have a long-term solution to Q Now, you were a member of the Scientific 16 16 Advisory Committee, correct? the supply issue? 17 17 A Yes. A Yes, we've made a proposal with UEP and 18 18 Q Why didn't the Scientific Advisory presented at one of their meetings about quotas. A 19 19 Committee just insist on a minimum of 72 inches for quota is a regulatory concept. 20 20 O And -every hen? 21 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; leading. A Go ahead. 22 22 Q And aside from quotas, did you have other THE WITNESS: The optimum numbers battered 23 ideas about how to address the long-term solution 23 around the industry worldwide and the United States 24 24 varies from 150 inches to 50 inches. So the for the supply issue? 25 25 committee -- now we're talking about the industry A The entire list that you have copies of

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

66 68 1 advisory committee, right? 1 A What do I recall? 2 BY MR. OLSON: 2 Q I mean, do you recall the conversation, do 3 Q We'll call it the Scientific Advisory 3 you recall how it happened, do you recall anything 4 4 Committee --5 5 A Scientific, that's fine. A No. It's just a long-term relationship 6 6 Several people were assigned this question, with these people, all the way to the farmer, that 7 7 to take a look at the research. they endorsed me to be on the committee and they 8 8 wished me to be on the committee because of my Well, the research is just enormous on this 9 9 question. And all the answers aren't the same. experience. 10 10 Q But no one is really -- there's no Q Your experience with economic issues? 11 reputable research saying 50 inches is optimal for 11 A All issues, all the welfare issues, except 12 12 the welfare of hens, is there? for these frustration issues, yeah. 13 13 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. Q That's what I want to understand. 14 14 THE WITNESS: I said economically optimal. A I mean, density, molting, beak trimming, 15 BY MR. OLSON: 15 housing, all those issues. 16 Q Oh, economically optimal? Understood. 16 Q If we talk about density, for example --17 17 A Most of the research went on pre humane A Sure. 18 society, if you understand what I'm talking about. 18 Q -- did you have experience on how density 19 19 All the research that went on before that had to do would affect the welfare of the chicken? 20 20 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, with production efficiencies, economics, how many 21 21 eggs, you know, mortality, all those things. definition of "welfare." 22 22 The human society insisted that you needed THE WITNESS: It is what you include in the 23 23 to bring in welfare issues, such as frustration, welfare. 24 peck order, a totally different ball game than I was 24 As far as frustration is concerned, no. As 25 involved with. 25 far as egg numbers are concerned, yes. As far as 67 1 mortality -- mortality is a welfare issue, and We had measurable things. I don't know 2 2 whether you're frustrated right this moment or not, mortality, yes. 3 3 you see, we're supposed to do that with the chicken. BY MR. OLSON: 4 I can't tell humans if they are frustrated or if 4 Q Okay. So your experience with those types 5 you're high on the peck order or low on the peck 5 of issues that you --6 order. But there is about 20 different behavioral 6 A Measurable issues. 7 7 Q Measurable and economic issues? characteristics that the scientists who work in that 8 8 area are concerned about. A Yes. Together, yes. 9 Well, on the advisory committee we had one 9 Q All right. Now, wouldn't it be fair to say 10 10 or two that had that background. I didn't have that that from the standpoint of the hen, a minimum of 72 11 11 background. I didn't -- I was only interested in inches is required to let a hen do any of the 12 egg numbers, egg size, mortality and what it all 12 natural behaviors that a hen does, like stretch its 13 13 wings? meant economically. 14 14 Q And is that why you were chosen for the MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; leading. It 15 15 Scientific Advisory Committee, to your knowledge? doesn't distinguish between different types of hens. MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation. 16 THE WITNESS: That question when you added 16 17 17 stretch their wings is a matter of physical size and THE WITNESS: I was asked to be on the 18 18 movability around the cage. Part of the rules say committee by UEP. 19 19 they can't even touch each other. BY MR. OLSON: 20 20 BY MR. OLSON: Q By who at UEP? 21 21 A Good question. Gene or Al, I'm not sure Q Which rule? 22 22 which. The rest of the committee was appointed by A Part of the Proposition 2. 23 the appointed chair. 23 Q Okay. But I'm just talking --24 Q And what do you recall about being asked by 24 A I know you're not, but that's a welfare --25 25 UEP to be on the committee? Isn't the scientific consensus that for a

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

	70		72
_		-	
1 2	hen just to stretch out its wings it needs a minimum	1 2	question.
3	of 72 inches? No?	3	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
4	A No. Q Okay. Now, over what period do you	4	BY MR. OLSON:
5	recall when you started working on the Scientific	5	Q So just to be clear, the members listed on the right-hand side of this document, to your
6	Advisory Committee?	6	knowledge, were the members of the Scientific
7	A Day 1.	7	Advisory Committee as of February 1999, correct?
8	Q So this is sometime in 1999?	8	A They were not the only members.
9	A You have the record.	9	Q Were there other members?
10	Q I believe we have February 1999. Does that	10	A I don't remember when Bill Chase became a
11	sound about right?	11	member.
12	A (No audible response.)	12	Q Okay.
13	MR. OLSON: I'll introduce that.	13	A But Bill Chase was one of the early
14	THE WITNESS: When you are retired, years	14	members, and he was veterinarian from a private
15	go very fast.	15	company.
16	MR. OLSON: That's fair.	16	Q But as of early 1999, at least these people
17	(Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked for	17	identified
18	identification by the court reporter	18	A At least, yeah.
19	and is attached hereto.)	19	Q were members of the committee, correct?
20	BY MR. OLSON:	20	A Right.
21	Q Let me hand you what we marked Bell 3.	21	Q Now, when you served you can put that
22	This was previously Gregory 6.	22	down.
23	A Thank you.	23	When did you stop serving on the Scientific
24	Q It is a United Voices publication.	24	Advisory Committee?
25	Mr. Bell, did you receive United Voices	25	A Isn't that part of the termination?
	71		73
1	publications?	1	Q Was that when you finally retired July 1,
2	A Yes.	2	2009?
3	Q All right. And this publication is dated	3	A Did it say anything about the committee?
4	February 22nd, 1999.	4	Q Yes, it does. It says it will end your
5	Do you see that?	5	role.
6	A Yes.	6	A Yes, as a member of the Scientific Advisory
7	Q And it refers to	7	Committee, so that will be the date.
8	A The first meetings.	8	Q So you served on that committee over
9	Q the first meeting, the February 12th and	9	approximately early 1999 through July 2009, correct?
10	13th?	10	A Sounds like ten years, right.
11	A Yes.	11	Q About ten years.
12 13	Q Does that refresh your recollection about	12 13	During the period that you served on the
14	when you started? A Yes.	14	Scientific Advisory Committee, were you paid any form of compensation by UEP?
15	Q Now, this has a list of the members of the	15	A I believe all I received was expenses to go
16	Scientific Advisory Committee on the right-hand	16	to the meetings, because I had no funds otherwise.
17	side	17	Q Mr. Bell, isn't it the case that you were
18	A Yes.	18	paid by UEP
19	Q do you see that?	19	A Oh, I was on retainer, of course.
20	A Yes.	20	Q That's what I want to talk about.
21	Q Is that an accurate list, to your	21	A You didn't ask it that way.
22	knowledge, of who the members	22	Q Oh, sorry.
23	A At that time, yes.	23	So during this period during most of the
24	MR. GODLSTEIN: Just slow down a little bit	24	period you were on the Scientific Advisory
25	because you're jumping before he gets to finish his	25	Committee, you were on retainer by UEP?

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

74 76 1 1 new. For example, we started out with adult hens, 2 Q And as part of that retainer, you were paid we then moved to growing pullets, which are younger 3 3 approximately \$15,000 a year, correct? chickens, and then we went to alternative housing. 4 4 A Approximately, yes. Alternative housing wasn't part of the original Q Okay. And you received compensation to 5 5 mandate. 6 6 attend Scientific Advisory Committee meetings as So there was four or five categories in the 7 7 original mandate, and as we -- I don't know what well? 8 8 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, they are talking about today, but the committee 9 9 "compensation." still exists and they are addressing current issues, 10 10 THE WITNESS: Expenses were the only I guess. 11 additional to my retainer fee. My retainer fee paid 11 BY MR. OLSON: 12 12 for about two days of my time a month, and I gave Q Now, let's talk about, particularly, the 13 13 them a lot more than two days. early years of the committee. How often would the 14 14 BY MR. OLSON: committee meet, generally? 15 Q So when you say "expenses," you're 15 A Oh, I don't think more than twice a year, 16 referring to things like travel expenses? 16 maybe. 17 17 A To get to Chicago, or wherever it was. Q And would those be in-person meetings? 18 Q As part of your work for UEP during that 18 A In person? 19 19 period, you were -- did you also go on other trips Q Yes. 20 20 unrelated to the Scientific Advisory Committee? A Always. 21 21 A Other trips? Q And was there any -- were there any formal 22 22 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; misstates prior rules that you were aware of that governed how the 23 23 meetings would take place? testimony 24 24 THE WITNESS: About 400 of them. A No. Same kind of meeting as we have right 25 BY MR. OLSON: 25 here. We have a chairperson, and if somebody has 75 1 Q Okay. And UEP would compensate you for 1 something to say, they raise their hand, I guess. 2 2 those --Q So an open discussion? 3 A No, no, no. The inviter would pay my 3 A An open discussion, right. 4 expenses to go to other meetings. That's the 4 Q Do you recall there being any procedure for 5 question you asked. 5 taking notes of those discussions or minutes of the 6 Q Okay. And from time to time UEP would 6 meetings? 7 7 invite you to attend meetings? A We didn't have a stenographer, somebody --8 A To attend the annual meeting and make a 8 I think the chair would ask somebody to take some 9 9 notes. Or each of us had an assignment in the first presentation. 10 10 Q And you would be paid for that as well? place, and you're supposed to bring copies of what 11 A Just transportation, just the expenses. 11 you're -- of what your report is. 12 Q Okay. What do you recall about what you 12 If it is cage density, this person is 13 were told was the initial assignment of the 13 supposed to bring a report on cage density or 14 14 Scientific Advisory Committee? transportation or whatever it may be. 15 15 A I suppose it has a lot to do with But as far as word for word or anything, 16 16 recommendations. 17 17 Q And I don't want you to speculate at all. Q So if we wanted to look for a collection of 18 18 A Okay. Okay. All right. minutes or notes of the meetings, do you have an 19 19 No, I don't remember it at all. idea of where we would look? Q Okay. Do you recall whether the mandate of 20 20 A Well, I would assume UEP would have a file 21 21 that committee changed over time? on us. I've got a partial file. I don't -- I can't 22 22 A The mandate of the committee? store everything, but the chairperson probably has a 23 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 23 file, that would be Jeff Armstrong. And UEP -- I 24 THE WITNESS: The mandate, yes, changed 24 mean, if they are doing their business, they would 25 over time as we finished one section and added a 25 certainly have a file.

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

	78		80
1	Q And a representative of UEP would always	1	that wasn't that wasn't the big feature.
2	attend the meetings; is that right?	2	Q Now, as part of your work on the Scientific
3	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form.	3	Advisory Committee, did you believe it was important
4	MR. OLSON: Strike that.	4	to be practical and realistic about the changes egg
5	Q Would a representative from UEP attend the	5	producers were asked to make?
6	meetings?	6	A I'm always that way, yes.
7	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.	7	Q And you were that way on the committee as
8	THE WITNESS: Most or all.	8	well?
9	BY MR. OLSON:	9	A Yes.
10	Q Was that Gene Gregory?	10	Q Did you believe, as part of your work on
11	A Most or all.	11	that committee, that it was important to consider
12	Q Did you have an understanding of why	12	how any changes would impact the profitability of
13	Mr. Gregory attended the Scientific Advisory	13	the industry?
14	Committee meetings?	14	A Absolutely, yes.
15	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form,	15	Q And as part of your work on the committee,
16	foundation.	16	did you consider whether any changes would be
17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	17 18	acceptable to egg producers?
19	BY MR. OLSON: Q What was your understanding?	18	A Well, obviously, I gave a different weight to each item. Some I thought would be readily
20	A Wanted to see how we were progressing on a	20	acceptable by the industry, and they were, and
21	multitude of topics. Not just animal welfare, per	21	others I thought well, an example, 72 inches, as
22	se, not just the cage density, per se, but the total	22	you brought up, that was the opinion of one of our
23	assignment of recommending the ways we handle our	23	committee persons who did the report that that's as
24	chickens.	24	far as you have to go space wise.
25	Q And would Mr. Gregory participate in the	25	Q That that should be the minimum?
	79		81
1	discussion of that occurred at these meetings?	1	A That should be the maximum.
2	A I think he took a pretty low key he	2	Q The minimum?
3	didn't want to interfere with what we said, or he	3	A Maximum.
4	certainly wouldn't tell us what to say. We were a	4	Q You didn't set the maximum height
5	bunch of people who each had 20, 30, 40 years of	5	A Oh, pardon me. That that number would be
6	experience doing research and communications and so	6	the let me just think what you're saying here.
7	on, and I think he took a back seat.	7	Q Let me try it.
8	Q But from time to time would he share his	8	A Go ahead.
9	views?	9	Q I think you're saying it is phased in over
10	A He would do what?	10	time?
11	Q Share his views?	11	A Yes, of course.
12	A We knew his views because he had his	12	Q There is an ultimate number. Someone
13 14	newsletter that you showed me here, and we all	13 14	thought that ultimate number should be 72?
15	received that. And he's interviewed or given speeches all over the place, so we have a pretty	15	A I thought that our evidence showed that it
16	good idea what his views were.	16	could go higher than 72, and I didn't win that argument.
17	Q And would he share those views from time to	17	So the person who wrote this paper reviewed
18	time at the meetings?	18	all the literature she could find and decided that
19	A With the committee?	19	67 would be the number.
20	Q Yes.	20	Now I read in the press today that they
21	A He might report back to us that the UEP	21	want to give one chicken per acre.
22	board of directors or their Animal Welfare Committee	22	Q And who is this person you're referring to?
23	thinks you're being too hard on your recommendations	23	A Right now?
24	or that you haven't discussed this part of the	24	Q No, when you said that
25	recommendation. Sure, he would have comments, but	25	A That would be the person who did the

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

82 84 1 report, Joy Mench. 1 A "When More Means Less." Okay. 2 2 Q Just to be clear, you believe Ms. Mench Q This is a document that is Bates-stamped 3 3 KRGEG00020640 through -43, and is Pope Exhibit 3. said that --4 4 MR. GODLSTEIN: Doctor. Are you familiar with this document, 5 5 BY MR. OLSON: Mr. Bell? 6 6 A Yes, I wrote it. Q Doctor, thank you. 7 7 Q This is an Egg Economics Update that you Dr. Mench said the number in the guidelines 8 8 should not be 72, it should be 67? wrote in April of 1994? 9 9 A Number 154, yes. A 67. That's where she plateaus the response 10 Q And it is titled, "When More Means Less"? 10 of the chickens. Nobody has worked with the 140 or 11 11 12 12 Europeans, they have rules of that nature, Q And what is the point that you are making 13 13 but we are now talking about colony cages, and here? 14 14 nobody has worked with, nobody has -- when I say A When we have more chickens, the industry 15 they haven't worked with them, they haven't done 15 makes less money. And it is all spelled out in the 16 statistically valid replicated studies with 16 graphics form. That's the justification that's 17 replications. Replications, meaning you repeat the 17 stated. 18 results, repeat the results, and you are consistent 18 Q And the conclusion that you have here is 19 19 "that for each 1 million hen change," that is in the with your answer. 20 20 number of hens, "prices change in the opposite People who do anecdotal-type things, I 21 21 don't pay attention to them. They are not science. direction by about 1 cent per dozen"? 22 22 Q So how was this decision where you were A I don't see where you're seeing that, but 23 23 you should show me -- oh, here down at the bottom. saying it should be 72 and she was saying it should 24 24 be 67, how was the decision ultimately made? That's exactly right. 25 A It was finally made to use the 67 inch. 25 Q All right. You can put that aside. 83 85 1 1 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for Q But what was the process of resolving that 2 2 identification by the court reporter dispute? 3 3 and is attached hereto.) A I suppose she took -- I don't know if she 4 took all the research she could pull together -- I 4 BY MR. OLSON: 5 need to differentiate here between my research and 5 Q Let me hand you what we marked Bell 5. 6 her research. Okay? 6 A Remember, that was written in 1994. Okay? 7 7 But she pulled together all the published Q But I take it the fundamental conclusion 8 8 data that she could find and plotted against that you made in that point about more meaning less, 9 production, or whatever it was that she was looking. 9 that never changed, right? 10 10 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. I'm sure she didn't look at it from an economic 11 11 standpoint, because most scientists don't use the THE WITNESS: It changes individual years, 12 12 that's why I stress the need to look at five years dollar sign. I do, and that's where my optimum 13 13 might be different than her optimum or maximum, or at a time. 14 14 whatever you want to say. So if you just take one year, that rule 15 15 So my work, by the way, is not published as doesn't apply. You have to look at a series of 16 16 often as her work, because she's used to publishing years. And it's over time that that rule applies. 17 17 in all the journals. I publish in these newsletters Now, with our egg prices today versus what 18 18 that you have right there in front of you. That's they were ten years ago, the answer is going to be 19 19 where I publish my results. different because we have dollar eggs now and we had 20 20 (Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for 50 cent eggs then. 21 21 BY MR. OLSON: identification by the court reporter 22 22 and is attached hereto.) Q Is the specific number of the change going 23 BY MR. OLSON: 23 to be different, or is the conclusion about more 24 Q All right. Let me hand you what we've 24 meaning less going to be different? 25 25 marked Bell 4. A I think more meaning less will hold true

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

88 86 1 forever, unless you have regulatory government 1 a much greater service by recommending an increase 2 involvement, or something like that. But free in the use of molting rather than its elimination, 3 3 enterprise, I think, it holds forever. right? 4 4 What do we have here? MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 5 Q I've handed you what's been marked Bell 5. 5 THE WITNESS: That's what it says. 6 It is Bates-stamped BELL-D-00032755. 6 BY MR. OLSON: 7 7 And let me know when you've reviewed it. Q And that was your view at the time? 8 8 A Do I still agree with it? A You want me to read it in detail? 9 9 Q Just skim it and let us know when you're Q No. Was that your view at the time? 10 10 That's what it says. That was my view, done. 11 MALE VOICE ON THE TELEPHONE: I'm sorry. 11 yeah. 12 12 Can you repeat that number again, please? Q And molting -- at this time when you're 13 13 MR. OLSON: BELL-D-00032755. talking about molting you're talking about -- it's 14 MALE VOICE ON THE TELEPHONE: Thank you. 14 called induced molting? 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. I think I understand. 15 A That's the new term, yeah. 16 BY MR. OLSON: 16 Q And that involves not giving a hen any feed 17 17 Q Now, can you identify this as a letter you for a period of time? 18 sent to Al Pope on March 17, 1995? 18 A At that time, that was the way to do it. 19 19 A Yes, uh-huh. And it's always been the best way, but it is also 20 20 Q And it refers to some UEP members bashing more controversial. 21 21 molting. Q And then you say, "another option would be for UEP to take a stronger stand on the question of 22 22 Do you know what that's referring to? 23 23 A It's a controversial subject that everyone space allowances." 24 went from no molting to practically everyone went to 24 Do you see that? 25 A Getting my longstanding recommendation, 25 molting 87 89 1 1 And during that transition period there is again, to this person. 2 2 going to be considerable disagreement. And talking Q So your point is this is the type of 3 3 recommendation you made to Mr. Pope on multiple about -- let's see. 4 "Molting is not responsible for 4 occasions? 5 overproduction any more than feed is," that's sort 5 A I wouldn't just stop talking to him just 6 of my concluding sentence there in the middle of the 6 about molting if I had a chance to dig him on the 7 7 discussion. 8 8 Q And what did you mean by "UEP taking a Q I take it during this transition period 9 some people thought molting was the cause of 9 stronger stand on the question of space allowances"? 10 10 overproduction? A This was written before the advisory 11 11 A Some people would blame everything but committee, right, 1997? 12 12 Q Right. themselves, of course, yes. 13 13 A That's the time limits. When it was Q And the point you make here is that, in 14 14 written was before any of these recommendations, 67 fact, molting --15 15 A Reduces costs. inches, any of that was brought forward. 16 16 Q -- reduces costs? So at that time people were going 48 17 17 A But it lowers production as an outcome. inches, 45 inches. And, you know, that's way beyond 18 18 our analyses, economic or otherwise. And you could But what is the individual poultryman concerned 19 about? His profitability. And if he loses some 19 lose 30, 40 eggs per bird. 20 20 production, then he grits or bites his teeth, or Q What did you have in mind about UEP taking 21 21 whatever you say, and says, well, that's just one of a stronger stand on that question? 22 22 the costs of molting. But the main thing is he A In their persuasion capability with their 23 doesn't have to buy so many chickens. 23 newsletters, wherever that one went, Industry Voices 24 24 it is called, to encourage people or persuade people Q And so for those reasons, you wrote to 25 Mr. Pope that you think UEP would do its membership 25 to take a harder look at your density question. If

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

	90		92
1		,	
2	you look at the NAHMS study, N-A-H-M-S study, it was	1 2	(Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked for
3	a federal study of density across the United States, and molting, by the way, and you see this wide range	3	identification by the court reporter
4	of participation and, therefore, you have a lot of	4	and is attached hereto.) BY MR. OLSON:
5	opportunity for people going overboard or not doing	5	Q All right. Let me hand you what we marked
6	it enough and putting in too many chickens, putting	6	Bell 6.
7	in too few chickens.	7	So I'm really just focused on the first
8	And it takes a national survey to	8	page. I'll identify this as a document
9	demonstrate that. And then if UEP is on the ball,	9	A Which one is the first page? It says June
10	they'll say, well, this portion of our industry has,	10	29th?
11	obviously, gone too far.	11	Q No, the July 1, 1999.
12	Q So this was written in mid-1995. Would it	12	A That's the second one.
13	be fair to say that by the end of the 1990s UEP was	13	Q It is Bates-stamped BELL-D-00026787 through
14	prepared to take your advice to take a stronger	14	-88.
15	stand on the question of space allowances?	15	A Okay.
16	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form,	16	Q Mr. Bell, can you identify this as a fax
17	misstates prior testimony.	17	correspondence you received from Gene Gregory on
18	THE WITNESS: Well, the fact that they	18	July 1, 1999?
19	the fact that they put together an advisory	19	A Yes, that's one that I received, yes.
20	committee means they needed scientific evidence for	20	Q And it refers to an emergency meeting of
21	their recommendation. That's the way they looked at	21	UEP's marketing committee.
22	it.	22	Do you see that?
23	There was also extreme pressure from the	23	A Yes, I see that.
24	humane society that UEP do these make these	24	Q From time to time did you attend meetings
25	decisions. We've never satisfied the humane society	25	of UEP's marketing committee?
	91		93
1	completely, but they are coming and at least talking	1	A I can't recall.
2	to each other.	2	Q Mr. Gregory asks, in connection with that
3	BY MR. OLSON:	3	upcoming meeting, that you and Mr. Schrader prepare
4	Q But the cage space guidelines that UEP	4	something for UEP.
5	ultimately put into place	5	Do you see that?
6	A Yes.	6	A Yes.
7	Q was an example of UEP taking a stronger	7	Q And he describes it as a 12-month supply
8	stand in this question of space allowances, fair?	8	plan to meet the market needs that provides a
9	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form,	9	reasonable return on investment.
10 11	misstates prior testimony.	10	Do you see that?
12	THE WITNESS: They they took the	11 12	A Yes, uh-huh.
13	intermediate road and got the outside specialists to come in and study these issues, otherwise it	13	Q And how did you understand that request? What was Mr. Gregory asking for, to your knowledge?
14	wouldn't have been done. So I think UEP did a	14	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation.
15	tremendous thing to pull the committee together, let	15	THE WITNESS: I have no recollection of
16	them do their work, make their recommendations and	16	sending a report of this type to Gene Gregory, or
17	follow them as much as they could.	17	anyone else, unless there are components of it which
18	BY MR. OLSON:	18	might have come out of some of our flock's modeling.
19	Q And that was an example of UEP taking a	19	Our models tell us some of those answers, but I
20	stronger stand on the question of cage space	20	don't find in my files anywhere this particular
21	allowances?	21	response.
22	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, asked	22	(Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked for
23	and answered, misstates prior testimony.	23	identification by the court reporter
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, that was one of the	24	and is attached hereto.)
25	issues, yes.	25	BY MR. OLSON:

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

	94		96
1	Q All right. Well, let me hand you what we	1	some profits, right?
2	marked Bell 7.	2	A That's what it says, yeah.
3	A Okay.	3	Q Okay. If you look at the opening sentences
4	That's from me.	4	do you see where you say, "Correction in the size of
5	Q And you see it is dated the next day?	5	the nation's layer flock can be obtained by one of
6	A That's a pretty good response, isn't it?	6	several ways"?
7	Q It's fast work.	7	A Yes.
8	So let me identify this for the record as	8	Q And then under that you give four different
9	Bates stamp BELL007698 through -7709.	9	ways that the egg industry can correct the size of
10	A These are right out of my regular	10	its flock, right?
11	newsletter.	11	A I have to read that.
12	Q Okay. Well, first, can you just identify	12	Q Please do. Let me know when you're done.
13	this document as one that you sent to	13	A Yeah, I read the four of them, yes.
14	A Yes.	14	Q So you're giving four ways of correcting
15	Q Mr. Gregory on July 2nd, 1999, right?	15	the size of the nation's four ideas?
16	A Yes.	16	A Right.
17	Q And at the beginning you have some tables,	17	Q And what's going on here is the industry is
18	and those are the ones you're saying are right out	18	facing extremely low egg prices
19 20	of your newsletter?	19 20	A Lassume so.
21	A Yes.	21	Q Well, in fact, you say that, right?
22	Q And if you keep paging through and get through	22	A Okay. I said it, that's fine. Q "Extremely low egg prices," so your idea is
23	A The last page?	23	to change supply to correct that problem, right?
24	Q the second-to-the-last page	24	A Yes.
25	A Right.	25	Q Just looking at number 2, it says, "Extra
	95		97
1	O it is titled "Estimated Breek even	1	birds must be removed from the nation's flock
2	Q it is titled, "Estimated Break-even Beginning Hen Inventory for 1999"	2	permanently."
3	A Yes.	3	A Yes.
4	Q "to 2000 for Break-even or Better	4	Q What were you referring to there?
5	Situation."	5	A Surplus birds, over demand.
6	Do you see that?	6	Q Do what with surplus birds?
7	A Yes.	7	A Get rid of them.
8	Q Have you reviewed this page?	8	Q How do you do them?
9	A No, I haven't read it, but it's, obviously,	9	A Kill them.
10	an editorial add-on to the statistics pages.	10	Q Mr. Bell, do you find any irony in the fact
11	Q Okay.	11	that while you were a member of the Scientific
12	A So he asked us for the statistics and the	12	Advisory Committee you were recommending just
13	plan, and I sent him what was immediately available,	13	disposing of hens?
14	and in one day that is pretty fast. And the rest of	14	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form,
15	it I sat down at probably 3:00 in the morning and	15	speculation.
16	typed out my editorial about that.	16 17	THE WITNESS: No, I have never been able to
17	Q Okay.	17 18	separate my brain into 20 percent and 80 percent, so
18 19	A And I haven't read it in years, so I don't	19	I'm me. And you get the whole thing. I'm either on the committee or my day-by-day life. Since I'm paid
20	know Q All right. Let's look at that page.	20	for two days of my time, I think I have 20 other
21	A Okay. Go ahead.	21	days that I can do whatever I want and think
22	Q You're talking about how to achieve a	22	whatever I want, write whatever I want, communicate.
23	break-even or better situation?	23	Okay? So, no, I don't I think this is totally
24	A Uh-huh.	24	within my realm.
ı	Q So that means either break even or make	25	BY MR. OLSON:

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

100 98 1 Q Number 4 refers to an industry-wide policy 1 major issue, was that we have too many chickens. So 2 2 that's always going to be a question, that's always of a minimum floor space allowance that would result 3 3 in a more ideal national flock size? going to be a target. 4 4 A Right. Q And in this one, again, you suggest that 5 Q And that was a suggestion that you were 5 molting should be included as part of a national 6 making at this time for UEP? 6 program, because that results in a lower level of 7 7 A Yeah. egg production, right? 8 8 Q And, in fact, UEP ended up adopting that? A Yes, of course. Yes. 9 9 Q Now, you talked about UEP making efforts to A No. The 48 inches at that time was what 15 10 10 persuade its members -to 20 percent of the birds were kept, at less than 11 11 48 inches. A Uh-huh. 12 12 Q -- and identified the newsletters So I suggested here that if 48 were adopted Mr. Gregory edited? 13 13 as the minimum space allowance, but you know what 14 14 happened is it became 67 eventually. A Uh-huh. 15 Q It became a higher number? 15 Q Beyond the newsletters, to your knowledge, 16 A Yeah 16 what other types of efforts did UEP make to persuade 17 Q Right. 17 its members to manage egg supply? 18 18 A And I've recommended early stages 72. 72 A Well, all of their programs are voluntary, 19 19 square inches -- early stages of a recommendation, so I'm not just sure where voluntary comes into 20 20 persuasion. but those recommendations change. 21 21 Q But putting the specific numbers aside, the You know, whether you reduce your bird 22 22 gist of the recommendation here was to adopt a numbers, that was a voluntary decision on each 23 23 minimum floor space allowance to help the person's part. 24 24 profitability of the industry? Q Were you ever at UEP meetings where members 25 A Of course, yes. 25 were asked to sign commitment forms about following 99 1 Q And the suggestion, as it's laid out here, 1 programs, to your knowledge, to your recollection? 2 doesn't relate to animal welfare, right? 2 A I don't recall it personally. Of course, 3 3 A I wouldn't say that. the progress of having people participate was 4 Q Well, here there is no -- it's not being 4 reported in their newsletters, that we now have 5 suggested --5 50 percent, we now have 70 percent, we now have 6 A The word isn't used in there, not being 6 90 percent. And those -- that's all anybody really 7 7 used in the text. needs to know. 8 Q The outcome of this suggestion is an 8 Q Why do you say that? 9 9 A Because the rest of it is confidential economic one? 10 10 A That's what causes the suggestion, is that company information. I don't want you to know that 11 the -- my experience with cage density shows that 11 I'm participating or not participating. 12 that's an extreme that we shouldn't recommend. 12 Q By name? 13 Q Now, if you turn to the next page, this one 13 A By name. 14 14 is entitled, "A Twelve Month Supply Plan to Meet the Q But it's helpful to know that others are 15 Market Needs that Provides a Reasonable Return on 15 participating? A And that's where the 70 percent comes in, 16 Investment." 16 17 17 the 80 percent comes in, yeah. Do you see that? 18 18 Q And why is it helpful for an individual A Yes. 19 Q And that's specifically what Mr. Gregory 19 producer to know that 70 percent or 80 percent of 20 20 others are participating? asked you to prepare, correct? 21 21 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation, A That's part of the request, yes. 22 22 Q Do you recall other times that Mr. Gregory, calls for speculation. 23 or others in UEP, requested you propose plans for 23 THE WITNESS: You said that earlier. You 24 managing egg output? 24 want to know you're in step. You want to know this has a good chance of working. It starts out in 25 A I would say frequently, because that was a 25

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	102		104
1	somebody's mind, and they put it down on paper and	1	that their barn burned down and they need some
2	they have a committee and eventually you get a	2	values for their chickens, they get a hold of me,
3	what do you call it?	3	because we've developed computer models to calculate
4	BY MR. OLSON:	4	these things. So I'm not the only person out there
5	Q Program?	5	giving advice, as you know.
6	A No.	6	(Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked for
7	Q Proposal?	7	identification by the court reporter
8	A Where everybody votes referendum.	8	and is attached hereto.)
9	You eventually have a referendum and you	9	BY MR. OLSON:
10	may do a national referendum on some law, and laws	10	Q Let me hand you what's been marked Bell
11	have been thrown out added to a national referendum.	11	8 actually, could you put that down for a moment.
12	But you don't want to put yourself out	12	A Okay.
13	there without having some idea what the industry is	13	Q Going back to Bell 7, these ideas that you
14	doing as a whole. And I think that kind of a	14	gave to Mr. Gregory
15	progress that we now have 50, we now have 70, that's	15	A Okay.
16	enough to encourage people to say, well, I guess I	16	Q do you recall specifically the ideas
17	should get on board too.	17	about different ways to correct the size of the
18	Q Now, Mr. Gregory, you've worked	18	nation's layer flock? Do you recall discussing
19	A I'm not Mr. Gregory.	19	these with Mr. Gregory or others at UEP after you
20 21	Q I apologize.	20 21	provided
22	Mr. Bell, you've worked in the egg industry	22	A After I gave him this? Q Right.
23	for over 45 years? A Yes.	23	A Probably no.
24	Q And you've been affiliated with a variety	24	Q I'm just asking if you recall.
25	of organization that do work for the egg industry?	25	A Well, I don't recall, no.
	103		105
	100		
1	A Yes.	1	Q All right. Now I've handed you what we've
2	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.	2	marked Gregory 8 Bell 8. Sorry.
3	BY MR. OLSON:	3	A Which one are we looking at? Molting?
4	Q And you've worked for and done consulting	4	Q This is a UEP publication. Let me read the
5	work for a number of individual egg producers?	5	Bates number into the record.
6 7	A Yes. Q What number would you put that at?	6 7	It is UE0064456 through -64459. It was
8	A Well, it started out with a county, 400	8	Gregory Exhibit 11. That's why I have Gregory on the brain.
9	producers with all of them. You go statewide with	9	All right. Let me just first ask if you
10	2,000 producers, I may work with 25 percent of them,	10	can identify this as United Voices
11	because they are too far away from my office. Okay?	11	A That's their regular every two weeks, I
12	When I began as a statewide specialist,	12	believe it is.
13	then I started to branch out nationally, and now you	13	Q And you received these generally, correct?
14	start to do newsletters to everybody, no getting	14	A I keep about half of them, throw half of
15	stuff out intentionally.	15	them away.
16	And then when I get to do international,	16	Q All right. So if you look at beginning at
17	that involves organizations in other countries,	17	the bottom right of the second page there is a part
18	SO	18	that said, "Roller Coaster Egg Price Quotes," and
19	Q Now, in addition to this national work	19	maybe if you could just skim that
20	A Yes.	20	A Okay.
21	Q did you continue to do some consulting	21	Q and skim page 3.
22	for individual egg producers?	22	A Who wrote it?
23	A Yes.	23	Q Well, I believe this is Mr. Gregory.
24	Q You've done that your whole career?	24	A Him. Okay. Boy, that's a terrible
25	A Whenever we get a request from somebody	25	there it is over there, market outlook.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

	106		108
1	Do I have to read the whole thing?	1	(Deposition Exhibit 9 was marked for
2	Q If you just skim it.	2	identification by the court reporter
3	A Okay. Go ahead.	3	and is attached hereto.)
4	Q Just the question is can you see that if	4	BY MR. OLSON:
5	you look at the top right of page 3, Mr. Gregory	5	Q Let me hand you what we've marked Bell 9.
6	says, "You will also be asked if you would	6	Why don't you briefly review this, please.
7	participate in a supply adjustment program."	7	It is Bates-stamped BELL004041 through -42.
8	Do you see where it says that?	8	Why don't we change tape now.
9	A Yes, I see that.	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Off the record.
10	Q And then underneath do you see where	10	We are off the record. The time is 12:11 p.m. on
11	Mr. Gregory has reproduced the suggestions you made	11	August 20th, 2013. This is the end of video number
12	about the corrections in the nation's flock size?	12	1 of the continuing deposition of Mr. Donald Bell.
13 14	A Yes.	13	(Recess.)
15	Q And it has those four including the	14 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record.
16	industry-wide policy of a A Is this shortly after those other letters?	16	The time is 12:16 p.m. on August 20th, 2013. This is the beginning of video number 2 of the deposition
17	Q It is the next month.	17	of Mr. Donald Bell.
18	A The next month. Okay. Go ahead.	18	BY MR. OLSON:
19	Q And it includes this one about the minimum	19	Q Mr. Bell, can you identify Exhibit 9 as an
20	floor space allowance.	20	e-mail you wrote to what you were calling the Animal
21	A Uh-huh.	21	Welfare Committee on December 22nd, 1999?
22	Q Now, based on your knowledge of the	22	A Yes, I do.
23	industry, do you have an understanding of who would	23	Q This is what we called today the Scientific
24	have received this type of document?	24	Advisory Committee?
25	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation.	25	A Yes.
	107		109
1	THE WITNESS: Are those four points the	1	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form.
2	same exact points that I had in my letter?	2	BY MR. OLSON:
3	BY MR. OLSON:	3	Q And what you discuss in this e-mail is the
4	Q Right.	4	issue of cage space and how much cage space the
5	A Okay. Part of my editorial?	5	committee should recommend generally, correct?
6	Q Right.	6	A Yes.
7	A Okay.	7	Q And you begin that discussion by saying,
8	Q So this is just a background question. I	8	"Even though the subject is mainly one on selecting
9 10	mean, I take it you're aware that this United Voices was sent to UEP members and even others?	9 10	cage density based on current economic parameters,"
11	A Well, it's sent to their entire membership	11	and you go on. What were you referring to when you say,
12	list, to my knowledge, and I don't remember this	12	"based on current economic parameters"?
13	being a response to my earlier letter. I don't read	13	A I think we probably were looking at
14	some of these things	14	averages, which vary from year to year, as opposed
15	Q So you don't recall seeing this at the	15	to a set of recommendations which would be over a
16	time?	16	long time period. So I think that's probably the
17	A I don't recall, but it is right out of	17	emphasis there.
18	here, so it's okay.	18	Q But what economic parameters was the
19	Q Just my question is: Does this refresh	19	committee considering in this regard?
20	your recollection about any follow-up discussions	20	A That the committee, at that time, in
21	you had with, really, anyone about these ideas?	21	early sitting of the committee, would probably have
22 23	A This is the first I've known about it.	22 23	placed most of its emphasis on egg production and
24	Q Okay. Put that aside.	23 24	mortality, and not until they were pressured into
25	A I'm sure it's been the subject of discussion, but I have no personal knowledge.	25	welfare-type issues much later by the humane society.
43	uiscussion, but i nave no personal knowledge.	23	Society.

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

110 112 1 So I would assume that "current economic 1 are you in the third one? I can't see it in the 2 2 parameters" means the cost of production and the third paragraph. 3 3 Q Do you see the paragraph that says, "I am price of eggs. 4 4 Q And one of the points you make here is that primarily concerned"? 5 5 in putting together the guidelines, the committee A Yes, okay. Q The third sentence there. 6 6 needs to recognize that the egg producer is in 7 7 business to make a living, right? A Oh, third sentence, you said "third 8 8 A Yes. paragraph." 9 Q And the Scientific Advisory Committee needs 9 Q It is the third sentence in the third 10 10 to think about investments, cost, performance and paragraph. 11 profits, and consider all those things in the 11 A "I am also concerned." Okay. I was 12 12 program that was going to be adopted, right? reading down here, number 3. 13 13 A I'm not finding your keywords. Q Do you see that? 14 14 A That's saying that if you allowed an acre Q Oh, sorry. If you look at point 2. 15 A Point 2 down here. Okay. Yes, I see it. 15 of land for each chicken, that you wouldn't persuade 16 Okav. 16 anybody to make any changes, because you've given 17 Q Point 2 and 3, what you're saying is when 17 them way more than is required to satisfy the 18 the committee makes its recommendations, it needs to 18 welfare. 19 19 consider that the egg producer is in business to Q And, in fact, has this document refreshed 20 20 make a living and it needs to consider investments, your recollection that at this time some on the 21 21 cost, performance and profits, right? committee were thinking that 72 inches was the right 22 22 A I guess there is a word -- "consider" or number? 23 23 "consideration" might need to be explained, but A Yes. 72 inches is sort of a magic number. 24 24 that's what I wrote, so that's what they got. Because of the size of cages that were present at 25 "Consider" means one of many things that you have to 25 the time, you divide the total space of the cage by 1 1 consider. 1, 2, 3 or 4, or some other number, and 72 comes out 2 a good, usable number. Q Okay. But these are things that needed to 3 be considered --3 Q And you make the point on the second page 4 A Exactly. 4 of this that you were against 72 square inches as a 5 Q -- in your view? 5 number because it could not be economically 6 And the committee did consider those 6 justified, right? 7 7 things? A Yes. And it also goes back to the question 8 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation. 8 of a standard for everybody, 72 inches for you might 9 THE WITNESS: Among the welfare issues that 9 be the right number, for me it is the wrong number. 10 10 So we have methods of analyzing the issue for you $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =$ we're primarily concerned with. The economics would 11 be more important to me, probably, because, as I 11 and for me separately. 12 12 And if we want to use something for the said, as a main reason people change is economic 13 13 entire industry, well, then, we'll develop a set of reasons. That's why they change anything, and we 14 14 are talking about change here. parameters, and we'll say that that's too much or 15 15 BY MR. OLSON: that's too little. 16 Q And one of the points you make here in that 16 Q And you thought in coming up with the 17 regard is you thought an unrealistically high 17 parameters, the committee had to consider practical 18 recommendation would fail to persuade anyone, right? 18 issues and be realistic, right? 19 A Where did you read that now? 19 A Absolutely, yes. 20 20 Q The third full paragraph. Q And not recommend practices that weren't 21 21 economically justified? A The third full paragraph. 22 22 Q Do you see where you say, "I'm also A Especially in the next paragraph where it 23 concerned that an unrealistically high 23 says such as Economic Union of Europe to just copy 24 recommendation would fail to persuade anyone"? 24 what they do verbatim and thinking that's going to 25 25 A You're in the second part of that? Where apply to the United States. That's a very important

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

	114		116
1	statement.	1	But I don't know if this has got the hard
2	And that's what we've done, we've adopted	2	copy set of slides or anything like that.
3	some of their technology and management from Europe	3	Q I don't think I have that.
4	word for word, and it's not for the United States.	4	A Okay.
5	Q Okay. All right. I think that's all on	5	Q And if you look at the next page where it
6	this document. Do you want to take a break now?	6	says "Also, Don" do you see that?
7	MR. GODLSTEIN: Yes, let's take a break.	7	A Yes.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record.	8	Q "let me know how to adequately
9	The time is 12:23 p.m.	9	compensate you for your time and effort for this
10	(Recess.)	10	presentation."
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	11	Do you recall how you were compensated?
12	record. The time is 12:36 p.m.	12	A I have no idea. At least expenses.
13	(Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked for	13	Q Okay. You can put that aside.
14	identification by the court reporter	14	(Deposition Exhibit 11 was marked for
15	and is attached hereto.)	15	identification by the court reporter
16 17	BY MR. OLSON:	16 17	and is attached hereto.)
18	Q I've handed you what's been marked Bell Exhibit 10. It's Bates-stamped BELL004353 through	18	BY MR. OLSON: Q Now let me hand you what's been marked Bell
19	-4354.	19	11.
20	And can you identify this as a document	20	A Thank you. Okay.
21	that you received from Jerry Faulkner of the United	21	Q This is a document entitled,
22	States Egg Marketers sometime prior to January 18th,	22	"Recommendations for UEP Animal Welfare Guidelines,"
23	2000?	23	dated September 2000, Bates-stamped UE0208684
24	A Yes, it was it is.	24	through -208703.
25	Q And it references you agreeing to come	25	And can you generally identify this
	115		117
1	present a report to the USEM membership on January	1	document for us, Mr. Bell?
2	18th, 2000, correct?	2	A Well, it appears to be a set of guidelines
3	A Yes.	3	that the advisory committee gave to UEP, but I don't
4	Q And does that refresh your recollection	4	know whether it was a final copy of this particular
5	that you were invited to	5	document or whether it was the fact that it says
6	A Yes.	6	"confidential" at the bottom makes me think it was
7	Q present to USEM?	7	an in-progress report.
8	Okay. Just briefly, at the bottom of page	8	Q Well, I can tell you that it was
9	1, do you see where it says, "Following your	9	represented to us by UEP counsel and Gene Gregory
10	presentation, the membership will take up the	10	that this is the final copy.
11 12	subject of reducing each member's total hen numbers	11 12	A This is the final?
13	during this year through slaughter, rendering, et cetera, as well as cutting chick placements"?	13	Q If that's helpful. A This is an old copy, of course. The
14	A Yes.	14	current one is around 210 2010, or something.
15	Q It says, "Your presentation will 'set the	15	Q Okay. Let's just look at a couple but,
16	stage' for consideration of these flock reduction	16	in any case, this document, to the extent it was the
17	programs."	17	final one, would have been the initial set of
18	Do you see that?	18	recommendations?
19	A Yes.	19	A It follows our first meeting in 1999,
20	Q Do you recall this meetings?	20	right.
21	A Vaguely. I think I mentioned it earlier	21	Q Right.
22	that I thought I had been to one. And when I saw	22	A So it would be I'm sure this is the
23	Faulkner's name, then I recognized it. I was,	23	first document, quote unquote.
24	frankly, thinking of one of his successor, and	24	Q That that was the official recommendations
25	that's why I couldn't relate it.	25	of the Scientific Advisory Committee?

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

3 4 5 6	A But I don't know how far this goes. Has UEP accepted this at this point? Q Well, I can't answer that one for you. A Okay. Q Let's just page through it a little bit. If you go a few pages in, there is a headline that	1 2 3 4	Allowances, and Environment." A On the page there we go. Q Do you see that heading?
2 L 3 4 5 6 I 7 s	UEP accepted this at this point? Q Well, I can't answer that one for you. A Okay. Q Let's just page through it a little bit. If you go a few pages in, there is a headline that	2 3	A On the page there we go.
3 4 5 6 I 7 s	Q Well, I can't answer that one for you. A Okay. Q Let's just page through it a little bit. If you go a few pages in, there is a headline that		
5 6 I 7 s	Q Let's just page through it a little bit. If you go a few pages in, there is a headline that	4	
6 I 7 s	If you go a few pages in, there is a headline that		A Got it.
7 s	, ,	5	Q Now, I take it, Mr. Bell, that with regard
I	IDeal Tripopian Leving Charles of Chickers IIO	6	to housing, cage space density wasn't the only issue
8	says, "Beak Trimming Laying Strains of Chickens"?	7	the scientific committee thought was important?
	A Yes.	8	A I would hope not.
9	Q Were you involved in forming	9	Q The committee also thought feeder space was
	recommendations about beak trimming?	10	important, right?
11	A In this particular wait. I probably	11	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.
	commented on it, because we've done a lot of	12	THE WITNESS: I personally did, because I
	research on it in the committee, but I believe this	13	was I was one of the first ones to do work with
	was written by Scotti Hester, one of the members, I	14 15	feeder space, and we demonstrated up to 6 inches.
	pelieve this is, and she's fantastic. And I don't	16	But if you look at the final part of this thing, I'm not sure whether we wound up with 4 inches or we
	think there is any aspect that I would have disagreed with.	17	wound up with enough space for all the birds to eat
18	Q All right. So if you look at where it says	18	at the same time.
	Beak Trimming Recommendations for UEP Guidelines,"	19	BY MR. OLSON:
	probably the next page.	20	Q If you look go ahead and page towards
21	A Oh, next page? Go ahead.	21	the recommendations, "Housing Recommendations For
22	Q The first recommendation there, do you see	22	Caged Hens for the UEP Guidelines"?
23 ji	t? "Where possible, producers should select	23	A Where are we? Conclusion, or what?
24 g	genetic stocks that require little or no beak	24	"Housing Recommendations" go ahead.
2 5 t	trimming"?	25	Q If you look at point 4
	119		121
1	A That's the easy way out, yes.	1	A Go ahead.
2	Q And that's the recommendation of the	2	Q What does point 4 indicate about the
	Scientific Advisory Committee, correct?	3	consensus of the Scientific Advisory Committee?
4	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.	4	A Well, it says 4 inches.
5	THE WITNESS: That would be the first	5	Q Minimum 4 inches per bird?
	recommendation. I don't	6	A Yes. Do you want me to continue or you've
7 E 8	BY MR. OLSON:	7 8	had enough answer?
9	Q Their first recommendation?	9	Q Let me try a couple questions.
10	A Yes. Q And do you know if UEP adopted that	10	A Go ahead. You forget you're talking to an extension person.
	recommendation	11	Q And who, on the committee, was principally
12	A Doesn't this represent the final copy, or	12	responsible for this section, to your knowledge?
13 r	not?	13	A This was written, like I say, by Scotti
14	Q Well, this is the Scientific Advisory	14	Hester. If you go to the front of the page
15 (Committee guidelines. I'm saying in the UEP	15	Q The beak trimming?
-	producer guidelines, do you know if	16	A Scotti Hester.
17	A I don't think they would have changed that.	17	Q That's a woman?
	That's not an argumentative thing.	18	A That's a lady.
19	Q That was not a controversial	19	Q Okay. Did Dr. Hester also draft the
20 r 21	recommendation?	20 21	housing
	A It's like motherhood, you wouldn't complain	22	A We're on housing now? Q Yes.
23	about motherhood, would you? Q I certainly wouldn't.	23	A Okay. Pardon me.
24	All right. If we look at the next heading,	24	Housing, if it involves a lot of cage
	this is the one that says, "Housing, Space	25	density, it was probably done by Joy Mench, and I

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	122		124
1	think she probably had a co-writer. It wasn't me, I	1	abandoned. I went as far as 6.
2	think, even though let me see, did I I	2	Q Now, did the UEP producer guidelines, to
3	probably submit yeah, we were all asked to submit	3	your knowledge, ever accept this recommendation that
4	our thinking about each person's original draft.	4	4 inches of feeder space must be provided to hens?
5	Q And then you all signed off on the final?	5	A Well, unless this is this is not you
6	A We all signed off on the final. I don't	6	say this is not the first document? You say it's a
7	think we were antagonistic about anything at that	7	draft from the committee, right?
8	point.	8	Q This is the final
9	Q And would it be fair to say that the	9	A Draft?
10	consensus of the advisory committee is that feeder	10	Q Well, the final it's not a draft. It's
11	space was a critical element affecting welfare?	11	the final initial guidelines.
12	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, leading,	12	A It's not the publication?
13	foundation.	13	Q It is not the UEP publication. This is the
14	THE WITNESS: The committee, I don't think,	14	Scientific Advisory Committee
15	was as firm as I was. Remember, we had worldwide	15	A Scientific Advisory Committee, at this
16	we had over 50 million chickens working on the	16	point, but I don't think the first published one to
17	feeder space part of that. And they bought and	17	the general public used the word 4 inches. Okay?
18	built all over the world. And up to that point, up	18	Q Are you talking about the UEP guidelines?
19	to that point, 4 inches was kind of the exception.	19	A No, I'm talking about the UEP published
20	BY MR. OLSON:	20	guidelines in definitely in 2010 and 2008, and
21	Q And if you look back at the prior page, the	21	there's been about three or four versions of this
22	one that ends in -92?	22	thing.
23	MR. GODLSTEIN: Go one page.	23	The very first one of these things that was
24 25	THE WITNESS: Okay.	24 25	publicly released to their members, to their
25	MR. GODLSTEIN: Back.	23	members, I'm not sure whether it says 4 or enough
	123		125
1	THE WITNESS: The other way?	1	for all the chickens.
2	MR. GODLSTEIN: Yes.	2	Q Fair enough.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Go ahead.	3	Now, feeder space is important, as I
4	BY MR. OLSON:	4	understand it, because within hens there is a
5	Q All right. Do you see where it says	5	pecking order, right?
6	towards the top, "The research on space allowances	6	A Go ahead.
7	can be summarized as follows"?	7	Q And there's some
8	A Yes. Go ahead.	8	A Demonstrate your knowledge.
9 10	Q And if you look at the last bullet there,	9 10	Q Let me try and tell me if I'm right. There are some that are socially dominant?
11	second sentence says, "Feeder space is a critical element affecting"	11	There are some that are socially dominant? A Yes.
12	A Yes.	12	Q And there are some that are socially
13	Q "affecting welfare," right?	13	A Recessive.
14	Was that the view of the committee?	14	Q recessive?
15	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation.	15	And the concern is that the socially
16	THE WITNESS: I believed it was, but not to	16	dominant ones won't let the socially recessive ones
17	the point of the exact number.	17	eat enough unless there is enough feeder space for
18	BY MR. OLSON:	18	all the hens?
19	Q Well, if you look at the bottom of that	19	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.
20	bullet	20	BY MR. OLSON:
21	A Yeah.	21	Q Is that a fair characterization?
22	Q doesn't it say 4 inches of feed	22	A That's fair. It is more complex than that,
23	A It does, but eventually not too much later	23	but go ahead.
24	this became enough so that all the chickens could	24	Q How would you describe it, the importance
25	eat at the same time, and the 4 inches was	25	of feeder space?

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

126 128 1 A First of all, you have dominant birds 1 the research has been in that range. 2 picking over the food and taking out the -- mostly 2 I personally have done research beyond that 3 3 the grain, which is like dessert, you know, they range, and I've -- I gave her copies showing 4 4 prefer that. And that's where the carbohydrate -continuing decrease in production beyond 72, and 5 5 that's where the energy is. certainly beyond 67. So you are starting to imbalance the diet 6 6 Q Continuing decrease? 7 7 by limiting the feeder space. A Decrease in production -- pardon me, 8 Pictures that we drew about the original 8 increase in production --9 9 cage space issue is that maybe three birds would be Q Increase in production? 10 10 at the feed trough and one bird would have to stand A -- as you went to the higher numbers. 11 in the back. 11 You picked me up on that one quick. 12 12 And when you change the bird numbers, you Q Right. So above -- your research indicated 13 13 change about four things. You change the colony that above 72, the hens keep producing more. 14 size, you change the feeder space, you change the 14 Did your research look at the mortality 15 amount of water -- watering per bird. 15 issue? 16 So to separate the feeder space from the 16 A Oh, yeah, always does. 17 floor space, that's a difficult exercise, to 17 Q Above 72? 18 separate which is most important. All the eggs that 18 A Always does that. 19 you lose are feeder space, all the eggs you lose are 19 Q And the mortality rates get better above 20 20 72 -floor space, it is very difficult. 21 21 We developed formulas in our research where A They get better, go down with increasing 22 22 you could assign numbers to each element, because we space. 23 23 had all kinds of cases. You go out in the field and Q Yes. And if you look at the fourth bullet 24 the farmer doesn't have a clue. 24 here, the committee says, "Measurements of white 25 Q Now, as far as the floor space aspect, the 25 leghorn hens show that they require about 65 to 83 127 1 first bullet here indicates that the conclusion of square inches to perform even the most basic 2 2 the research, and it says, "Numerous studies" -behaviors, standing comfortably and resting, and 3 3 A Go ahead. more room is required to perform other" --4 Q -- "have shown that decreasing space 4 A Yeah. 5 allowances in cages to below approximately 72 square 5 Q Was that consistent with your research too? 6 inches per hen significantly reduces henhouse egg 6 A Well, we didn't look at the wing flapping, 7 7 production and increases mortality," right? you know, we didn't look at behavioral things. We 8 8 did practical research that the farmer could MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; misstates the 9 9 duplicate. document. 10 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's an open-ended And he can count eggs and he can weigh the 11 11 amount of space. eggs and he can weigh the chickens and he can look 12 12 at the mortality, but he can't sit out there with a BY MR. OLSON: 13 13 TV camera determining how many flaps per hour the Q 72 square inches? 14 14 A 72 is one, but then we got below that. You chickens wave their wings. That's got to be done in 15 are talking about the -- where are we at here? The 15 a laboratory. 16 different study, "numerous studies." Okay. There 16 Q All right. One more quick question on this 17 17 was a range of about 67 to 86. document. If you turn to the molting section --18 18 Okay. So 67, 80, 86, you're going to get a A Got it. 19 curve of the issue here. 19 Q -- and I'm looking at the recommendation 20 20 70 -- now, what Joy Mench says is that once part, the document ends in -98. It says, "Molting 21 21 you get to 72, you're just about done. Well, the Recommendations for UEP Guidelines." 22 22 problem -- just about done all the effective. You 23 could add a square foot to that and you wouldn't add 23 Q Basically the committee concluded that 24 24 there were animal welfare concerns with molting any more eggs. 25 And why does she say that? Because most of 25 through forced starvation, right?

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

	130		132
1		1	
1 2	A Feed withdrawal. Q And that that feed withdrawal raised	1 2	days? A We've done away with that. We don't take
3	genuine animal welfare concerns; is that fair?	3	feed away at all today.
4	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Object to the form.	4	Q I'm talking about this time.
5	THE WITNESS: Say that again.	5	A Back then, I don't think anybody would have
6	BY MR. OLSON:	6	gone that short. It was experimental.
7	Q The committee concluded that the feed	7	Q Wasn't McDonald's insisting
8	withdrawal raised animal welfare concerns?	8	A I don't know about McDonald's. I've gotten
9	A Yes.	9	their letters through the years. They probably
10	Q And encouraged producers and researchers to	10	didn't want us to take the feed away at all. I
11	develop alternatives?	11	don't know.
12	A Yes.	12	(Deposition Exhibit 12 was marked for
13	Q And recommended that in the meantime the	13	identification by the court reporter
14	shortest period of feed withdrawal period should be	14	and is attached hereto.)
15	used?	15	BY MR. OLSON:
16	A Yes.	16	Q Let me hand you what we've marked
17	Q And did you have an understanding at that	17	Exhibit 12.
18	time of what the shortest period of feed withdrawal	18	A What do we have here?
19 20	possible was?	19 20	MR. GODLSTEIN: Do you have one more?
21	A Yes. Q And what was that?	21	THE WITNESS: I've seen this before. BY MR. OLSON:
22	A Oh, you don't want me to do that, do you?	22	Q This is Bates-stamped UE0840403 through
23	You said yes or no. That's okay. I'm kidding you.	23	-404.
24	We experimented with as short as four or	24	And can you identify it as an e-mail
25	five days and as long as 16 days.	25	Mr. Gregory wrote to you on December 12, 2000?
	131		133
1	When I first heard anybody was using ten	1	A Yes.
2	days, I was amazed, I didn't believe it. But as we	2	Q And it's referring to the terms of your
3	did maybe 30 or 40 experiments a year, it sort of	3	contract with UEP?
4	appeared that you could improve results by going up	4	A That's correct.
5	to ten days, maybe in some cases 12 days.	5	Q And it indicates you had proposed to be
6	So we put a set of conditions. We said	6	paid about \$18,000 a year, and Mr. Gregory had
7	that you could only have so much body weight loss,	7	offered to compromise at \$15,000, correct?
8	so that means they had to weigh the chickens every	8	A Let me see. Where does it say there it
9	day. You could only have so much mortality, so you	9	is you have proposed 18 Could we reach a
10	count the dead birds until you got to a certain	10	compromise at 15 that's what we wound up doing,
11	level, or you say definitely don't go more than 14	11	yeah. I think it was 1,250 a month. I think that's
12	or 16 days.	12	15-, isn't it?
13 14	Q But my question is: Was there an	13 14	Q Right. You can put that away. (Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for
15	understanding at the time of what the shortest period	15	identification by the court reporter
16	A Well, that's what I told you. I said	16	and is attached hereto.)
17	Q Four or five days?	17	BY MR. OLSON:
18	A we started with four or five days.	18	Q Let me hand you what's marked Bell
19	You've got to get the birds out of production and	19	Exhibit 13 very briefly.
20	into a rest. And the shortest you can do is put	20	This is Bates-stamped UE0790540 through
21	them right back on a laying mesh and you get this	21	-542.
22	flush of production right at the beginning, but they	22	I'll just ask if you can identify this as
23	poop out towards the end.	23	the executed financial consulting agreement between
24	Q So it's possible to induce hens into a molt	24	you and UEP?
25	by depriving them of food for only four or five	25	A Yes, it is.

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

	134		136
1	Q And it indicates that you would be paid at	1	right?
2	a rate of	2	A Yes.
3	A Yes.	3	Q And that's what was being proposed by the
4	Q \$1,250 per month?	4	advisory committee at this time?
5	A I'm not supposed to interrupt you. I'm	5	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; form, misstates
6	sorry.	6	prior testimony.
7	Yes.	7	BY MR. OLSON:
8	Q And also that if you are requested to	8	Q Right?
9	attend UEP committee and board of director meetings,	9	A The proposal that we have here, we talked
10	you would be reimbursed at a rate of 500 per day,	10	about earlier, was dated 2000, was it?
11	plus travel and lodging expenses.	11	Q September 2000.
12	Do you see that?	12	A September 2000?
13	A Yes.	13	This letter was dated December 2000. So it
14	Q Is that consistent with how you were paid	14	was probably my attempt to show both sides of the
15	over the years?	15	issue from an economic standpoint or several sides
16	A I don't recall the 500-per-day part of it.	16	of the issue, which is my normal obligation to my
17	The travel and lodging, obviously they paid for it.	17	clients.
18	The 500 a day is essentially, I guess, of what I'm	18	And I do not know whether this was a
19	being paid up here for a month of 2 1/2 days a month	19	specific request on the part of anybody or whether
20 21	or something like that. But it's just to take care	20 21	it just became my idea and something to comment on.
22	of the extra, the extra costs, my time. I've already given them the 1,250's worth, and going to	22	Q Okay. Now, if you look at the third paragraph
23	this special meeting, they have to pay for that too.	23	A Go ahead.
24	Q Yeah, I just wanted you to verify this is	24	Q Why don't you review that third paragraph
25	the terms of the	25	that starts, "The guidelines."
	135		137
		_	
1	A I'm sorry. I haven't seen this letter for	1	A On the first page?
2 3	ages.	3	Q Yes. Let me know when you're done.
4	Q Okay. You can put that aside. A Okay.	4	A Okay. Q All right. You end it by saying, "Major
5	What is this on the back? Anything? Oh,	5	economic issues are involved with the question of
6	it is taxpayer identification.	6	space and compliance with the specific space
7	Go ahead.	7	allowance recommendations will be difficult to
8	(Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for	8	accomplish by persuasion alone."
9	identification by the court reporter	9	Do you see that?
10	and is attached hereto.)	10	A Yes.
11	BY MR. OLSON:	11	Q And what did you mean by that?
12	Q Let me hand you what we've marked as Bell	12	A This is very at the root issue of bird
13	14.	13	numbers that I can have in my chicken house.
14	A Something I remember.	14	And everything that I do on this farm has
15	Q This is a document Bates-stamped	15	to do with efficient use of facilities, egg
16	BELL-D-00028020 through -31.	16 17	processing plants, feed mills, chicken houses, and
17 18	And I'll ask if you can identify this as an	18	so on, and so it's a multi-faceted question and
19	Egg Economics Update dated December 31, 2000 that you authored?	19	problem. And it would be, and I think it has turned
20	A Yes, yes.	20	out to be, one of the most difficult for people to
21	Q Okay. And you recall this particular	21	accept all aspects of that. I think they lost
22	update?	22	membership because because of too these
23	A Yes.	23	requirements are too stringent. But that's why I
24	Q And what it addresses is the economic	24	said.
25	implications of reducing cage density in the U.S.,	25	Q All right. And if you look at page 4,

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	138		140
1	there is a heading that says, "Economic Benefits	1	identified, to your knowledge, or how was that
2	From Reducing Cage Density"?	2	solved?
3	A Yes, yes.	3	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.
4	Q And there is a subheading that says,	4	THE WITNESS: Well, it says, "Following the
5	"National Participation to Balance Supply With	5	UEP proposed guidelines." Then it says, "The task
6	Demand."	6	is to devise the method and motivation to accomplish
7	Do you see that?	7	it voluntarily."
8	A Uh-huh.	8	And that still exists today, it existed
9	Q You say, "A broad industry-wide acceptance	9	when the program was first introduced.
10	of the historically proven fact that the industry	10	BY MR. OLSON:
11	makes more with less (more profits with less hens)	11	Q When you say "the program," you're talking
12	would be the greatest windfall of following the UEP	12	about the UEP-certified program?
13	proposed guidelines."	13	A Yes, yes.
14	Do you see that?	14	Q And that was the method to accomplish this?
15	A I sure do.	15	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.
16	Q And that was your view at the time, right?	16	BY MR. OLSON:
17	A Yes.	17	Q That program?
18	Q And then in bold underlined it says, "The	18 19	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.
19 20	task is to devise the method and motivation to	20	THE WITNESS: Let me think what you're
21	accomplish it voluntarily." Do you see that?	21	saying here. "To devise the method and motivation to
22	A Yes.	22	accomplish it voluntarily."
23	Q And how was that ultimately done?	23	BY MR. OLSON:
24	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Object to form.	24	Q Right.
25	THE WITNESS: How is what?	25	A To make more money with less hens.
	139		141
1	BY MR. OLSON:	1	Q Right. My question is: The UEP-certified
2	Q Ultimately done.	2	program was the method that was devised to
3	A Ultimately done?	3	accomplish that goal, correct?
4	Q Yes.	4	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; misstates prior
5	A What are you saying? I'm not sure.	5	testimony, speculation.
6	Q How was that task of encouraging national	6	THE WITNESS: I claim there the task is to
7	participation	7	devise, which means it hasn't been accomplished at
8	A Well, I assume	8	that point
9	Q accomplished?	9	BY MR. OLSON:
10	A at the time this was written maybe	10	Q Right.
11	20 percent of the industry was acceptable to the	11	A or accepted at that point.
12	idea, eventually maybe 80 percent.	12	Q And at this point, the certified
13	Windfall is something that's unexpected.	13	certification expert
14	Unexpected, so it is not planned. It is an	14 15	A I don't even use the word "certified."
15 16	unexpected result that these things happen.	16	Q So do you know what you're referring to, the method that was devised to accomplish this?
17	We didn't go in there nobody went in there and said let's reduce the bird numbers by	17	A I say it's a task. And I didn't come right
18	20 million. Because back here someplace we said	18	in there and say the only way to do this is to
19	that they are paying for a million. So we didn't	19	reduce the cages, I didn't say that. But we've
20	have a target.	20	referred to that with examples within this whole
21	Q I don't want to focus on the windfall part.	21	document.
22	This idea of devising a method and motivation to	22	Q Let me try this way. You say, "The task to
23	accomplish	23	devise the method and motivation to accomplish it
24	A Uh-huh, yes.	24	voluntarily," was that task ever accomplished?
25	Q was that method and motivation ever	25	A I would say it was accomplished 80 percent,

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

144 142 1 1 whole thing is about, you follow the guidelines. 2 2 Q And you were referring to the UEP certified And you tell a person he has to reduce his 3 3 flock size by 20 percent, sure he can go build program participation? 4 A Well, we are talking about cage density now 4 another chicken house for that 20 percent, he can do 5 and we're talking about the size of requirements, 5 that --6 6 yes, and that was accomplished X percent by the Q But that's expensive? 7 7 A But that's expensive. And he's got -- he's auditing procedure. 8 8 Are you familiar with that? already got the chickens and he's got a transition 9 9 Q Yes. period to shift from 100 to 80. But when it comes 10 10 A That the farms were audited by outside time in the deadline and the audit says you still 11 auditors, and did you meet your goal, did you meet 11 have 100 percent, and whatever the penalty is, I'm your target. And I don't know what the penalty was 12 12 not aware of, but that's the issue. 13 13 if you didn't, but, anyway. Q All right. You can put that aside. 14 14 Q All right. Now, if you look at page 11 --(Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for 15 A Yeah. 15 identification by the court reporter 16 Q -- in your summary. 16 and is attached hereto.) 17 17 BY MR. OLSON: A Got it. 18 Q The third sentence says, "Increasing floor 18 Q Let me hand you what we've marked Bell 15. 19 19 space allowances are difficult to justify for the MR. GODLSTEIN: Can we make that the last 20 20 individual farm, but if enough producers follow this document for the day? 21 21 practice, industry profits will be considerably MR. OLSON: Yes. 22 higher as supply and demand reach a more optimum 22 Unfortunately, this is a document I just 23 23 balance." have two extra copies of. 24 24 Do you see that? It is Bates-stamped BELL002774 through 25 A Yes. 25 -2777. 143 145 1 Q And I know we've touched on this before. 1 Q And can you identify this as a document 2 2 but what were you referring to there? What's the that you authored on April 15th, 2002? 3 3 point you're making there? A This is called a memo, and this was started 4 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form. 4 with my first relationship with UEP, which I've told 5 THE WITNESS: One thing follows another. 5 them that I would do, and it would be all economic, 6 6 Increasing space means you reduce the population. it would be -- it would parallel some of the other 7 7 Reducing the population reduces the production. reports that we also told them we would continue to 8 Reducing the production brings profitability back 8 produce. 9 into line or a reasonable profitability. Not 9 I'm not sure about this particular -- well, 10 excessive profitability, a reasonable. 10 you want to go ahead and ask questions. Go ahead. 11 11 BY MR. OLSON: Q That's helpful. 12 Q But this aspect about increasing floor 12 This memo is something that UEP -- that you 13 space allowances are difficult to justify for the 13 were compensated by UEP for writing? 14 14 MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form, individual farm --15 15 A That's because he's got a hundred-casemisstates prior testimony. 16 THE WITNESS: That's what we promised to 16 an-hour machine and now you want him to run it at 80 17 17 include in our services. cases because you're going to reduce his burden 18 18 numbers. He knows that right off the bat. He knows BY MR. OLSON: 19 his feed mill is going to be shut down one day a 19 Q That's a better way of putting it. Thank 20 20 week. He knows he's going to have to lay off some vou. 21 21 labor, and they've been working for him for 25 You say this was written under the 22 22 years. sponsorship of UEP? 23 So, you know, it's easy to say that and 23 A Yes. 24 easy for me to recommend something like that, but 24 Q Just backing up, this Egg Economics Update 25 they have to implement it. I mean, that's what the 25 from the last exhibit, how would you describe this

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

	146		148		
1	type of document?	1	A Uh-huh.		
2	A Well, that was, I believe, started in 1983.	2	Q What are you referring to there?		
3	And it grew out of all of our surveys and studies	3	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection; foundation.		
4	about the price of eggs and the cost of production.	4	THE WITNESS: Where do you see oh,		
5	So it was intended to cover a broad range	5	competitor, next paragraph. Okay.		
6	of subjects dealing with economics of the egg	6	You notice that we are talking about 69		
7	industry.	7	points instead of one cent, and that's because we		
8	Q And who would you send it to, typically?	8	are talking about a different time period.		
9	A Every producer and the allied industry	9	BY MR. OLSON:		
10	person that requested to be on the mailing list.	10	Q Okay.		
11	Q Was it a large mailing list?	11	A Today that number is probably somewhat		
12	A Our original, when that thing was started,	12	less.		
13	it was probably a thousand. Today we are we have	13	1 million is possible within several		
14	a totally different industry that's shrunk, and	14	individual companies, but half of its reduction		
15	we're still at a thousand.	15	could be accomplished by two to five companies in		
16	Q Okay. All right. Sorry.	16	the whole United States by just reducing their bird		
17	Now, back to Bell Exhibit 15, what you call	17	numbers count by one.		
18 19	the memo?	18 19	Q And then I want to focus to the next		
20	A Yes.	20	sentence.		
21	Q This is sometimes called a flock projection?	21	A "We commonly hear the excuse 'If we do it, there is no quarantee my competitor would do it.'		
22	A No, it isn't.	22	So I gained a competitive advantage because I didn't		
23	Q Okay.	23	do it. The one guy has a hundred birds in his house		
24	A It says there "flock projection," but this	24	and I've only got 80 and I've lost competitive		
25	is not the official this is a memo.	25	advantage.		
	147		149		
	147		149		
1	Q A memo, okay. All right.	1	Q So is that the type of thing you would hear		
2	And the subject of this one was the effect	2	expressed		
3	on egg prices and flock performance of reducing cage	3	A That's the type of thing.		
4	density, right?	4	Q by producers during the course of your		
5	A Yes.	5	work?		
6	Q And the first thing you say is, "Reducing	6	A That's right.		
7	the nation's average cage density can have numerous	7	Q Now let's look at page 3.		
8 9	positive effects on the income and costs of	8	A Page 3? Okay.		
10	individual producers in the industry as a whole," right?	9 10	Q These are some data you provided. A Statistics, right.		
11	A Yes.	11	Q Statistic. I wanted to look at number 6		
12	Q Now, who are these memos distributed to?	12	called "Force Molting."		
13	A I have no idea, but I believe UEP sent it	13	A You are on page 33?		
14	to all their members.	14	Q Yes.		
15	Q So you would send it to UEP, and they	15	A Excuse me. Go ahead.		
16	would	16	Q All right. And there is data regarding		
17	A Yes, I sent the original to UEP.	17	force molting?		
18	Q All right.	18	A Yes.		
19	Let's just go to the fourth paragraph that	19	Q Now, this force molting, that's the forced		
20	starts, "A one-million hen reduction."	20	starvation of feed withdrawal from the hen, right?		
21	A Yes.	21	A At that time that was a very common way,		
22	Q You say, "We commonly hear the excuse that	22	yes.		
23	'If we do it, there is no guarantee that my	23	Q And what this indicates is that from 2000		
24	competitor will do it."	24	to 2001 molting increased, right?		
25	Do you see that?	25	A Let me explain something. Okay?		

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

	150		152
1	This does not say what percentage of the	1	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form.
2	chickens are molted, it says what percentage of the	2	THE WITNESS: That's the one letter we
3	chickens have been molted or are being molted.	3	wrote way back here that says it is not a matter of
4	Q Okay.	4	molting versus not molting, it is a matter of egg
5	A Actually, at this point in time probably	5	production.
6	two-thirds to 70 percent of all chickens were	6	BY MR. OLSON:
7	molted.	7	Q And if you look at point 7, slaughter do
8	Q Okay.	8	you see that?
9	A Do you follow the difference between that	9	A Go ahead.
10	statement?	10	Q That's the number of birds that are
11	Q I think so.	11	slaughtered?
12	A Okay. Go ahead.	12	A In a USDA slaughter plant.
13	Q And what you show here is from 2000 to	13	Q Yes. And from 2000 to 2002 there was an
14	2001	14	increase in the number of birds that were
15 16	A There was a slight increase.	15 16	slaughtered
17	Q force molting, there was an increase?	17	A That's what it says. Q as well?
18	A In January and for the two months. And we would start to think, well, January and February, is	18	All right. That's all. We can take a
19	this thing going to go three or four months, is it	19	break.
20	going to keep going? If it keeps going, then people	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.
21	will start to say, well, maybe it is going to result	21	We are off the record. The time is 1:16
22	in less eggs.	22	p.m.
23	Q And also there was more molting happening	23	(TIME NOTED: 1:16 p.m.)
24	in January and February of 2002 than January and	24	· · ·
25	February of 2000?	25	
	151		153
1	A That's what it says, yeah. And then 2002	1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2	you went back down.	2	I, DONALD L. BELL, do hereby certify
3	Q No, but 2002 is still more than what's	3	that I have read the foregoing transcript of my
4	happening in 2000?	4 5	testimony, and further certify that it is a true
5	A Oh, yeah, yeah, This is normal	6	and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the corrections listed below):
6	fluctuation.	7	Page Line Correction
7	Q Okay. But it's an increase just stick	8	
8	with me for a moment.	9	
9	A Go ahead.	10 11	
10	Q In both 2001 and 2002 there was more	12	
11 12	molting happening than in the same period	13	
13	A Yes, exactly. Q And isn't it kind of odd that was happening	14	
14	when the guidelines say that force molting has	15	
15	animal welfare problems?	16	
16	A No.	17 18	
17	MR. TAKENOUCHI: Objection to form,	19	
18	misstates prior testimony.	20	
19	BY MR. OLSON:	21	
20	Q Why did molting increase if the Scientific	22	DONALD L. BELL
21	Advisory Committee	22	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME
22	A Because they decided economically that it	23	THIS DAY OF, 20
23	was justified.	24	
24	Q And it wasn't prohibited either, was it?		
25	A Never prohibited.	25	(NOTARY PUBLIC) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

	154	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a true and correct record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof. I further certify that I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney of any of the parties. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. Dated: 8/23/13	
20	DENISE BARDSLEY CSR No. 11241	
21 22 23 24 25	J	

40 (Page 154)

Page 153 1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT DB I, DONALD X. BELL, do hereby certify 2 3 that I have read the foregoing transcript of my 4 testimony, and further certify that it is a true 5 and accurate record of my testimony (with the 6 exception of the corrections listed below): 7 Pāge Line Correction 17 21 8 1 Moart Moark passim DONALD L. BELL 9 DONALD D. BELL 19 25 "feeding of any flocks" 10 "feeding of layer flocks" 26 20 UAP 11 UEP 41 9 "over given a period" 12 "over a given period" "1:4.15" 16 52 "1:4.5" 13 "as well as shellings" 53 25 "as well as shell eggs" 14 22 66 "human society" "humane society" 15 3 131 delete "a year" 16 149 20 of or 17 **GODLSTEIN** passim **GOLDSTEIN** 18 19 20 Monda D. Bell 21 State of California County of Versile Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before n on this 39 day of 2013 BELL 22 proved to me on the basis of satisfactory eviden SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME to be the person(s) who appeared before me. 23 DAY OF Signature_ 24 25 (NOTARY PUBLIC) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY



	ı	1	ī
	addressing 76:9	16:25 60:24	99:2 108:20
abandoned 124:1	adequately 116:8	133:23	116:22 129:24
able 21:16 26:8	adjustment 106:7	agriculture 20:1	130:3,8 151:15
97:16	administer 16:22	ahead 26:17 38:14	annual 75:8
absent 37:10	19:1	40:7 63:21 81:8	answer 21:5,17
absolutely 41:23	administered 19:5	95:21 106:3,18	23:25 24:1 26:18
61:25 80:14	adopt 38:8 98:22	118:21 120:20,24	31:20,21 35:22
113:19	adopted 98:12	121:1,9 123:3,8	42:1 45:7,25,25
accept 124:3 137:21	110:12 114:2	125:6,23 127:3	47:8,13 57:3 82:19
accept 124.3 137.21 acceptable 80:17,20	119:10	135:7 136:23	85:18 118:3 121:7
139:11	adopting 38:3,7	145:10,10 149:15	answered 91:23
	98:8	150:12 151:9	answering 21:4
acceptance 138:9	adult 24:25 62:10	152:9	answers 66:9 93:19
accepted 118:2 141:11	62:11,14 76:1	aimed 30:10	antagonistic 122:7
II .	adults 63:8	al 12:13 13:16 56:21	antitrust 1:4 2:4
accomplish 60:7 137:8 138:20	advantage 148:22	57:10 67:21 86:18	16:15
139:23 140:6,14	148:25	allen 8:5 17:22,22	anybody 37:11
140:22 141:3,16	advice 90:14 104:5	allied 27:12 146:9	101:6 112:16
140:22 141:3,16	advisory 14:19 46:3	allow 58:23	131:1 132:5
	65:16,18 66:1,3	allowance 47:21	136:19
accomplished 139:9	67:9,15 70:6 71:16	48:12 98:2,13,23	anyway 142:13
141:7,24,25 142:6	72:7,24 73:6,13,24	106:20 137:7	apologize 102:20
148:15 accurate 71:21	74:6,20 75:14	allowances 44:12,16	appearance 4:8,25
153:5 154:11	78:13 80:3 89:10	44:20 45:14 50:19	5:20 6:8,19 7:8,15
achieve 95:22	90:19 97:12	88:23 89:9 90:15	7:23 8:11 9:11,16
achieve 93.22 acknowledgment	108:24 110:9	91:8,21 120:1	10:11,21 11:10,20
153:1	117:3,25 119:3,14	123:6 127:5	appearances 3:1 4:1
acre 8:3 17:23 81:21	121:3 122:10	142:19 143:13	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1
112:14	124:14,15 136:4	allowed 112:14	10:1 11:1
action 4:10 9:13	151:21	allows 53:17	appeared 131:4
16:23 18:11	affect 39:6 42:2,6,21	alternative 76:3,4	appears 117:2
154:13	49:14 59:4 68:19	alternatives 130:11	applications 33:24
actions 1:7 2:7	affiliated 27:13,16	amazed 131:2	applies 1:6 2:6
add 127:23,23	32:9,13,18 43:4	amount 126:15	85:16
added 69:16 75:25	102:24	127:11	apply 54:2 60:24
102:11	afraid 28:15	analyses 26:1,14,16	61:3 85:15 113:25
addition 103:19	age 22:10 42:4,11	30:2,9,19,23 33:8	appointed 67:22,23
additional 41:13	53:5	35:12 89:18	approach 29:12,13
74:11	ages 135:2	analyze 48:21	55:12 61:3
addon 95:10	ago 19:21 85:18	analyzing 113:10	approximately
address 19:13 40:20	agree 16:18 88:8	anecdotaltype 82:20	25:12 26:12,13,23
63:3,23	agreed 17:3	anhour 143:16	28:16 60:6 73:9
addresses 135:24	agreeing 114:25	animal 14:8,17,20	74:3,4 127:5
130121	agreement 15:9	61:7 78:21 79:22	april 23:10 84:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

1

145:2	114:15 116:16	114:11 122:21,25	began 27:24 103:12	
arch 6:5	132:14 133:16	126:11 131:21	beginning 2:15	
area 25:10 67:8	135:10 144:16	132:5 135:5	26:21 27:25 28:22	
areas 24:23	attempt 136:14	139:18 143:8	30:1 94:17 95:2	
arent 66:9	attend 74:6 75:7,8	146:17 151:2	105:16 108:16	
argument 81:16	78:2,5 92:24 134:9	152:3	131:22	
argumentative	attended 78:13	backache 21:12	behalf 2:13 17:23	
119:18	attention 62:16	background 67:10	behavioral 67:6	
arms 26:5,9	82:21	67:11 107:8	129:7	
armstrong 12:13	attorney 3:6,15 4:13	backing 145:24	behaviors 69:12	
77:23	5:6,15 6:14 8:6,16	baker 5:13 11:6	129:2	
arthur 8:4 17:23	10:6,16 11:15	balance 31:11 34:2	beld00026787 13:13	
asian 53:24	154:14	34:17 138:5	beld0032755 13:18	
aside 63:22 84:25	attorneys 9:17	142:23	believe 70:10 73:15	
98:21 107:23	audible 70:12	ball 66:24 90:9	80:3,10 82:2	
116:13 135:3	audience 30:13	bankrupt 37:14	105:12,23 118:13	
144:13	49:11,13	49:10	118:15 131:2	
asked 27:20 28:23	audio 16:17	bardsley 1:22 2:17	146:2 147:13	
29:3 35:11 58:9	audit 144:10	16:9 154:20	believed 123:16	
67:17,24 75:5 80:5	audited 142:10	barn 104:1	bell 1:14 2:12 12:3	
91:22 95:12 99:20	auditing 142:7	barry 35:7	12:17 13:10,17,22	
100:25 106:6	auditors 142:11	based 32:8,17 38:1	14:9,13 15:6,10	
122:3	august 1:16 2:16	43:25 55:6 59:23	16:7 18:20,23 19:4	
asking 48:10 93:13	16:1,6 108:11,15	106:22 109:9,12	19:10,14,14 23:1,6	
104:24	authored 135:19	bashing 86:20	51:13,16,18 59:2,5	
asks 93:2	145:2	basic 129:1	61:22 62:1 64:4	
aspect 118:16	authorized 16:22	basically 56:13	70:21,25 73:17	
126:25 143:12	available 30:15	129:23	83:25 84:5 85:5	
aspects 137:21	95:13	basis 28:3	86:5 92:6,16 94:2	
assign 126:22	avenue 3:7 7:12 8:7	bat 143:18	97:10 102:21	
assigned 66:6	10:17	bates 12:14,18,21	104:10,13 105:2	
assignment 75:13	average 40:17 41:16	13:8,13,18,23 14:6	108:5,12,17,19	
77:9 78:23	42:11 46:4,5,8	14:10,15,21 15:7	114:17 116:18	
assistance 33:3	49:12 147:7	15:11,17,22 23:3	117:1 120:5	
associated 33:15	averages 46:1 62:25	94:9 105:5	133:18 135:12	
35:6 38:23	109:14	batesstamped 23:6	144:18 146:17	
association 35:1,9	aware 76:22 107:9	51:16 84:2 86:6	153:2,21	
assume 20:23 28:12	144:12	92:13 108:7	bell002774 15:22	
77:20 96:19 110:1	awhile 20:6	114:18 116:23	144:24	
139:8		132:22 133:20	bell004041 14:10	
attached 22:24	<u>B</u>	135:15 144:24	108:7	
51:11 70:19 83:22	back 21:14 61:18	battered 65:22	bell004353 14:15	
85:3 92:3 93:24	63:9 79:7,21	beak 68:14 118:7,10	114:18	
104:8 108:3	104:13 113:7	118:19,24 121:15	bell007698 13:23	

3

0.4.0	102 17 124 0	 	120.12
94:9	102:17 134:9	C	129:12
belld000028020	body 131:7	cage 35:18 36:1,2,12	capability 89:22
15:17	bold 138:18	36:15,16,18,19	caption 16:14
belld00026787	books 50:11	37:2,6,18 38:3,12	carbohydrate 126:4
92:13	bottom 84:23	38:12,20 39:3 45:6	care 134:20
belld00028020	105:17 115:8	46:9 48:20 53:4	career 25:15 103:24
135:16	117:6 123:19	59:14,16 60:1,9,15	carpet 64:13
belld00031284	bought 122:17	60:19 64:18 65:12	case 16:14,15 19:22
12:18 51:17	boundaries 54:11	69:18 77:12,13	31:5 73:17 117:16
belld00032755 86:6	boy 105:24	78:22 91:4,20	cases 19:24 126:23
86:13	brain 97:17 105:8	99:11 109:4,4,9	131:5 143:17
bells 15:19	branch 103:13	112:25 120:6	categories 76:6
beneficial 41:10	break 20:8 61:11	121:24 126:9	cause 87:9
benefit 45:23 46:16	62:2,4 65:11 95:25	135:25 138:2	causes 99:10
benefits 138:1	114:6,7 152:19	142:4 147:3,7	cease 28:9,10,11,12
benson 8:14 18:16	breakeven 95:1,4,23	caged 120:22	cellular 16:20
best 20:11 21:3,17	briefly 61:8 108:6	cages 36:20 50:4,6	cent 84:21 85:20
21:19 60:19 88:19	115:8 133:19	82:13 112:24	148:7
better 95:4,23	briggs 7:18	127:5 141:19	center 7:5,19 27:8
128:19,21 145:19	bring 66:23 77:10	calculate 104:3	28:25
beyond 89:17	77:13	california 1:15 2:14	cents 52:20,20
100:15 128:2,4,5	brings 143:8	5:4,8 8:17,18 13:6	certain 56:4 59:24
big 80:1	broad 38:10 138:9	13:16,21 15:15	131:10
bill 72:10,13	146:5	16:1,12 18:19	certainly 35:10
bird 33:17 36:21	broadway 4:14	19:15 24:9,22	77:25 79:4 119:23
45:23 46:8 65:9	broke 49:9 62:19	154:2	128:5
89:19 100:21	brothers 4:19	call 66:3 102:3	certification 141:13
121:5 126:10,12	brought 59:19 80:22	146:17	certified 2:17
126:15 137:12	89:15	called 29:10 88:14	141:12,14 142:2
139:17 148:16	brown 9:15 18:10	89:24 108:23	154:1
birds 36:14,15 44:2	18:10	145:3 146:20	certify 153:2,4
45:5,6 50:25 97:1	buchanan 9:6	149:12	154:3,12
97:5,6 98:10	build 144:3	calling 108:20	cetera 115:13
120:17 126:1,9	built 122:18	callow 11:14 17:24	chair 67:23 77:8
131:10,19 148:23	bullet 54:21 55:10	17:24	chairperson 28:1
152:10,14	123:9,20 127:1	calls 101:22	76:25 77:22
bit 71:24 118:5	128:23	calmaine 7:10	challenge 63:2
bites 87:20	bunch 79:5	camera 129:13	chance 89:6 101:25
blame 87:11	burden 143:17	campbell 9:16 17:12	change 31:23 52:8
block 9:14 17:13	burned 104:1	17:12	52:15,17,18 54:7
18:11	business 46:4 77:24	cant 20:2 43:8 63:11	60:10 84:19,20
board 27:9 34:16	110:7,19	67:4 69:19 77:21	85:22 96:23 98:20
56:24 57:15,21	buy 43:1 62:19,21	93:1 112:1 118:3	108:8 111:12,13
58:19 79:22	62:22 87:23		111:14 126:12,13

126:13,14,14	close 22:10	122:14 123:14	65:2 67:8 68:24,25
changed 75:21,24	clue 126:24	124:7,14,15	87:18 111:10,23
85:9 119:17	coaster 105:18	128:24 129:23	112:4,11
changes 25:10 80:4	collection 77:17	130:7 134:9 136:4	concerns 129:24
80:12,16 85:11	collectively 64:2	151:21	130:3,8
112:16	colony 82:13 126:13	common 149:21	concluded 60:17
characteristics 67:7	com 3:10,19 5:19	commonly 147:22	129:23 130:7
characterization	6:18 8:10,20 9:21	148:20	concluding 87:6
125:21	9:22 10:10,20	communicate 97:22	conclusion 60:6
charts 63:6	11:19	communicated	84:18 85:7,23
chase 72:10,13	come 44:11 56:24	37:19	120:23 127:1
chicago 9:19 10:18	59:18 91:13 93:18	communications	conditions 131:6
11:8 74:17	114:25 141:17	79:6	confidential 1:13
chick 59:13 62:5,6,9	comes 100:19	companies 48:24	101:9 117:6
62:10,13,17 63:3	101:16,17 113:1	54:12 148:14,15	confusing 48:8
115:13	144:9	company 11:5 46:5	connection 93:2
chicken 62:11 67:3	comfortably 129:2	48:22 72:15	consensus 69:25
68:19 81:21	coming 91:1 113:16	101:10	121:3 122:10
112:15 137:13,16	comment 136:20	compensate 75:1	consider 63:1 80:11
144:4	commentary 15:21	116:9	80:16 110:11,19
chickens 33:21,22	commented 118:12	compensated	110:20,22,25
36:13 41:6,19 42:8	comments 79:25	116:11 145:13	111:1,6 113:17
59:9,10 62:10,14	commission 153:25	compensation 22:6	considerable 42:12
76:3 78:24 82:10	commitment 100:25	73:14 74:5,9	87:2
84:14 87:23 90:6,7	committee 14:9,19	competitive 148:22	considerably 142:21
100:1 104:2 118:7	59:6 65:16,19,25	148:24	consideration
122:16 123:24	66:1,4 67:9,15,18	competitor 147:24	110:23 115:16
125:1 129:11,14	67:22,25 68:7,8	148:5,21	considered 64:2
131:8 144:8 150:2	70:6 71:16 72:7,19	competitors 47:4	111:3
150:3,6	72:24 73:3,7,8,13	complain 119:21	considering 50:12
chicks 62:19,22,22	73:25 74:6,20	completely 91:1	50:13 109:19
63:8	75:14,21,22 76:8	complex 125:22	consistent 82:18
choose 54:13	76:13,14 78:14	compliance 137:6	129:5 134:14
chosen 67:14	79:19,22 80:3,7,11	components 93:17	consultant 15:9
cincinnati 11:17	80:15,23 89:11	compound 43:20	consulting 22:6 24:3
city 16:12	90:20 91:15 92:21	compromise 133:7	30:14 103:4,21
claim 141:6	92:25 97:12,19	133:10	133:23
clarification 20:14	102:2 108:21,24	computer 46:6	consumption 31:10
20:22 27:18,21	109:5,19,20,21	104:3	31:10
clarify 49:20	110:5,9,18 111:6	concept 58:1,2 62:4	contact 22:11
clark 9:18	112:21 113:17	63:19	continue 28:24
clear 20:11 72:4	117:3,25 118:13	concepts 61:2	103:21 121:6
82:2	119:3,15 120:7,9	concern 45:4 125:15	145:7
clients 136:17	121:3,11 122:10	concerned 31:1,2	continued 4:1 5:1
VEDVEEVE DEDODEING COMPANY			

		1	
6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	corrections 106:12	current 19:13 42:23	decrease 128:4,6,7
10:1 11:1 13:1	153:6	48:20 76:9 109:9	decreasing 127:4
14:1 15:1	correspondence	109:12 110:1	defendant 18:16
continuing 28:25	22:8,13 23:14	117:14	definitely 124:20
108:12 128:4,6	92:17	curve 127:19	131:11
contract 133:3	cost 65:9 110:2,10	cutting 115:13	definition 68:21
contribute 25:25	110:21 146:4	cycle 62:23	degree 39:13
38:13	costs 31:2,8,9,11,12	cycles 62:23	degrees 48:19
contributing 26:14	39:25 50:13 51:3	cyclic 63:11	deinard 10:4 17:18
26:16	87:15,16,22	cyclical 63:3,5,15	demand 34:3,18
contributions 25:17	134:21 147:8		38:23 97:5 138:6
25:20	couldnt 115:25	D	142:22
controversial 86:23	counsel 16:10 17:7	dallas 7:13	demonstrate 37:3
88:20 119:19	18:20 117:9	daniels 5:13	60:4 90:9 125:8
convenient 61:11	count 129:10 131:10	data 32:2 34:1 35:12	demonstrated
conversation 68:2	148:17	55:7,12 83:8 149:9	120:14
conversations 16:20	countries 50:5	149:16	denise 1:22 2:16
58:18	103:17	date 24:2 28:12 73:7	16:8 154:20
conveyed 52:14	country 41:8	154:15	density 35:18 36:2,2
56:12	county 103:8	dated 71:3 94:5	36:12,16,18,20
cooking 53:15	couple 20:5 52:6	116:23 135:18	37:2,6,18 38:3,12
cooperate 45:10	117:15 121:8	136:10,13 154:17	38:12,20 39:3 45:1
cooperation 27:25	course 25:15 28:1	day 70:7 94:5 95:14	45:24 48:20 53:4
cooperative 13:5,15	48:25 52:3 73:19	131:9 134:10,18	68:14,16,18 77:12
13:20 15:14 24:15	81:11 87:12 98:25	143:19 144:20	77:13 78:22 89:25
24:16	100:8 101:2	153:23	90:2 99:11 109:9
copied 23:11	117:13 149:4	daybyday 97:19	120:6 121:25
copies 63:25 77:10	courses 24:24,25	days 74:12,13 97:20	135:25 138:2
128:3 144:23	court 1:1 2:1 16:8	97:21 130:25,25	142:4 147:4,7
copy 113:23 116:2	19:1 21:2 22:23	131:2,5,5,12,17,18	department 20:1
117:4,10,13	51:10 58:16 70:18	132:1 134:19	depicts 52:21
119:12	83:21 85:2 92:2	dayton 4:23	deponent 5:3 153:1
correct 23:19 25:7	93:23 104:7 108:2	dead 131:10	deposed 19:18,20
37:3 39:17 52:3	114:14 116:15	deadline 144:10	deposition 1:14 2:12
55:22 65:16 72:7	132:13 133:15	dealing 146:6	16:7,11 22:22
72:19 73:9 74:3	135:9 144:15	december 108:21	30:22 51:9 70:17
96:9,23 99:20	courthouse 4:21	132:25 135:18	83:20 85:1 92:1
104:17 105:13	cover 146:5	136:13	93:22 104:6 108:1
109:5 115:2 119:3	cowriter 122:1	dechert 6:4	108:12,16 114:13
133:4,7 141:3	crazy 58:16	decided 81:18	116:14 132:12
154:8	critical 122:11	151:22	133:14 135:8
correcting 96:14	123:10	decision 61:24 82:22	144:14
correction 96:4	crutcher 7:11	82:24 100:22	depressed 54:14
153:7	csr 1:23 154:20	decisions 90:25	depriving 131:25
	•	-	-

	-	-	-
describe 29:4 51:19	143:13	129:17,20 135:15	124:16 130:22
52:13 125:24	dig 89:6	141:21 144:20,22	131:11 132:2,5,8
145:25	direct 2:13 3:3,12	145:1 146:1	132:11 134:16
describes 93:7	9:13 16:10 18:6,9	documents 22:18	136:24 139:21
describing 48:10	18:11	64:8,10,11	141:14 142:12
description 12:11	direction 52:19 59:3	doesnt 46:8 54:4	dozen 84:21
13:4 14:4 15:4	62:17 84:21	62:19 69:15 85:15	dr 82:7 121:19
dessert 126:3	154:10	87:23 99:2 119:12	draft 121:19 122:4
detail 86:8	director 134:9	123:22 126:24	124:7,9,10
details 20:3	directors 27:9 34:16	doing 21:1 25:11	dramatic 60:14
determining 129:13	57:15,21 79:22	26:23,25 33:3	drew 126:8
develop 34:10	disagreed 118:17	47:20 48:15 49:2	drive 19:15 58:15
113:13 130:11	disagreement 87:2	58:12 77:24 79:6	driving 58:5
developed 104:3	disallowed 50:5	90:5 102:14	dunn 7:11
126:21	discuss 109:3	133:10	duplicate 129:9
devise 138:19 140:6	discussed 53:8	dollar 45:5 83:12	duties 28:3
140:21 141:7,23	56:20 57:17,20	85:19	
devised 141:2,16	60:11 61:4 64:17	dominant 125:10,16	E
devising 139:22	64:21 79:24	126:1	eagle 7:3
didnt 27:22 34:5	discussing 57:9	don 12:17 13:10,22	earlier 62:17 101:23
64:11 65:18 67:10	58:10 104:18	14:9,13 15:6,19	107:13 115:21
67:11 73:21 77:7	discussion 59:19	58:12 59:2,5 116:6	136:10
79:3 81:4,15 83:10	77:2,3 79:1 87:7	donald 1:14 2:12	early 23:18 40:21
129:6,7 131:2	107:25 109:7	12:3 13:17 15:10	41:3 55:10 56:14
132:10 139:16,19	discussions 29:20	16:7 18:23 19:4,14	60:14 64:5 72:13
141:17,19 142:13	30:15 59:7 65:1	108:12,17 153:2	72:16 73:9 76:13
148:22	77:5 107:20	153:21	98:18,19 109:21
diet 126:6	disease 42:25	dont 17:9 18:24	easiest 37:2 60:3,3,7
difference 60:14	disnmore 4:20	20:8,22 22:15	60:23
150:9	disposing 97:13	25:22 26:20 29:17	east 9:3 11:7,16
different 19:23	dispute 83:2	33:21 43:10 45:18	eastern 1:2 2:2
29:12,12,13 33:23	distinguish 69:15	47:5 48:6 51:23	easy 61:3 119:1
35:5,23 45:25 47:5	distributed 147:12	56:6 58:8,11,15	143:23,24
49:8 54:22 66:24	district 1:1,2 2:1,2	62:1,22 64:22 67:1	eat 120:17 123:25
67:6 69:15 80:18	divide 112:25	72:10 75:17,19	125:17
83:13 85:19,23,24	doctor 82:4,6	76:7,15 77:21	economic 15:9,20
96:8 104:17	document 1:6 2:6	82:21 83:3,11	28:6,22 29:2,4,15
127:16 146:14	22:16,19,21 51:18	84:22 93:20 95:18	36:22 41:22 68:10
148:8	57:13,13,14 72:5	97:23 101:2,10	69:7 83:10 89:18
differentiate 83:5	84:2,4 92:8 94:13	102:12 104:25	99:9 109:9,12,18
differently 49:15	106:24 112:19	107:12,13,15,17	110:1 111:12
difficult 35:22 44:12	114:6,20 116:21	108:6,8 116:1,3	113:23 135:24
48:19 126:17,20	117:1,5,16,23	117:3 118:1,15	136:15 137:5
137:7,20 142:19	124:6 127:9	119:6,17 122:6,14	138:1 145:5
	-	-	-

7

(212) 490-3430

economically 30:12	96:9,18,22 99:24	ends 122:22 129:20	153:6
48:5 66:14,16	100:7,17 102:21	energy 126:5	excessive 143:10
67:13 113:5,21	102:25 103:5,22	engage 43:4	exclusively 55:15
151:22	105:18 109:22	enormous 66:8	excuse 31:18 147:22
economics 13:6	110:6,19 114:22	enterprise 86:3	148:20 149:15
15:15 29:6 66:20	127:6 135:18	entire 63:25 107:11	executed 133:23
84:7 111:10	137:15 145:24	113:13	exercise 126:17
135:18 145:24	146:6 147:3 152:4	entitled 51:7 52:8	exhibit 12:11,12,16
146:6	eggs 11:12 17:25	99:14 116:21	12:20 13:4,5,10,15
edit 27:5	31:4,24,25 34:3,3	environment 120:1	13:20 14:4,5,8,12
edited 100:13	34:11,17 42:9,24	equal 59:9	14:17 15:4,5,9,14
editorial 95:10,16	43:1,1,10 45:23,25	erving 18:21	15:19 22:22 51:9
107:5	48:21 53:22,24	escape 53:17	64:4,7 70:17 83:20
edu 5:10	54:10 60:11 63:8	especially 54:13	84:3 85:1 92:1
education 50:14	66:21 85:19,20	113:22	93:22 104:6 105:7
educational 24:22	89:19 110:3	essentially 134:18	108:1,19 114:13
effect 22:8 147:2	126:18,19 127:24	established 33:19	114:18 116:14
effective 23:19	129:10,11 146:4	estimated 95:1	132:12,17 133:14
127:22	150:22	et 12:13 115:12	133:19 135:8
effects 58:22 147:8	eimer 10:14 17:20	europe 50:3 113:23	144:14 145:25
efficiencies 66:20	eimerstahl 10:20	114:3	146:17
efficient 137:15	either 25:23 45:2	europeans 82:12	exhibits 12:10 13:3
effort 116:9	95:25 97:18	evaluating 45:4	14:3 15:3
efforts 40:20 100:9	151:24	eventually 98:14	existed 140:8
100:16	element 122:11	102:2,9 123:23	exists 60:16 76:9
egg 1:4 2:4 6:10,10	123:11 126:22	139:12	140:8
13:6 14:5,12 15:15	elimination 88:2	everybody 49:15	expect 57:16
15:19 16:15 17:15	email 12:12 14:8	102:8 103:14	expenses 73:15
17:16 22:7,9 24:3	15:5 23:10 108:20	113:8	74:10,15,16 75:4
27:8,11 28:25 29:7	109:3 132:24	evidence 81:14	75:11 116:12
29:8,23 30:4 31:15	emanuel 3:4 18:6	90:20	134:11
32:3,11,20 33:9,16	emergency 92:20	exact 107:2 123:17	expensive 144:6,7
34:25 35:2,6 38:2	emeritus 24:10,11	exactly 48:4 84:24	experience 32:8,17
39:2,6,12,21 40:17	emphasis 31:9	111:4 151:12	51:7 68:9,10,18
41:11,21 42:3,7,17	109:17,22	examination 12:2	69:4 79:6 99:11
42:22 43:25 44:1	emphasize 30:18	19:8	experiences 48:20
44:10 47:16 48:12	employed 22:1	examined 19:5	experiment 60:13
49:18,18,23,23	employee 154:14	example 34:9 42:24	experimental 132:6
51:1 52:8,15,18	encourage 89:24	50:4,9 56:16 68:16	experimented
53:2,20 59:22,25	102:16	76:1 80:21 91:7,19	130:24
60:12,12,14,20	encouraged 130:10	examples 33:22,23	experiments 36:20
62:6 63:4,14 64:1	encouraging 139:6	50:2 141:20	131:3
67:12,12 68:25	ended 98:8	exceedingly 49:7	expert 141:13
80:4,17 84:7 85:17	endorsed 68:7	exception 122:19	expires 153:25
		•	

	•		ı
explain 35:14 53:13	69:9 70:16 90:13	feel 30:16,16 51:5,5	35:15,16 36:2 37:6
149:25	91:8 122:9 125:2	feeling 21:9,11,21	37:9 38:20 39:13
explained 110:23	125:21,22 130:3	fewer 42:8 44:2	41:7 51:25 53:4
export 43:16	fairly 33:18 48:11	field 126:23	65:3 96:5,10 97:1
exports 42:16,21	fairness 48:20 59:9	fifth 10:7	98:3 104:18
43:4 53:5,11 54:8	familiar 34:21	file 77:20,21,23,25	106:12 115:16
expressed 149:2	58:24 84:4 142:8	files 93:20	144:3 146:20,24
expression 50:1	fantastic 118:15	final 117:4,10,11,17	147:3
extend 24:19	far 42:2 45:2,18	119:12 120:15	flocks 19:25 38:21
extension 13:5,15	56:11 68:24,25,25	122:5,6 124:8,10	42:12 93:18
13:20 15:14 24:16	77:15 80:24 90:11	124:11	floor 3:7,16 5:7 7:5
24:16,17 36:19	103:11 118:1	finally 23:19 73:1	44:12,15,20 45:14
121:10	124:1 126:25	82:25	47:21 48:12 98:2
extent 117:16	farm 137:14 142:20	financial 133:23	98:23 106:20
extra 96:25 134:21	143:14	financially 16:24	126:17,20,25
134:21 144:23	farmer 45:22 65:8	154:13	142:18 143:12
extreme 90:23 99:12	68:6 126:24 129:8	find 81:18 83:8	fluctuation 151:6
extremely 37:13	farmers 41:9	93:20 97:10	flush 131:22
96:18,22	farms 7:17 8:3 9:3,3	finding 110:13	focus 32:1 45:13
	9:4 17:23 142:10	fine 20:16 36:11	139:21 148:18
<u> </u>	fast 61:3 70:15 94:7	61:13 66:5 96:21	focused 34:6 92:7
faced 47:15	95:14	finish 71:25	focusing 62:6
facilities 137:15	fastest 60:23	finished 75:25	fold 26:9
facing 48:11 96:18	faulkner 14:14	firm 122:15	folding 26:5
fact 28:21 44:7	114:21	first 20:7 31:1 36:1	folks 56:21 64:9
57:12 63:5 87:14	faulkners 115:23	36:18 37:10 44:25	follow 46:24 91:17
90:18,19 96:20	fax 13:10 92:16	54:24 60:13 71:8,9	142:20 144:1
97:10 98:8 112:19	feature 80:1	77:9 92:7,9 94:12	150:9
117:5 138:10	february 70:10 71:4	105:9 107:22	followed 48:4
factor 39:8,14	71:9 72:7 150:18	117:19,23 118:22	following 100:25
factors 31:10 39:1,5	150:24,25	119:5,8 120:13	115:9 138:12
42:2 52:24 53:1,8	federal 90:2	124:6,16,23 126:1	140:4
62:18 64:1 65:7	fee 30:14 74:11,11	127:1 131:1 137:1	follows 19:6 117:19
faegre 5:13	feed 31:8,9,9,10	140:9 145:4 147:6	123:7 143:5
faegrebd 5:19	87:5 88:16 123:22	five 62:24 76:6	followup 107:20
fail 111:18,24	126:10 130:1,2,7	85:12 130:25	food 126:2 131:25
failed 54:25	130:14,18 132:3	131:17,18,25	foods 7:10 8:13 10:3
failure 49:3	132:10 137:16	148:15	17:18 18:17
fair 20:15,24 21:7	143:19 149:20	fiveyear 62:25	foot 127:23
32:1,9,18 37:25	feeder 120:9,14	flapping 129:6	force 149:12,17,19
41:20 43:24 46:16	122:10,17 123:10	flaps 129:13	150:16 151:14
47:1,8 48:2,3,5,7	124:4 125:3,17,25	flock 12:17 15:20	forced 129:25
52:22 57:2 58:8	126:7,14,16,19	29:24 30:3,24	149:19
59:11,23 64:16	feeding 19:25	31:15,16,24 35:13	foregoing 153:3

154:4,6,11	four 29:6 45:5 54:22	getting 46:15 88:25	goes 22:16 41:7 43:2
forever 86:1,3	65:5 76:6 96:8,13	103:14	113:7 118:1
forget 121:9	96:14,15 106:14	gettysburg 9:4	going 20:11 23:18
form 23:20 26:15	107:1 124:21	giant 7:3	37:13 41:9 42:10
27:15,19 30:6	126:13 130:24	gibson 7:11	42:13,15 44:22
31:17 32:5,12 33:4	131:17,18,25	gist 98:22	46:2,13,13 47:1,10
33:10 34:12 36:4,7	150:19	give 22:12 34:16	49:2,4 50:4 56:9
36:10 37:22 38:4,9	fourth 11:16 46:8	36:13 51:15 59:2,9	58:21 59:5 60:10
38:18 39:22 40:13	128:23 147:19	63:13 81:21 96:8	61:10,23 62:14,18
42:18 43:6,18	francisco 8:18	given 29:19 30:17	85:18,22,24 87:2
44:23 45:19 46:18	franklin 5:7	41:9 62:16 79:14	89:16 90:5 96:17
47:2,11 49:19	frankly 115:24	112:16 134:22	100:2,3 104:13
50:23 53:21 54:21	free 86:2	gives 62:23	110:12 113:24
55:13,23 56:22	frequently 99:25	giving 55:24 56:2	127:18 131:4
57:11,22 58:3	fresh 11:12 17:24	88:16 96:14 104:5	134:22 143:17,19
59:15 60:21 64:20	friedman 8:14 18:16	go 16:18 20:5,17	143:20 150:19,20
66:13 68:20 73:14	friendship 50:8	25:5 26:4,17 34:5	150:20,20,21
74:8 75:23 78:3,7	front 23:8 83:18	36:22,22 38:14	goldstein 5:5,10
78:15 84:16 85:10	121:14	40:7 42:12,25 45:2	17:4 18:18,18 26:8
88:4 90:16 91:9,22	frustrated 67:2,4	45:18 49:9 52:6	good 16:4 19:10,11
97:14 103:2 109:1	frustration 66:23	61:9,20 63:21	45:17 49:7,11 50:3
119:4 120:11	68:12,24	70:15 73:15 74:19	50:8 67:21 79:16
122:12 125:19	full 19:12 111:20,21	75:4 80:24 81:8,15	94:6 101:25 113:2
130:4 136:5	fundamental 85:7	95:21 103:9 106:3	gotten 132:8
138:24 140:3,15	funds 73:16	106:18 109:10	governed 76:22
140:18 143:4	further 153:4	118:6,21 120:2,20	government 86:1
145:14 151:17	154:10,12	120:24 121:1,9,14	grain 126:3
152:1	fuzzy 21:21	122:23 123:3,8	grant 24:15
formal 25:1 76:21		125:6,23 126:23	graph 52:11,20
formation 26:20	G	127:3 128:21	graphics 84:16
forming 118:9	gained 148:22	131:11 135:7	great 21:1 58:12
forms 100:25	game 66:24	136:23 139:16	greater 88:1
formula 54:3	gene 12:12 13:11,21	144:3 145:10,10	greatest 31:4 138:12
formulas 126:21	15:5 23:10 34:9	147:19 149:15	gregory 12:12 13:11
forth 154:5	57:10 67:21 78:10	150:12,19 151:9	13:22 15:5 23:10
forward 89:15	92:17 93:16 117:9	152:9	34:9 56:21,24
found 36:21	general 18:12 25:9	goal 39:23,24 60:8	57:10 70:22 78:10
foundation 40:23	40:11 51:6 124:17	141:3 142:11	78:13,25 92:17
41:5 43:7 48:17	generally 51:19 62:8	godlstein 20:17,20	93:2,13,16 94:15
55:14 67:16 78:16	76:14 105:13	26:3,17 46:20	99:19,22 100:13
93:14 101:21	109:5 116:25	58:12 61:9,14,20	102:18,19 104:14
106:25 111:8	genetic 118:24	71:24 82:4 114:7	104:19 105:2,7,7
122:13 123:15	genuine 130:3	122:23,25 123:2	105:23 106:5,11
148:3	gestures 21:6	132:19 144:19	117:9 132:25
	•	•	•

133:6	honnon 42.15	hens 42:4 66:12	humane 66:17 90:24
	happen 42:15 139:15	69:15 76:1 84:20	90:25 109:24
grew 146:3			
grits 87:20	happened 68:3	97:13 120:22	humans 67:4
grocers 11:4	98:14	124:4 125:4,18	hundred 31:7 33:22
ground 20:6	happening 150:23	128:13,25 131:24	33:23 36:25 48:24
groups 43:4	151:4,11,13	138:11 140:25	148:23
grow 62:12	hard 46:14 79:23	hereto 22:24 51:11	hundredcase 143:15
growing 76:2	116:1	70:19 83:22 85:3	
guarantee 147:23	harder 89:25	92:3 93:24 104:8	id 20:13 21:21 22:12
148:21	hasnt 59:18 141:7	108:3 114:15	33:5,11 61:21
guess 35:14,25	hatch 59:13 62:5,6,9	116:16 132:14	idea 57:7 77:19
53:18 56:3 76:10	62:10,13,17 63:4	133:16 135:10	79:16 96:22
77:1 102:16	hatches 59:18	144:16	102:13 116:12
110:22 134:18	hate 22:12	hes 26:5 58:14 79:14	136:20 139:12,22
guidelines 14:18	hausfeld 3:13 18:8	143:15,20 144:7,7	147:13
38:3,7,8,10,17	hausfeldllp 3:19	144:8	ideal 98:3
55:3,18,21 56:15	havent 79:24 82:15	hesitate 20:8	ideas 34:17 49:17,22
57:8 60:19 82:7	82:15 95:9,18	hester 118:14	63:23 96:15
91:4 110:5 116:22	135:1	121:14,16,19	104:13,16 107:21
117:2 118:19	heading 119:24	high 41:24,25 45:1	identification 22:23
119:15,16 120:22	120:3 138:1	53:15,15 67:5	51:10 70:18 83:21
124:2,11,18,20	headline 118:6	111:17,23	85:2 92:2 93:23
129:21 136:25	health 21:15 38:22	higher 81:15 98:15	104:7 108:2
138:13 140:5	51:6 65:4	128:10 142:22	114:14 116:15
144:1 151:14	healthy 30:11,11,25	highly 1:13	132:13 133:15
guy 148:23	hear 147:22 148:20	hillandale 9:3,3,4,4	
guys 17:10	149:1	historically 54:1	135:6,9 144:15
H	heard 131:1	138:10	identified 39:5,9 72:17 100:12
	hearing 20:4	hold 25:2 64:14	
halebian 4:11 18:14	height 81:4	85:25 104:2	140:1
half 105:14,14	heinz 11:4	holds 86:3	identify 17:8,10
148:14	held 16:11 36:20	hope 59:7 120:8	39:8 51:18 86:17
hamilton 6:12 17:15		hour 61:10,21 62:1	92:8,16 94:8,12
hand 22:17 51:13	help 32:3 33:8 34:2	129:13	105:10 108:19
70:21 77:1 83:24	35:12 49:17,22	hours 27:2,3	114:20 116:25
85:5 92:5 94:1	98:23	house 64:9 137:13	132:24 133:22
104:10 108:5	helpful 101:14,18	144:4 148:23	135:17 145:1
116:18 132:16	117:12 145:11	housekeeping 23:2	identifying 23:9
133:18 135:12	hen 36:17 65:20	houses 137:16	ids 7:19
144:18	69:10,11,12 70:1	housing 68:15 76:3	ill 20:22 22:17,18
handed 86:5 105:1	84:19 88:16 95:2	76:4 119:25 120:6	26:7,18 27:17 35:4
114:17	115:11 127:6	120:21,24 121:20	70:13 92:8 133:22
handing 23:1	147:20 149:20	121:21,24	135:17
handle 78:23	henhouse 127:6	human 66:22	illinois 9:19 10:18
	•	•	•

	I	1	I
11:8	112:23 113:4,8	46:11,12 47:19	insist 65:19
im 18:19 20:11	120:14,16 121:4,5	48:13 54:12 85:11	insisted 66:22
21:11 22:2 23:1	122:19 123:22,25	87:18 101:18	insisting 132:7
26:3,10,25 27:2,8	124:4,17 127:6,13	103:5,22 142:20	institution 24:15
36:8 43:14 48:8,10	129:1	143:14 147:9	intended 146:5
48:10 51:7 55:24	include 35:23 38:12	148:14	intentionally 103:15
55:24 56:2,3,25	68:22 145:17	individuals 30:13	interest 47:22
57:4 58:7,20 64:21	included 100:5	37:16	interested 16:24
64:22 66:18 67:21	includes 40:17	induce 131:24	37:8 45:9 67:11
69:23 80:6 83:10	53:23 106:19	induced 88:14	154:13
86:11 92:7 97:18	including 35:6 40:9	industries 27:12	interfere 79:3
97:18,19 100:19	106:14	industry 22:7,11	interference 16:21
101:11 102:19	income 30:3,25 31:3	24:4,22 27:8 28:6	intermediate 91:12
104:4,24 107:24	31:4,12 51:3 52:9	28:23 29:7,8 30:4	international 29:17
110:13 111:22	52:16 65:9 147:8	30:11,17,25 31:13	103:16
117:22 119:15	increase 42:11	33:16 34:2,10 35:6	interpreted 30:11
120:15 124:19,25	47:21 48:12 54:8	36:21 37:10,12	interrupt 134:5
125:9 129:19	62:13 65:9 88:1	38:1,23,24 39:5	interruption 30:20
130:23 132:4	128:8,9 150:15,16	41:10,21 44:1,1,11	intervale 19:14,15
134:5,5,18 135:1	151:7,20 152:14	45:8,15,18 46:25	interviewed 79:14
139:5 144:11	increased 149:24	47:23 51:6 53:20	introduce 22:15
145:9	increases 36:24	59:25 62:20,21,24	70:13
imbalance 126:6	44:15 127:7	63:2,7,9,11,14	introduced 140:9
immediately 95:13	increasing 44:12,19 45:14 128:21	65:4,23,25 80:13	inventory 95:2 invested 45:5
impact 39:2 42:17 53:2 80:12		80:20 84:14 89:23	
	142:18 143:6,12 indemnification	90:10 96:9,17 98:24 102:13,21	investment 93:9 99:16
impacting 39:20	19:25	102:25 106:23	investments 110:10
impairing 26:6 implement 143:25	index 12:1 13:1 14:1	113:13 138:10	110:20
implement 143.23	15:1	139:11 142:21	invite 75:7
importance 125:24	indiana 5:17	146:7,9,14 147:9	invited 34:15,20,23
important 22:18	indianapolis 5:17	industrys 37:16	115:5
32:24 50:14 80:3	indicate 121:2	industrywide 27:5	inviter 75:3
80:11 111:11	indicated 40:11	60:18 98:1 106:15	involved 45:24
113:25 120:7,10	128:12	138:9	55:16,16,17 59:10
125:3 126:18	indicates 23:17	information 24:20	64:25 65:9 66:25
impose 48:5	127:1 133:5 134:1	52:13 56:12	118:9 137:5
improve 40:1 131:4	149:23	101:10	involvement 86:2
inch 82:25	indication 62:12,14	informed 23:18	involves 88:16
inches 58:23 65:13	indirect 4:3,10	ingersoll 9:6	103:17 121:24
65:13,19,24,24	18:14	initial 75:13 117:17	involving 22:7 60:19
66:11 69:11 70:2	individual 19:24	124:11	iowa 27:8 28:25
80:21 89:15,17,17	30:14 37:13,15	inperson 76:17	irony 97:10
98:9,11,19 112:21	40:1 44:13 45:8,10	inprogress 117:7	isnt 69:25 72:25
		1 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

		ļ., , ,,,,,	
73:17 94:6 99:6	49:18	kinds 126:23	lacks 40:22 48:16
133:12 146:22	joseph 11:14	klekamp 11:13	lady 121:18
151:13	journals 83:17	kmklaw 11:19	laid 99:1
issue 50:18 63:16,24	joy 82:1 121:25	knew 44:21 46:24	land 24:15 112:15
64:18 65:11 69:1	127:20	47:9 79:12	large 42:17 46:25
100:1 109:4	jpizzirusso 3:19	know 20:9 21:14,22	146:11
113:10 120:6	jr 11:14	22:14,21 25:22	larger 46:16
126:9 127:19	jtakenouchi 8:20	26:20 39:9 48:25	largest 53:2
128:15 136:15,16	judge 45:22	50:24 51:23 57:2,3	law 3:6,15 4:13 5:6
137:12 144:12	july 23:19 24:2 73:1	58:4 59:3 62:2	5:15 6:14 8:6,16
issues 38:13,22	73:9 92:11,18	64:23 66:21 67:1	9:17 10:6,16 11:15
40:20 52:5 63:1	94:15	69:24 76:7 83:3	102:10
66:23 68:10,11,11	jumping 71:25	86:7,9,22 89:17	laws 102:10
68:12,15 69:5,6,7	june 92:9	95:19 96:12 98:13	lawsuits 19:24
76:9 91:13,25	justification 84:16	100:21 101:7,10	lay 41:16 54:21
109:24 111:9	justified 44:20	101:14,19,24,24	143:20
113:18 137:5	113:6,21 151:23	104:5 116:1,8	layer 15:19 96:5
item 80:19	justify 44:13 46:14	117:4 118:1	104:18
ive 28:1,22 34:23	142:19 143:13	119:10,16 126:3	laying 12:16 29:23
39:24 49:25 51:3,4	K	129:7 132:8,11	31:15 51:25 65:3
51:14 63:6,6 77:21		136:18 137:2	118:7 131:21
86:5 98:18 105:1	kallen 8:10	141:15 142:12	leaders 34:9
107:22 114:17	kari 17:22	143:1,23	leading 23:23 65:21
128:3 132:8,20	karri 8:5	knowledge 21:18,19	69:14 122:12
134:21 145:4	kasowitz 8:14,20	35:16 40:25 43:3	lee 13:10
148:24,24	18:16	43:15 48:23 53:20	left 29:15
т	kathy 5:14,19 18:2	67:15 71:22 72:6	legal 16:9
J	keating 11:13	93:13 100:15	leghorn 128:25
jacobson 4:11 18:14	keep 23:8 26:7	101:1 106:22	leonard 10:4,10
james 3:14 18:8	42:13 61:23 62:3	107:12,25 121:12	17:18
january 114:22	94:21 105:14	124:3 125:8 140:1	letter 13:16,21
115:1 150:17,18	128:13 150:20	knowledgeable	14:13 86:17 107:2
150:24,24	keeps 150:20	43:16	107:13 135:1
jason 8:15 18:15	kellogg 18:11	known 107:22	136:13 152:2
jcallow 11:19	kennedy 10:15	knows 143:18,18,20	letters 106:16 132:9
jeff 12:13 77:23	17:20,20	kraft 17:13 18:11	level 43:15 100:6
jenner 9:14,21,22	kept 98:10	krgeg00020640 13:8	131:11
17:12 18:10	key 79:2	84:3	liberty 9:7
jerry 14:14 114:21	keywords 110:13	kristen 18:21	license 35:21
job 21:1 22:6 58:13	kidding 130:23		life 63:5 97:19
joe 17:24	kill 97:9	L L 50 12 142 21	limiting 126:7
join 30:22	kind 20:4 53:16	labor 50:13 143:21	limits 89:13
joined 30:21,21	76:24 102:14	laboratory 129:15	line 30:17 143:9
jointly 47:22 48:15	122:19 151:13	lack 22:10	153:7
	I	1	ı

v 20.11	120 22 120 47 11	40 40 22 50 25	27.21.20.12
lines 29:11	128:23 129:6,7,11	49:18,23 59:25	mean 25:21 29:13
list 63:25 64:3,4	136:21 137:25	60:20 100:17	68:2,14 77:24 89:8
65:6 71:15,21	142:14 149:7,11	management 38:11	107:9 137:11
107:12 146:10,11	152:7	54:16,22 55:11,22	143:25
listed 53:4 65:5 72:4	looked 51:3 54:3	56:15 57:8 114:3	meaning 82:17 85:8
153:6	90:21	manager 49:7,8	85:24,25
literature 81:18	looking 29:14 31:16	managing 12:16	means 25:22 36:13
litigation 1:5 2:5	46:11 83:9 96:25	38:20,21 41:4	39:18 53:13 63:12
16:15	105:3 109:13	51:24 65:3 99:24	84:1,10 90:20
little 21:11 26:4	129:19	mandate 75:20,22	95:25 110:2,25
37:4 52:11 53:15	lose 89:19 126:19,19	75:24 76:5,7	131:8 141:7 143:6
53:15 61:23 71:24	loses 87:19	march 86:18	meant 67:13
113:15 118:5,24	loss 131:7	marcus 7:4	measurable 67:1
living 49:11 110:7	lost 137:21 148:24	margins 40:1 41:21	69:6,7
110:20	lot 31:9 52:5 62:16	41:25	measure 31:13
llc 10:13 17:21	74:13 75:15 90:4	marked 22:22 23:1	measurements
llp 3:4,13 4:4,11,20	118:12 121:24	51:9,13 70:17,21	128:24
6:4,12 7:4,11 8:14	lovell 4:11 18:13	83:20,25 85:1,5	meet 76:14 93:8
10:14 11:6 18:9	low 31:6 41:21 45:1	86:5 92:1,5 93:22	99:14 142:11,11
local 29:16 43:11	67:5 79:2 96:18,22	94:2 104:6,10	meeting 35:3,9 71:9
locust 3:16	lower 100:6	105:2 108:1,5	75:8 76:24 92:20
lodging 134:11,17	lowers 87:17	114:13,17 116:14	93:3 117:19
logan 6:15	lp 9:4	116:18 132:12,16	134:23
long 22:3 27:13	ludlow 4:22	133:14,18 135:8	meetings 34:21,23
45:18 109:16		135:12 144:14,18	63:18 71:8 73:16
130:25	<u> </u>	market 2:14 16:12	74:6 75:4,7 76:17
longer 42:14 61:23	machine 143:16	34:11 43:2,2,11	76:23 77:6,18 78:2
65:6	154:9	53:24 54:11,13	78:6,14 79:1,18
longstanding 88:25	madison 3:7	93:8 99:15 105:25	92:24 100:24
longterm 59:14 60:1	magic 112:23	marketers 6:11	115:20 134:9
63:15,23 64:17,18	mailing 146:10,11	14:12 17:16 35:2	member 65:15
68:5	main 31:23 87:22	114:22	72:11 73:6 97:11
look 22:19,21 46:1	111:12	marketing 35:1,9,20	
50:10,11,11 52:7	maintaining 30:10	92:21,25	26:14 28:2,20,24
52:24 54:15 63:11	30:25	markets 43:11	29:22 32:3,11,20
66:7 77:17,19	major 39:10 100:1	mass 30:13	33:9,17 37:20 38:2
83:10 85:12,15	137:4	matter 49:11 69:17	40:21 41:11 44:8
89:25 90:1 95:20	making 37:19 45:21	152:3,4	47:16 58:19,19,20
96:3 105:16 106:5	50:17 55:9 84:12	maximum 81:1,3,4	71:15,22 72:4,6,8
110:14 116:5	98:6 100:9 143:3	83:13	72:9,14,19 86:20
117:15 118:18	male 86:11,14	mcdonalds 132:7,8	100:10,17,24
119:24 120:15,20	manage 32:3,11,20	mckenzie 11:6	107:10 115:11
120:25 122:21	33:9 38:2,17,20	mckinney 7:12	118:14 124:24,25
123:9,19 128:14	41:14 47:16 48:12	mdl 1:5 2:5	147:14
	<u> </u>	1	

membership 57:14 minutes 77:5,18 109:23 127:7 need 18:24 20:7 87:25 107:11 misstates 47:24 128:14,19 129:12 21:13 22:20 48:6 115:1,10 137:22 74:22 90:17 91:10 131:9 83:5 85:12 104:1 memo 29:10,11 91:23 127:8 136:5 motherhood 119:21 110:23 145:3,12 146:18 141:4 145:15 119:22 needed 66:22 90:20 146:25 147:1 151:18 motivation 138:19 111:2 memory 58:11 moart 17:21 motivation 138:19 111:2 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 10:9,18,20 mertioned 36:1 modifying 45:1,9 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 mesh 131:21 molted 150:2,3,3,7 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
115:1,10 137:22 74:22 90:17 91:10 131:9 83:5 85:12 104:1 memo 29:10,11 91:23 127:8 136:5 110:23 110:23 145:3,12 146:18 141:4 145:15 119:22 needed 66:22 90:20 146:25 147:1 151:18 motivation 138:19 111:2 memory 58:11 moark 10:13 139:22,25 140:6 needs 30:16 37:16 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 models 93:19 104:3 move 26:4 43:10 negative 56:5 44:4 65:12 115:21 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 merick 4:12 18:13 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multique 78:21 151:25
memo 29:10,11 91:23 127:8 136:5 motherhood 119:21 110:23 145:3,12 146:18 141:4 145:15 119:22 needed 66:22 90:20 146:25 147:1 151:18 motivation 138:19 111:2 memory 58:11 moark 10:13 139:22,25 140:6 needs 30:16 37:16 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 models 93:19 104:3 move 26:4 43:10 negative 56:5 44:4 65:12 115:21 molt 41:6 42:10 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 merhod 138:19 131:24 motting 39:16,18,20 net 4:17 method 138:19 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
145:3,12 146:18 141:4 145:15 119:22 needed 66:22 90:20 146:25 147:1 151:18 motivation 138:19 111:2 memory 58:11 moark 10:13 139:22,25 140:6 needs 30:16 37:16 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 modifying 45:1,9 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 merrick 4:12 18:13 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
146:25 147:1 151:18 motivation 138:19 111:2 memory 58:11 moark 10:13 139:22,25 140:6 needs 30:16 37:16 memos 147:12 moart 17:21 140:21 141:23 37:17 70:1 93:8 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 models 93:19 104:3 move 26:4 43:10 negative 56:5 44:4 65:12 115:21 molt 41:6 42:10 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 merhod 138:19 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
memory 58:11 moark 10:13 139:22,25 140:6 needs 30:16 37:16 memos 147:12 moart 17:21 140:21 141:23 37:17 70:1 93:8 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 models 93:19 104:3 move 26:4 43:10 negative 56:5 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 merrick 4:12 18:13 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
memos 147:12 moart 17:21 140:21 141:23 37:17 70:1 93:8 mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 modifying 45:1,9 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 mesh 131:21 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 140:21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
mench 82:1,2,7 model 46:6,6 49:5 motive 43:8 99:15 101:7 110:6 mentioned 36:1 models 93:19 104:3 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neighbor 42:25 merrick 4:12 18:13 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
121:25 127:20 modeling 93:18 movability 69:18 110:9,18,20 mentioned 36:1 44:4 65:12 115:21 models 93:19 104:3 move 26:4 43:10 negative 56:5 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 mesh 131:21 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
mentioned 36:1 models 93:19 104:3 move 26:4 43:10 negative 56:5 44:4 65:12 115:21 modifying 45:1,9 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 mesh 131:21 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
44:4 65:12 115:21 modifying 45:1,9 52:23 neighbor 42:25 meridian 5:16 molt 41:6 42:10 moved 76:2 neither 154:12 merick 4:12 18:13 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
meridian 5:16 merrick 4:12 18:13 mesh 131:21 method 138:19 molt 41:6 42:10 131:24 molted 150:2,3,3,7 molting 39:16,18,20 139:22,25 140:6 140:14,21 141:2 moved 76:2 msrayle 4:17 muething 11:13 multifaceted 137:17 multiple 89:3 multiple 89:3 multitude 78:21 neither 154:12 nestle 18:12 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 multiple 89:3 multitude 78:21
merrick 4:12 18:13 131:24 msrayle 4:17 nestle 18:12 mesh 131:21 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
mesh 131:21 molted 150:2,3,3,7 muething 11:13 net 4:17 method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
method 138:19 molting 39:16,18,20 multifaceted 137:17 never 34:23 48:24 139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
139:22,25 140:6 39:24,25 40:3,6,9 multiple 89:3 85:9 90:25 97:16 140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
140:14,21 141:2 40:12,17,21 41:3 multitude 78:21 151:25
144 1 2 0 0
141:16,23
Hethous 115.10 42.14 00.14 00.21 4.15 45.11 70.1
mexico 42:23 53:23 86:24,25 87:4,9,14 nahms 90:1,1 88:15
michael 5:5,10 10:3 87:22 88:2,12,13 name 16:7 19:12 newsletter 79:13
17:18 18:18 88:14 89:6 90:3 101:12,13 115:23 94:11,19 newsletters 27:6 10
100.5 105.5
merophone 20.1
117.12,17,17,21
150110,25 151111
131.11,20 132.11,1
midwest 5:12 18:2 moment 51:15 67:2 98:3 100:5 102:10 normal 21:11 milberg 4:4 104:11 151:8 102:11 103:19 136:16 151:5
101.11 131.0
mill 143:19 money 44:2 84:15 138:5 139:6 normally 31:7 million 84:19 140:25 nationally 103:13 north 4:22 5:16 9:1
122:16 139:18,19 month 30:1 74:12 nations 12:16 29:23 nos 12:18,21 13:8,1
148:13 99:14 106:17,18 31:15 51:25 65:3 13:23 14:6,10,15
mills 18:12 137:16 133:11 134:4,19 96:5,15 97:1 14:21 15:7,11,17
mind 21:20 89:20 134:19 104:18 106:12 15:22
102:1 months 62:10,12,15 147:7 153:25
minimum 65:19 150:17,19 nationwide 59:13,22 note 16:17 23:3
69:10 70:1 80:25 morgan 7:18 59:25 noted 152:23
81:2 98:2,13,23 morning 16:4 19:10 natural 56:4 69:12 notes 77:5,9,18
106:19 121:5 19:11 95:15 nature 63:3,15 notice 148:6
minneapolis 7:21 morris 8:4 17:23 82:12 nucal 8:13 18:17
10:8 mortality 66:21 necessarily 36:15 number 23:3,11
minnesota 7:21 10:8 67:12 69:1,1,2 46:5 35:23 36:15 41:8
35.25 36.15 11.0

	<u> </u>		
44:21 50:25 58:24	68:20 69:14 74:8	27:20 46:10 48:9	54:5 55:19 56:8
59:5 81:5,12,13,19	74:22 75:23 78:3,7	51:15 52:22 54:17	57:1,18,25 58:6,25
82:7 84:9,20 85:22	78:15 85:10 88:4	55:20 56:10 57:7	59:20 61:1,12,25
86:12 96:25 98:1	90:16 91:9,22	58:16 59:21 61:24	64:24 66:2,15
98:15 103:5,7	93:14 97:14	62:4 64:15 69:4,23	67:19 69:3,20
105:5 108:11,16	101:21 103:2	70:4 72:12 74:5	70:13,16,20 72:3
112:12,22,23	106:25 109:1	75:1,6,12,18,18,20	74:14,25 76:11
113:1,2,5,9,9	111:8 119:4	83:6 84:1 85:6	78:4,9,18 82:5
123:17 148:11	120:11 122:12	86:15 92:15 94:3	83:23 85:4,21
149:11 152:10,14	123:15 125:19	94:12 95:11,17,21	86:13,16 88:6 91:3
numbers 60:15 65:9	127:8 136:5 140:3	96:3,21 97:23	91:18 92:4 93:25
65:22 67:12 68:25	140:15,18 141:4	103:11 104:12,15	97:25 102:4 103:3
98:21 100:22	143:4 145:14	105:20,24 106:3	104:9 107:3 108:4
115:11 126:12,22	148:3 151:17	106:18 107:5,7,18	108:18 109:2
128:10 137:13	152:1	107:23 108:9	111:15 114:16
139:17 143:18	objections 36:6	110:15,16 111:2	116:17 119:7
148:17	obligation 136:16	112:5,11 114:5	120:19 122:20
numerous 127:2,16	obtained 96:5	115:8 116:4,13,20	123:4,18 125:20
147:7	obviously 43:10	117:15 118:4	127:12 130:6
nw 8:7	51:21,22 65:2	121:19,23 122:24	132:15,21 133:17
0	80:18 90:11 95:9	123:3 124:17	135:11 136:7
_	134:17	127:16,18 130:23	139:1 140:10,16
oakland 5:8	occasions 57:19	135:3,4,21 136:21	140:23 141:9
oath 16:22 19:2,5	89:4	137:3 146:16,23	143:11 144:17,21
154:7	occurred 79:1	147:1 148:5,10	145:18 148:9
object 26:15 27:18	odd 151:13	149:8,25 150:4,8	151:19 152:6
60:21 130:4	offered 133:7	150:12 151:7	once 35:3 48:23
138:24	office 103:11	old 58:19 117:13	127:20
objected 36:7,10	officers 57:21	older 25:10 42:8	onemillion 147:20
objection 23:20,22	official 117:24	olson 3:5 12:6 17:2	oneonone 28:3
25:18,19 27:15	146:25	17:9,19 18:1,4,5	ones 37:19 61:3
30:6 31:17 32:5,12	officials 58:18 61:4	18:24 19:9 20:21	94:18 120:13
32:22 33:4,10	oh 19:21 64:12	22:25 23:4,6,22,24	125:16,16
34:12 36:4 37:22	66:16 73:19,22	25:19,24 26:11,22	onesource 34:4
38:4,9,18 39:22 40:13,22 41:5	76:15 81:5 84:23	27:17,22 28:4 30:7	open 77:2,3
42:18 43:6,18,19	110:14 112:7	30:21 31:19 32:7	openended 127:10
44:23 45:19 46:18	118:21 128:16	32:16,23 33:6,13	opening 96:3
	130:22 135:5	34:14 36:6,10 37:5	opinion 56:6 80:22
47:2,11,24 48:16 49:19,24 50:23	148:4 151:5	37:24 38:6,15,25	opportunity 90:5
53:21 55:13,23	ohio 4:23 11:12,17	40:2,14,24 41:12	opposed 21:5 30:13
56:22 57:11,22	17:24	42:20 43:13,19,23	37:16 50:1 109:14
58:3 59:15 64:20	okay 20:5 21:12,13	45:12,20 46:19,22	opposite 52:19
65:21 66:13 67:16	22:14 23:5,14 24:2	47:7,14 48:1 49:16	84:20
05.21 00.15 07.10	24:11 25:11,12,25	49:21 50:16 51:12	optimal 66:11,14,16
L			

	1.55.05.440.44	,,,,,,,,	110 10 21 117 2
optimum 60:9 65:22	137:25 142:14	117:4 118:11	110:10,21 147:3
83:12,13 142:22	149:7,8,13 153:7	135:21 145:9	period 25:2 28:5
option 88:21	pages 95:10 118:6	particularly 55:9	30:18 41:9,14
order 35:20 41:3	paging 94:21	76:12	42:14 62:25 70:4
56:1 66:24 67:5,6	paid 24:4 73:13,18	parties 16:18 17:1	73:12,23,24 74:19
125:5	74:2,11 75:10	154:14	87:1,8 88:17
organization 24:18	97:19 133:6 134:1	party 16:23	109:16 130:14,14
27:25 102:25	134:14,17,19	path 49:3	130:18 131:15
organizations 35:5	paper 29:18 81:17	pause 60:5	144:9 148:8
43:9 103:17	102:1	pay 46:8 75:3 82:21	151:11
original 76:4,7	papers 29:19 35:21	134:23	permanently 97:2
122:4 126:8	paragraph 111:20	paying 139:19	person 49:6,7 62:18
146:12 147:17	111:21 112:2,3,8	peck 66:24 67:5,5	76:18 77:12 81:17
osborn 5:14,19 18:2	112:10 113:22	pecking 125:5	81:22,25 89:1
18:2	136:22,24 147:19	penalty 50:7 142:12	104:4 121:10
outcome 16:24	148:5	144:11	144:2 146:10
38:19 87:17 99:8	paralegal 18:22	pennsylvania 1:2	personal 49:3
outcomes 40:16	parallel 145:6	2:2 3:17 4:5 6:6,16	107:25
56:5,5 60:10	parameters 109:9	7:6 8:7 9:9	personally 101:2
outlook 105:25	109:12,18 110:2	people 17:10 23:12	120:12 128:2
output 40:4,5,8,12	113:14,17	36:12 38:23 45:10	persons 80:23
99:24	pardon 29:6 81:5	49:2,9,10 50:10	100:23 122:4
outside 91:12	121:23 128:7	64:22 66:6 68:6	persuade 32:3,11,20
142:10	part 24:18 29:19	72:16 79:5 82:20	33:9 50:10 89:24
overboard 90:5	33:14,15 39:19	87:9,11 89:16,24	100:10,16 111:18
overcome 63:14	40:19 44:10 50:14	89:24 90:5 101:3	111:24 112:15
overproducing 41:8	57:8 63:13 69:18	102:16 111:12	persuasion 50:1,7
overproduction	69:22 72:25 74:2	137:20 150:20	50:14 55:6 89:22
87:5,10	74:18 76:4 79:24	pepper 6:12 17:14	100:20 137:8
overseas 53:23	80:2,10,15 99:21	pepperlaw 6:18	persuasionbased
oversupply 34:11	100:5,23 105:17	percent 31:5,6	55:12
oxford 7:5	107:5 111:25	36:25 41:17,18	peter 10:5 17:17
P	120:15 122:17	48:25 52:17,19,19	peterschwingler
	129:20 134:16	52:20 54:1,2 97:17	10:10
pa 9:5 page 12:11 13:4	136:19 139:21	97:17 98:10 101:5	phased 81:9
14:4 15:4 52:7	partial 77:21	101:5,6,16,17,19	philadelphia 3:17
92:8,9 94:23,24	participate 78:25	101:19 103:10	6:6,16 9:9
95:8,20 99:13	101:3 106:7	139:11,12 141:25	phone 17:10,19
105:17,21 106:5	participating	142:6 144:3,4,11 150:6	photographic 58:11
113:3 115:8 116:5	101:11,11,15,20		physical 69:17
118:5,20,21 120:2	participation 90:4 138:5 139:7 142:3	percentage 46:25 53:22 150:1,2	pick 16:20 picked 128:11
120:20 121:14		*	
120:20 121:14	particular 31:5 41:7	perform 129:1,3	picking 126:2
122.21,23 137.1	57:16,17 93:20	performance	pictures 126:8

	<u> </u>		
piece 29:18	points 37:18 54:21	presented 63:18	proceed 17:1
pittsburgh 7:6	107:1,2 110:4	press 43:22 81:20	proceedings 30:20
pizzirusso 3:14 18:8	111:16 148:7	pressure 53:14	154:4,6,8
18:8	policy 98:1 106:15	90:23	process 39:16 83:1
place 9:7 16:18 31:9	poop 131:23	pressured 109:23	processed 1:4 2:4
34:5 55:20 76:23	pope 13:16 56:21	pretty 50:7 79:2,15	16:15
77:10 79:15 91:5	57:10 84:3 86:18	94:6 95:14	processing 137:16
154:5	87:25 89:3	previously 70:22	produce 145:8
placed 109:22 154:7	population 36:17,25	price 31:24,25 33:18	producer 44:13,15
placements 115:13	45:9 143:6,7	52:18 54:13 58:22	44:21 46:12,23
plain 58:19	porter 8:4 17:22	60:12 64:1 105:18	47:19 101:19
plaintiffs 2:13 3:3	porterwright 8:10	110:3 146:4	110:6,19 119:16
3:12 4:3,10 9:13	portion 90:10	prices 31:22 84:20	124:2 146:9
16:11 17:13 18:7,9	portions 22:20	85:17 96:18,22	producers 6:10
18:11,14	position 24:8,24	147:3	17:15 22:9 27:11
plan 93:8 95:13	25:3,13,16	primarily 29:7	35:1 40:1 44:22
99:14	positions 25:9	111:10 112:4	48:13,15 49:18,23
planned 139:14	positive 56:5 147:8	primary 59:12,25	51:1 80:5,17 103:5
plans 34:10 99:23	possible 56:14 57:19	prime 42:24	103:9,10,22
plant 53:16 152:12	118:23 130:19	principally 121:11	118:23 130:10
plants 137:16	131:24 148:13	principles 59:8	142:20 147:9
plateaus 82:9	poultry 5:12 18:3	prior 28:21 47:24	149:4
playing 39:9	24:9,13,14,21	62:11 74:22 90:17	producing 128:13
plaza 4:5,21	poultryman 87:18	91:10,23 114:22	product 53:24
please 16:17,25 17:8	practical 80:4	122:21 136:6	production 33:17
19:1,12 21:4 32:15	113:17 129:8	141:4 145:15	35:21 39:12,21
36:6 86:12 96:12	practically 86:24	151:18 154:7	40:18 41:7,9,15
108:6	practice 142:21	private 16:20 72:14	42:3,7,17,22 44:18
pll 11:13	practiced 53:19	probably 35:19	52:8,15,18 53:2,12
plotted 83:8	practices 43:17	77:22 95:15	59:22 60:1 63:4
plus 134:11	113:20	104:23 109:13,16	66:20 83:9 87:17
point 21:2 35:24	pre 62:11 66:17	109:21 111:11	87:20 100:7
45:21 55:9 59:12	predominantly 31:8	118:11,20 121:25	109:22 110:2
59:24 61:21,24	prefer 126:4	122:1,3 132:9	127:7 128:4,7,8,9
84:12 85:8 87:13	prepare 28:19 29:22	136:14 146:13	131:19,22 143:7,8
89:2 110:14,15,17	30:2 33:8 93:3	148:11 150:5	146:4 152:5
113:3 118:2	99:20	problem 34:7 41:19	products 1:4 2:4
120:25 121:2	prepared 29:21	42:23,25 45:13	16:15
122:8,18,19	30:24 64:5 90:14	48:10,11 96:23	profitability 37:9
123:17 124:16	present 17:7 25:6	127:22 137:18	80:12 87:19 98:24
141:8,11,12 143:3	112:24 115:1,7	problems 47:15	143:8,9,10
150:5 152:7	presentation 75:9	151:15	profitable 51:2 63:7
pointed 58:10	115:10,15 116:10	procedure 77:4	profits 41:24 44:17
pointing 55:21	presentations 34:16	142:7	51:3 96:1 110:11
	-	-	

110 01 100 11	104.10	1 100 11 100 15	(7.24.69.1.2.2.2
110:21 138:11	124:13	quick 128:11 129:16	67:24 68:1,2,3,3
142:21	publications 71:1	quinn 3:4 18:5	70:5 75:12,20 77:4
program 35:13,15	publicly 124:24	quinnemanuel 3:10	93:1 99:22 101:2
35:17 36:3 40:17	publish 27:5 83:17	quota 54:24 63:19	104:16,18,24,25
41:3 59:14,16 60:2	83:19	quotas 63:18,22	107:15,17 115:20
60:18 64:19 100:6	published 83:7,15	quote 48:3 117:23	116:11 134:16
102:5 106:7	124:16,19	quotes 105:18	135:21
110:12 140:9,11	publishing 83:16		receive 57:13 70:25
140:12,17 141:2	pull 83:4 91:15	<u>R</u>	received 22:5 73:15
142:3	pulled 83:7	raise 77:1	74:5 79:14 92:17
programs 40:21	pullets 76:2	raised 130:2,8	92:19 105:13
100:18 101:1	purchaser 2:13 3:3	ranch 10:13 17:21	106:24 114:21
115:17	3:12 4:3 16:10	randolph 11:7	recess 61:17 108:13
progress 101:3	18:6,9	range 45:1 90:3	114:10
102:15	purpose 30:8 31:14	127:17 128:1,3	recessive 125:13,14
progressing 78:20	41:2	146:5	125:16
prohibited 151:24	purposes 23:3	rate 41:16 134:2,10	recognize 38:1 40:3
151:25	put 29:18 36:14	rates 128:19	44:19 110:6
project 22:7	46:8 72:21 84:25	ratio 52:12,16	recognized 31:23
projected 29:23	90:19 91:5 102:1	rayle 4:12 18:13,13	39:19 56:17 59:12
projecting 31:14	102:12 103:7	reach 133:9 142:22	59:24 115:23
projection 146:21	104:11 107:23	reached 60:6	recognizes 33:16
146:24	116:13 131:6,20	reactions 49:9	recollection 71:12
projections 15:20	133:13 135:3	read 43:21 81:20	93:15 101:1
promised 145:16	144:13	86:8 95:9,18 96:11	107:20 112:20
proposal 63:17	putting 90:6,6 98:21	96:13 105:4 106:1	115:4
102:7 136:9	110:5 145:19	107:13 111:19	recommend 40:21
propose 99:23		153:3	46:2 99:12 109:5
proposed 133:5,9	Q	readily 36:21 80:19	113:20 143:24
136:3 138:13	question 20:12,23	reading 112:12	recommendation
140:5	26:19 31:20 32:14	realistic 80:4 113:18	46:10,24 50:17,22
proposition 69:22	43:15 48:8,19	realized 63:10	60:16 79:25 88:25
proven 138:10	49:20 56:4 57:6	really 43:14 49:12	89:3 90:21 98:19
provide 28:6 29:3	58:8,9 60:9 66:6,9	66:10 92:7 101:6	98:22 111:18,24
34:1 35:11 64:17	67:21 69:16 72:1	107:21	118:22 119:2,6,8
provided 34:4	75:5 88:22 89:9,21	realm 97:24	119:11,20 124:3
104:20 124:4	89:25 90:15 91:8	reason 20:8 43:5	129:19
149:9	91:20 100:2 106:4	50:21 56:7 111:12	recommendations
provides 93:8 99:15	107:8,19 113:7	reasonable 93:9	14:17 51:8 75:16
providing 32:2	129:16 131:13	99:15 143:9,10	79:23 89:14 91:16
public 124:17	137:5,17 141:1	reasons 31:22 87:24	98:20 109:15
153:25	questions 20:12	111:13	110:18 116:22
publication 70:24	21:4,17 53:7 56:25	recall 35:8,11 50:17	117:18,24 118:10
71:3 105:4 124:12	121:8 145:10	57:9 58:9,9 60:5	118:19 120:21,21
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			l
120:24 129:21	referring 22:15	repeat 82:17,18	126:21 127:2
137:7	23:15,16 57:4 64:4	86:12	128:1,2,12,14
recommended	74:16 81:22 86:22	replacement 40:16	129:5,8
98:18 130:13	97:4 109:11 133:2	replicated 82:16	researchers 130:10
recommending 41:2	141:15 142:2	replications 82:17	resolving 83:1
78:23 88:1 97:12	143:2 148:2	82:17	response 70:12 82:9
record 16:5,19 17:8	refers 39:16 71:7	report 77:11,13	93:21 94:6 107:13
19:13 26:6 51:17	86:20 92:20 98:1	79:21 80:23 82:1	responsible 87:4
61:15,19 70:9 94:8	refresh 71:12	93:16 115:1 117:7	121:12
105:5 108:9,10,14	107:19 115:4	reported 1:21 101:4	rest 40:7 67:22
114:8,12 152:20	refreshed 112:19	reporter 2:17 16:8	95:14 101:9
152:21 153:5	regard 109:19	19:1 21:3 22:23	131:20
154:8	111:17 120:5	51:10 58:16 70:18	resting 129:2
recording 16:17	regarding 34:17	83:21 85:2 92:2	result 39:4 60:22
records 50:11	149:16	93:23 104:7 108:2	98:2 139:15
recycling 39:13,18	regents 18:19	114:14 116:15	150:21
53:5	regional 29:16	132:13 133:15	results 51:2 59:4
redding 6:13 17:14	regular 28:3 29:5	135:9 144:15	60:23 82:18,18
17:14	94:10 105:11	154:2	83:19 100:6 131:4
reddingw 6:18	regulation 50:1,2	reports 25:25 26:14	retained 28:6
reduce 39:25 44:16	regulatory 63:19	26:16 28:7,19,23	retainer 73:19,25
45:24 50:25 59:13	86:1	29:2,4,21,22 30:9	74:2,11,11
62:5 65:8 100:21	reimbursed 134:10	33:8 145:7	retire 23:19 24:7
139:17 141:19	reiterate 21:2	represent 119:12	retired 22:2,3 70:14
143:6,17 144:2	relate 30:25 37:6	representative 78:1	73:1
reduces 41:11 44:18	41:4 99:2 115:25 related 16:23 36:14	78:5	retiring 24:6
87:15,16 127:6 143:7		represented 117:9	return 45:5 93:9 99:15
= ' '	relating 38:3	reproduced 106:11	review 108:6 136:24
reducing 37:9 46:15 62:6 115:11	relationship 22:10 28:2,18 29:9 30:3	reputable 66:11	
135:25 138:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	request 16:10 93:12 99:21 103:25	reviewed 81:17 86:7 95:8
	30:19,24 33:16 50:9 51:2 52:21	136:19	93.8 richard 9:16 17:12
143:7,8 147:3,6 148:16			
reduction 35:13,15	60:12 68:5 145:4 relationships 33:18	requested 99:23 134:8 146:10	richardcampbell 9:22
35:17 36:3 37:7	relative 154:13		9.22 rid 97:7
62:9 115:16	release 53:11,14,17	require 118:24 128:25	right 18:5 21:9
147:20 148:14	released 124:24	required 69:11	23:17 27:22 29:14
refer 64:3		112:17 129:3	30:23 34:3,4 39:6
reference 52:12	remedying 41:19 remember 20:2	requirements	40:4,9,15 44:2,5
references 114:25	58:13 64:10 72:10	137:23 142:5	44:17 45:18 47:17
referendum 102:8,9	75:19 85:6 107:12	research 24:19,23	50:4 53:9 54:6,15
102:10,11	122:15 135:14	36:18 66:7,8,11,17	54:22,25 56:18,24
referred 24:6 29:2	removed 97:1	66:19 79:6 83:4,5	65:10,14 66:1 67:2
141:20	rendering 115:12	83:6 118:13 123:6	69:9 70:11 71:3
141.40	renucing 113:12	05.0 110.15 125.0	05.5 /0.11 /1:3

		•	
72:20 73:10 75:18	69:21 85:14,16	67:15 69:25 70:5	select 118:23
76:24 77:3 78:2	rules 20:6 47:6 48:4	71:16 72:6,23 73:6	selected 57:16
81:23 83:18,24	69:18 76:22 82:12	73:13,24 74:6,20	selecting 109:8
84:24,25 85:9 88:3	run 50:5 143:16	75:14 78:13 80:2	selfinterest 47:21
89:11,12 92:5 94:1	running 53:16	90:20 97:11	48:14 55:3
94:10,15,18,25	rw 6:3	108:23 110:9	send 57:14 146:8
95:20 96:1,10,16		117:25 119:3,14	147:15
96:20,23 98:4,17	S	120:7 121:3	sending 93:16
99:2 100:7 104:22	sake 45:22	124:14,15 151:20	sense 41:22,25
105:1,9,16,17	san 8:18	scientists 67:7 83:11	sensitive 16:19
106:5 107:4,6,17	sat 95:15	scott 4:12 11:23	sent 23:11 27:10,11
110:7,12,21	satisfied 90:25	16:7	86:18 94:13 95:13
111:18 112:21	satisfy 112:17	scotti 118:14 121:13	107:10,11 147:13
113:6,9,18 114:5	sauder 6:3	121:16	147:17
117:20,21 118:18	saw 115:22	se 78:22,22	sentence 87:6 112:6
119:24 120:10	saying 66:11 81:6,9	searched 64:12	112:7,9 123:10
123:5,13 124:7	82:23,23 94:18	seat 79:7	142:18 148:19
125:5,9 127:7	109:7 110:17	second 52:7 55:2	sentences 96:3
128:12 129:16,25	112:14 119:15	92:12 105:17	separate 97:17
131:21,22 133:13	137:4 139:5	111:25 113:3	126:16,18
136:1,8 137:4,25	140:20	123:10	separately 113:11
138:16 140:24	says 52:16,17 54:10	secondtothelast	september 116:23
141:1,10,17	55:3,7 59:2 73:4	94:24	136:11,12
142:14 143:18	87:21 88:5,10 92:9	section 75:25 121:12	series 29:19 38:11
144:13 146:16	96:2,25 106:6,8	129:17	85:15
147:1,4,10,18	112:3 113:23	see 19:23 53:6 61:22	served 72:21 73:8
149:6,10,16,20,24	115:9,15 116:6	63:7 67:3 71:5,19	73:12
152:18	117:5 118:7,18	78:20 84:22 87:3	service 36:19 88:1
righthand 71:16	119:25 121:4	88:24 90:3 92:22	services 5:12 18:3
72:5	123:5,10 124:25	92:23 93:5,10 94:5	145:17
riverside 1:15 2:14	127:2,20 128:24	95:6 96:4 99:17	serving 72:23
16:1,12 19:15 20:2	129:20 138:1,4,18	106:4,8,9,10	set 47:5 51:2 81:4
road 91:12	140:4,5 142:18	110:15 111:22	109:15 113:13
role 24:18,21 39:10	144:10 146:24	112:1,3,13 115:9	115:15 116:2
73:5	150:2 151:1 152:3	115:18 116:6	117:2,17 131:6
roles 33:24 34:1	152:16	118:22 120:3	154:5
roller 105:18	sbcglobal 4:17	122:2 123:5 133:8	sf003886 12:14 23:7
room 129:3	schemes 54:24	134:12 137:9	shapira 7:4
rooney 9:6	schrader 13:11 93:3	138:7,14,21	share 79:8,11,17
root 137:12	schwingler 10:5	142:24 147:25	shellings 53:25
rose 8:3 17:23	17:17,17	148:4 152:8	shes 83:16 118:15
roundys 11:3	science 82:21	seeing 84:22 107:15	shift 144:9
routinely 35:10	scientific 14:19	seen 51:14 132:20	shohl 4:20
rule 48:5,6 49:1	65:15,18 66:3,5	135:1	short 42:24 130:24
	•	•	•

122.6	11. 52.7.0.14.24	120 60 14 17	
132:6	slide 52:7,8,14,24	120:6,9,14,17	standpoint 29:15,16
shortest 130:14,18	54:15 56:12 57:16	122:11,17 123:6	58:21 65:4 69:10
131:14,20	57:17,24 58:10	123:10 124:4	83:11 136:15
shorthand 2:17	64:4,7	125:3,17,25 126:7	start 17:9 21:20
154:1,9	slides 51:22 116:2	126:9,14,16,17,19	23:9 25:12 26:13
shortly 106:16	slight 150:15	126:20,25 127:4	28:10,14 34:6
shouldnt 99:12	slow 71:24	127:11 128:22	60:15 103:14
show 84:23 128:25	socially 125:10,12	137:6,6 142:19	150:18,21
136:14 150:13	125:15,16	143:6,13	started 29:10 36:18
showed 60:14 79:13	society 66:18,22	sparboe 7:17	70:5 71:13 76:1
81:14	90:24,25 109:25	speak 21:3 57:4	103:8,13 131:18
showing 128:3	sociological 29:16	speaking 36:6 62:8	145:3 146:2,12
shown 127:4	solution 63:15,23	special 134:23	starting 126:6
shows 99:11	64:17	specialist 24:9,13,14	starts 101:25 136:25
shrunk 146:14	solutions 16:9	103:12	147:20
shut 143:19	solved 140:2	specialists 91:12	starvation 129:25
side 29:14,15 64:14	somebody 76:25	specific 56:1,1 85:22	149:20
71:17 72:5	77:7,8 103:25	98:21 136:19	state 19:12 24:15
sides 136:14,15	somebodys 102:1	137:6	27:8 28:25 32:14
sign 83:12 100:25	someplace 65:6	specifically 27:14	154:2
signed 122:5,6	139:18	37:7 99:19 104:16	stated 84:17
significant 44:21	somewhat 148:11	speculate 75:17	statement 114:1
significantly 127:6	sorry 26:3,10 35:2	speculation 44:24	150:10
sir 21:10	48:8 59:21 72:2	47:3 48:17 57:23	states 1:1 2:1 6:10
sit 129:12	73:22 86:11 105:2	97:15 101:22	14:12 17:15 20:1
sitting 109:21	110:14 134:6	141:5	27:12 35:2 43:1
situation 95:5,23	135:1 146:16	speech 51:21,24	50:25 51:1 60:18
six 20:4 62:10,11,15	sort 87:5 112:23	speeches 63:6 79:15	65:23 90:2 113:25
size 29:23 30:3,24	131:3	spelled 58:20 84:15	114:4,22 148:16
31:15,16,24 37:9	sought 43:12	spoke 52:2	statewide 24:9
38:21 42:3,6,22	sound 30:12 32:2	sponsorship 145:22	103:9,12
67:12 69:17 96:4,9	55:7 70:11	square 6:15 58:23	statistic 149:11
96:15 98:3 104:17	sounds 73:10	98:19 113:4 127:5	statistically 82:16
106:12 112:24	south 7:20 9:8 10:7	127:13,23 129:1	statistically 62.16 statistics 28:6 34:5,5
126:14 142:5	10:17	staff 24:14	95:10,12 149:10
144:3	space 36:13 44:12	stage 115:16	stay 54:10
sizes 65:12	44:16,20 45:14	stages 98:18,19	steig 3:5 18:5 23:2
skim 86:9 105:19,21	47:21 48:12 50:18	stages 98.18,19 stahl 10:14 17:21	58:13 61:9
106:2	59:14,16 60:1,9,19	stam 10.14 17.21 stamp 94:9	steigolson 3:10
slater 11:23 16:8	64:18 80:24 88:23	stamp 94.9 stand 50:18 88:22	stenographer 77:7
slaughter 115:12	89:9 90:15 91:4,8	89:9,21 90:15 91:8	stenographer 77.7 step 61:21 101:24
152:7,12	91:20 98:2,13,23	91:20 126:10	step 61.21 101.24 stephen 9:15 18:10
slaughtered 152:11	106:20 109:4,4	standard 113:8	stephenbrown 9:21
152:15	112:25 119:25	standard 113.8 standing 129:2	stephenbrown 9.21 steve 26:3
134.13	114.43 119.43	Standing 129.2	Sieve 20.3

4 4 4 1 1 1 0 1 2	11.16	12 1 14 25 50 10	60 16 72 20 76 12
stewart 4:11 18:13	11:16	43:1 44:25 50:18	68:16 73:20 76:12
stick 151:7	sullivan 3:4 18:6	61:11 64:11 66:7	talked 23:4 28:13
stocks 118:24	summarized 123:7	76:23 77:8 85:7,14	61:7 100:9 136:9
stop 20:13 21:16,22	summary 142:16	87:8 88:22 89:25	talking 34:25 36:5
26:23 72:23 89:5	supermarkets 11:3	90:14,14 107:9	39:1,3 46:4 49:14
store 77:22	supply 32:4,11,20	114:6,7 115:10	54:9 58:14,14
strains 118:7	33:9 34:2,17 38:2	120:5 132:2,10	59:21 65:12,25
street 2:14 3:16 4:22	38:17 39:2,6 40:20	134:20 152:18	66:18 69:23 76:8
5:7,16 6:5 7:20	41:4,14 47:17	taken 2:13 154:4	82:13 87:2 88:13
8:17 9:8,18 10:4,7	48:13 49:18,23	takenouchi 8:15	88:13 89:5 91:1
11:7,16 16:12	54:16,22 55:11,22	17:5 18:15,15 23:2	95:22 111:14
17:18	56:15 57:8 60:20	23:5,20,23 25:18	121:9 124:18,19
stress 44:7 85:12	62:6 63:16,24	25:20 26:15 27:15	127:15 132:4
stretch 21:14 69:12	64:18 93:7 96:23	27:20 30:6 31:17	140:11 142:4,5
69:17 70:1	99:14 100:17	32:5,12,22 33:4,10	148:6,8
strike 26:12 78:4	106:7 138:5	34:12 36:4,8 37:22	tape 108:8
stringent 137:23	142:22	38:4,9,18 39:22	target 100:3 139:20
stronger 50:18	support 35:12	40:13,22 41:5	142:12
88:22 89:9,21	suppose 75:15 83:3	42:18 43:6,18,20	task 138:19 139:6
90:14 91:7,20	supposed 56:25 67:3	44:23 45:19 46:18	140:5 141:6,17,22
studies 51:4 82:16	77:10,13 134:5	47:2,11,24 48:16	141:24
127:2,16 146:3	sure 32:17 34:24	49:19,24 50:23	taxes 50:13
study 90:1,1,2 91:13	45:16 50:20 51:14	53:21 55:13,23	taxpayer 135:6
127:16	58:20 61:12 64:21	56:22 57:11,22	teach 24:19,24
stuff 103:15	67:21 68:17 79:25	58:3 59:15 60:21	technology 114:3
subheading 138:4	83:10 100:19	64:20 65:21 66:13	teeth 87:20
subject 29:17 86:23	107:24 117:22	67:16 68:20 69:14	telephone 86:11,14
107:24 109:8	120:16 124:25	74:8,22 75:23 78:3	telephonic 5:20 6:19
115:11 147:2	138:15 139:5	78:7,15 85:10 88:4	8:11 9:16 10:11,21
subjects 146:6	144:3 145:9	90:16 91:9,22	11:20
submit 122:3,3	surplus 53:12 97:5,6	93:14 97:14	tell 43:8 67:4 79:4
submitted 14:18	survey 90:8	101:21 103:2	93:19 117:8 125:9
subscribed 153:22	surveys 146:3	106:25 109:1	144:2
154:16	sw 4:21	111:8 119:4	ten 73:10,11 85:18
success 49:3	sworn 153:22	120:11 122:12	131:1,5
successor 115:24	system 55:11,22	123:15 125:19	tend 30:17
suggest 100:4	56:15 57:8	127:8 130:4 136:5	tenminute 61:11
suggested 98:12	systems 54:16,22	138:24 140:3,15	tenyear 28:17
99:5		140:18 141:4	term 27:16 53:18
suggestion 98:5 99:1	table 15:19 29:8,23	143:4 145:14	88:15
99:8,10	31:15 59:8	148:3 151:17	terminate 22:9
suggestions 106:11	tables 94:17	152:1	terminated 28:13
suite 4:14 5:16 8:7	take 16:18 20:8 39:4	takes 62:11 90:8	termination 72:25
9:8,18 10:7,17	tane 10.10 20.0 37.4	talk 62:24 65:10	terms 41:24 133:2

	1	I	
134:25	121:18 125:22	87:25 91:14 97:20	88:17,18 89:13,16
terrible 49:8 105:24	126:4,5,17 127:10	97:21,23 102:14	92:24,24 97:20
testified 19:6	129:14 130:23	109:13,16 110:10	98:6,9 107:16
testifying 154:7	131:16 133:4,10	114:5 115:21	108:10,15 109:16
testimony 47:25	133:11 136:3	116:3 117:6	109:20 112:20,25
74:23 90:17 91:10	137:23 139:13	118:16 119:17	114:9,12 116:9
91:23 136:6 141:5	143:15,25 144:6,7	122:1,2,7,14	120:18 123:25
145:15 151:18	144:12 145:11,16	124:16 132:5	130:18 131:14
153:4,5	145:19 146:14	133:11,11 137:19	132:4 134:21
texas 7:13	148:7 149:3,6,19	137:21 140:19	136:4 138:16
text 99:7	151:1 152:2,10,16	150:11,18	139:10 144:10
thank 17:6 18:25	152:18	thinking 112:21	148:8 149:21
19:17 20:20 21:25	theoretically 26:25	113:24 115:24	150:5 152:21,23
36:7 41:24 58:17	thereof 154:11	122:4	154:5
70:23 82:6 86:14	theres 22:8 37:15	thinks 79:23	times 22:19 30:15
116:20 145:19	59:3 66:10 124:21	third 55:3 111:20,21	31:6 34:8,10,15,20
thanks 61:14	125:7	112:1,2,6,7,7,9,9	40:19 41:20 54:4
thats 20:12,16 21:12	theyll 90:10	136:21,24 142:18	99:22
23:13 31:5,23 34:4	theyre 46:15	thought 63:13 80:19	tire 21:20
35:18 36:11,16,17	theyve 54:25 143:21	80:21 81:13,14	title 24:10,10 25:11
37:10 41:19 44:4,7	thing 25:11 32:25	87:9 111:17	51:24
46:3 47:15 48:21	37:8 42:14 49:13	113:16 115:22	titled 52:15,24 53:1
49:6 52:1,2 53:7	50:3 87:22 91:15	120:7,9	54:16 84:10 95:1
53:18 54:2,8,11	97:18 106:1	thousand 146:13,15	tkennedy 10:20
56:6,16,17 57:2	119:18 120:15	three 29:5 37:1	today 18:21 20:7,12
60:3,15 62:19,23	124:22 143:5	45:23 48:21	21:3,9,15 22:1,17
62:24,25 65:5 66:5	144:1 146:12	124:21 126:9	26:25 31:7 44:5
68:13 69:24 70:16	147:6 149:1,3	150:19	60:16 76:8 81:20
73:20 75:4 80:23	150:19	threebird 45:6	85:17 108:23
82:9 83:12,18	things 32:10,19	throw 105:14	132:3 140:8
84:16,16,24 85:12	35:24 37:3,11	thrown 102:11	146:13 148:11
86:22 87:5,21 88:5	38:16 49:14 66:21	thumb 49:1	told 75:13 131:16
88:10,15 89:13,17	67:1 74:16 82:20	time 17:7 19:20 20:7	145:4,7
90:21 92:12,19	104:4 107:14	22:5,17,17 24:25	tool 50:8
94:4,6 96:2,21	110:11,25 111:2,7	24:25 27:23,23	tools 38:11
99:10,12,19,21	124:23 126:13	30:18 33:2,2,7,7	top 106:5 123:6
100:2,2 101:6,16	129:7 139:15	36:20 37:21,23	topic 52:2 57:20
102:15 105:7,11	think 19:24 22:14	41:10 55:10 56:18	topics 78:21
105:24 109:16	22:20 32:24 35:4	57:4,5,9 60:17	torres 8:14 18:16
110:24,24 111:13	47:12 48:18 51:7	61:4,5,11,16,19	total 45:9 54:7
112:14 113:14,15	54:20 55:15,17	63:1,12 71:23	62:20 78:22
113:24,25 114:2,5	64:22 76:15 77:8	74:12 75:6,6,21,25	112:25 115:11
115:25 117:12	79:2,7 81:6,9	79:8,8,17,18 81:10	totally 49:8 66:24
119:1,2,18 121:17	85:25 86:3,15	85:13,16 88:7,9,12	97:23 146:14

torrals (0.10	4 do 20-2	00.12 24 01.4 7 14	united 1:1 2:1 6:10
touch 69:19 touched 52:5 54:20	twoday 20:3 twothirds 150:6	90:13,24 91:4,7,14 91:19 93:4 98:6,8	
		,	6:10 12:20 14:5,12
143:1	type 19:22 22:6	99:23 100:9,16,24	17:15,15 20:1 22:9
track 49:4	23:14 28:19 61:2	104:19 105:4	27:11 35:2 43:1
trade 43:21	89:2 93:16 106:24	107:10 116:22	50:25 51:1 60:18
transcribed 154:10	146:1 149:1,3	117:3,9 118:2,19	65:23 70:24,25
transcript 153:3	typed 95:16	119:10,15 120:22	90:2 105:10 107:9
transcription	types 19:23 30:8	124:2,13,18,19	113:25 114:4,21
154:11	69:4,15 100:16	129:21 133:3,24	148:16
transition 87:1,8	typically 146:8	134:9 138:12	university 5:4 13:5
144:8		140:5 142:2 145:4	13:15,20 15:14
transportation	<u>U</u>	145:12,13,22	18:19 24:8,16,19
75:11 77:14	uap 26:20	147:13,15,17	unprofitable 63:9
travel 74:16 134:11	ucop 5:10	uepcertified 140:12	unquote 48:3
134:17	ue0064456 14:6	141:1	117:23
travis 10:15 17:20	105:6	ueps 26:14 92:21,25	unrealistically
tremendous 91:15	ue0064537 12:21	uhhuh 20:10,16,18	111:17,23
trimming 68:14	ue0208684 14:21	33:25 46:17 53:3	unrelated 74:20
118:7,10,19,25	116:23	54:19 61:6 86:19	upcoming 93:3
121:15	ue 0790540 133:20	93:11 95:24	update 13:7 15:16
trips 74:19,21	ue0 790542 15:12	100:11,14 106:21	84:7 135:18,22
trouble 62:21	ue0790640 15:11	138:8 139:24	145:24
trough 126:10	ue0 790641 15:11	148:1	urner 35:6
true 23:13 52:1	ue0840403 15:7	ultimate 81:12,13	urquhart 3:4 18:6
85:25 153:4 154:8	132:22	ultimately 82:24	usable 113:2
truthfully 21:17	uep 12:20 13:10	91:5 138:23 139:2	usda 152:12
try 26:18 32:10,19	14:5,17 23:18	139:3	use 32:3 42:16,21
57:3 58:13 63:3	25:17 26:1 27:10	unclear 20:12	48:7 49:4,12 51:7
81:7 121:8 125:9	27:13 28:7,20,21	underlined 138:18	60:1 82:25 83:11
141:22	28:23 29:3,10,22	underneath 106:10	88:2 113:12
trying 36:8 43:14	32:2,9,10,18,19	undersigned 154:1	137:15 141:14
50:10 56:3 64:22	33:2,7 34:8,16	understand 36:9	useful 37:12,13
tuesday 1:16 2:16	37:20 38:2 40:19	44:11 66:18 68:13	usem 34:21,22
16:1	43:3,4,9,16 44:8	86:15 93:12 125:4	35:12 115:1,7
turn 99:13 129:17	46:3 47:16 48:11	understanding	usems 43:16
turned 137:19	49:17,22 50:18	78:12,19 106:23	usually 30:11 53:24
tv 129:13	55:7,11,11 56:21	130:17 131:14	54:25
twelve 99:14	57:20 58:18 59:7	understood 20:9,23	
twice 76:15	61:4 63:17 67:18	44:1 66:16	V
two 6:15 9:7 31:12	67:20,25 73:14,18	unexpected 139:13	vague 27:16
36:23 45:6 67:10	73:25 74:18 75:1,6	139:14,15	vaguely 115:21
74:12,13 97:20	77:20,23 78:1,5	unfortunately	valid 82:16
105:11 144:23	79:21 86:20 87:25	144:22	values 104:2
148:15 150:17	88:22 89:8,20 90:9	union 113:23	valve 53:11,14,17
170.13 130.17		umon 113.23	
<u> </u>			

	Ī		ı
variable 49:13	29:18 45:13 47:5	38:8,10,16,22 55:4	wings 69:13,17 70:1
varies 65:24	48:11 56:6 62:2	55:21 56:4,7,15	129:14
variety 102:24	64:23 68:13 73:20	57:7 58:21 59:6	winndixie 11:3
vary 109:14	75:17 79:3 81:21	61:7 66:12,23	wise 80:24
verbally 21:5	83:14 86:8 97:21	68:11,19,21,23	wish 22:9 50:5
verbatim 113:24	97:22,22 101:10	69:1,24 78:21	wished 68:8
verify 134:24	101:24,24 102:12	79:22 99:2 108:21	withdrawal 130:1,2
veritext 11:23 16:9	113:12 114:6	111:9 112:18	130:8,14,18
versions 124:21	121:6 130:22	116:22 122:11	149:20
versus 56:2 65:13	132:10 139:21	123:13 129:24	witness 12:2 18:23
85:17 152:4	143:16 145:10	130:3,8 151:15	20:19 23:21 25:22
veterinarian 72:14	148:18	welfaretype 109:24	26:7,18 27:24
video 16:17 108:11	wanted 52:6 56:11	wellbeing 31:13	31:18 32:6,14 33:5
108:16	77:17 78:20	went 36:23,25 66:17	33:11 34:13 36:12
videographer 11:22	134:24 149:11	66:19 76:3 86:24	37:23 38:5,10,19
16:4 17:3,6 18:25	washington 8:8	86:24 89:23 124:1	39:23 41:6 42:19
61:15,18 108:9,14	wasnt 76:4 80:1,1	128:10 139:16	43:8,21 44:25
114:8,11 152:20	120:6 122:1 132:7	151:2	46:21 47:4,12
videorecorded 16:6	151:24	weve 19:24 29:25	48:18 49:20,25
videotaped 1:14	water 126:15	39:2 51:13 54:20	50:24 53:22 55:15
2:12	watering 126:15	60:11 61:7,10	55:24 56:23 57:12
view 88:7,9,10 111:5	wave 129:14	63:17 83:24 90:25	57:24 58:4,17
123:14 138:16	way 16:24 36:22,22	104:3 105:1 108:5	59:16 60:22 61:12
viewpoint 50:12,12	38:2,7,21 39:20	114:2,2 118:12	64:21 65:22 66:14
views 29:17 79:9,11	41:13,19 59:12,25	132:2,16 135:12	67:17 68:22 69:16
79:12,16,17	60:19 62:5 64:16	141:19 143:1	70:14 72:2 74:10
visible 35:19	68:6 73:21 80:6,7	144:18	74:24 75:24 78:8
voice 86:11,14	83:15 88:18,19	whats 23:1,22 25:19	78:17 85:11 86:15
voices 12:20 14:5	89:17 90:3,21	33:14 43:19 86:5	88:5 90:18 91:11
70:24,25 89:23	112:17 119:1	96:17 104:10	91:24 93:15 97:16
105:10 107:9	123:1 141:18,22	114:17 116:18	101:23 107:1
volume 33:17 44:18	145:19 149:21	133:18 143:2	111:9 119:5
46:15 53:17 60:11	152:3	151:3	120:12 122:14,24
60:12 62:20	ways 60:3,7 78:23	whereof 154:15	123:1,3,16 127:10
voluntarily 138:20	96:6,9,14 104:17	whispers 16:20	130:5 132:20
140:7,22 141:24	weaver 4:19	white 128:24	138:25 140:4,19
voluntary 55:2,17	week 27:2,4 143:20	whitney 6:13 17:14	141:6 143:5
100:18,19,22	weeks 42:11 105:11	wholesaler 11:4	145:16 148:4
votes 102:8	weigh 129:10,11	wide 90:3	152:2 154:15
vs 52:8,15	131:8	willing 46:24 47:9	witnesses 154:6
	weight 56:2 80:18	win 81:15	woman 121:17
<u>W</u>	131:7	windfall 138:12	wondering 58:7
wait 118:11	weighting 55:25	139:13,21	wont 62:21 125:16
want 26:4,8,9 27:17	welfare 14:8,18,20	wing 129:6	word 35:22 48:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

1			1
49:12 77:15,15	81:17 84:6,8 87:24	96:14 101:24	10119 4:6
99:6 110:22 114:4	105:22 108:20	107:9 110:17	104 14:5
114:4 124:17	110:24 132:25	111:25 121:9	108 14:8
141:14	152:3	127:18,21 137:2	11 14:17 61:16,19
words 33:23 47:19		140:11,19 141:15	105:7 108:10
51:19 52:22	X	143:3,17	116:14,19 142:14
work 24:3,21 28:24		youve 65:7 86:7	1100 4:21
29:1 32:2 38:1	<u>Y</u>	102:18,21,24	1111 5:7
39:4,19 40:11	yeah 51:14 68:12	103:4,24 112:16	11241 1:23 2:17
43:25 44:10 49:23	72:18 88:11,15	121:6 131:19	154:20
59:24 63:14 67:7	96:2,13 98:7,16		114 14:12
74:18 80:2,10,15	101:17 122:3	Z	116 14:17
83:15,16 91:16	123:21 128:16	zero 41:7,9,15	12 14:9 15:5,6,6,16
94:7 102:25 103:5	129:4,22 133:11		108:10,15 114:9
103:10,19 120:13	134:24 142:1,15	0	114:12 131:5
149:5	151:1,5,5,5	00 15:6,16 61:16	132:12,17,25
worked 29:9 35:20	year 26:21 41:17,17	95:15	12month 93:7
82:10,14,15	51:4 63:12 74:3	000 27:11 74:3	12th 71:9
102:18,21 103:4	76:15 85:14	103:10 133:6,7	13 12:13 15:9 23:10
working 27:2,24	109:14,14 115:12	01 2:15 16:2,5	133:14,19 154:17
28:21 30:12 47:16	131:3 133:6	02 15:21	1300 10:17
70:5 101:25	years 19:21 25:4	031 15:17	132 15:5
122:16 143:21	32:8,17 51:4,5	08md0200 1:6 2:6	133 15:9
world 122:18	52:3 62:17,24	16:16	135 15:14
worldwide 65:23	70:14 73:10,11	09 12:13	13th 71:10
122:15	76:13 79:5 85:11	1	14 15:14 52:24,25
worried 46:12	85:12,16,18 95:18	1 2:15 12:12 13:12	131:11 135:8,13
worth 134:22	102:22 132:9	22:22 23:1,6,19	140 82:10
wouldnt 60:24 69:9	134:15 143:22	24:2 27:11 52:12	1400 11:16
79:4 89:5 91:14	york 3:8,8 4:6,6,15	52:16,17,19 54:4	144 15:19
99:3 112:15	4:15	70:7 73:1 84:19,21	15 13:7 15:19,21
119:21,23 127:23	youd 28:15	92:11,18 108:12	19:21 52:16 61:19
wound 120:16,17	youll 35:14	113:1 115:9	74:3 98:9 133:7,10
133:10	younger 76:2	133:11 134:4,19	133:12 144:14,18
wright 8:4 17:22	youre 21:1 22:14	134:22 148:13	146:17
write 27:5 97:22	23:15 30:12 42:10	154.22 146.15	150 10:7 65:24
writing 145:13	42:13 50:10 53:16	10 2:15 4:22 14:12	82:11
written 23:10 28:22	55:9,20 58:4,12		15219 7:6
56:23 85:6 89:10	62:14 64:3 67:2,5	16:2,5 41:16,18 114:13,18	154 13:7 84:9
89:14 90:12	69:24 71:25 74:15	100 31:5 144:9,11	15th 145:2
118:14 121:13	77:10,11 79:23	100 31:3 144:9,11 10006 4:15	16 2:15 108:15
139:10 145:21	81:6,9,22 84:22	10006 4:15 10010 3:8	130:25 131:12
wrong 49:2,4 113:9	86:9 88:12,13	10010 3:8 101 8:17	152:21,23
wrote 35:21 53:11	94:18 95:22 96:12	101 0.1 /	1604 3:16
	l		I

16th 9:8	152:13	105:21 106:5	459 14:6
17 13:17 86:18	20006 8:8	110:17 112:12	462041750 5:17
18 133:6,9	2001 22:4 24:6,7,11	113:1 149:7,8	4634928 4:24
18th 114:22 115:2	25:5 149:24	30 42:11 79:5 89:19	48 89:16 98:9,11,12
19 12:6	150:14 151:10	131:3	
19102 9:9	2002 1:5 2:5 15:21	300 5:16 11:7	5
19103 3:17 6:16	16:16 145:2	3000 6:15	5 13:10 52:12 85:1,5
19104 6:6	150:24 151:1,3,10	31 15:16 135:16,18	86:5
1919 8:7	152:13	312 9:20 10:19 11:9	50 9:8 65:24 66:11
1958 25:14 28:22	2008 124:20	31295 51:17	85:20 101:5
1963 36:19	2009 23:10,18,19	317 5:18	102:15 122:16
1983 146:2	24:2 28:13 73:2,9	3200 9:8	500 8:7 134:10,18
1990 55:10	2010 117:14 124:20	33 149:13	500perday 134:16
1990s 56:14 90:13	2013 1:16 2:16 16:1	3357023 10:9	501 4:14
1992 12:17 51:22	16:6 108:11,15	3383344 7:7	51 3:7 12:16
56:23	202 8:9	3500 2:14 16:11	510 5:9
1994 84:8 85:6	208703 116:24	353 9:18	513 11:18
1995 86:18	20th 16:6 108:11,15	354 14:15	539 12:21
1997 89:11	210 117:14	35th 7:5	542 133:21
1999 70:8,10 71:4	2100 7:12	36 114:12	55 25:4 51:4,5 52:3
72:7,16 73:9 92:11	212 3:9 4:16	37 54:15,17 56:12	55402 7:21 10:8
92:18 94:15 95:2	214 7:14	64:7,8	55year 60:16
108:21 117:19	215 3:18 6:7,17 9:10		5796400 11:18
	22 12:12,20 14:9	4	5918 19:14
2	2200 7:19	4 12:13 13:5,7 15:21	6
2 12:16,20 13:22	224 10:17	52:12,16,19 54:4,8	
14:5 51:9,13,16	22nd 3:7 71:4	83:20,25 98:1	6 13:15 70:22 92:1,6
52:19 54:1,2,4,4,7	108:21	113:1 120:16,25	120:14 124:1
54:7 64:4,7 69:22	23 114:9 154:17	121:2,4,5 122:19	149:11
96:25 103:10	2300 10:7	123:22,25 124:4	60601 11:8
108:16 110:14,15	2371144 5:18	124:17,25 137:25	60604 10:18
110:17 113:1	25 103:10 143:21	40 42:11 79:5 89:19	606543456 9:19
134:19,19	250 133:11 134:4	131:3	6081900 4:16
20 1:16 2:16 16:1	250 s 134:22	400 74:24 103:8	60s 60:14
27:2,3 45:25 48:21	2700 5:16	404 15:7 132:23	61 4:14
67:6 79:5 97:17,20	2777 144:25	412 7:7	612 7:22 10:9
98:10 139:11,18	2929 6:5	415 8:19	64459 105:6
144:3,4 153:23	295 12:18	42 14:10 108:7	646 4:7
2000 14:20 95:4	29th 92:10	43 13:8 84:3	65 128:25
114:23 115:2	2nd 3:16 94:15	4354 114:19	6554335 8:19
116:23 132:25		45 89:17 102:22	6607604 10:19
135:18 136:10,11	3	4500 9:18	6653884 9:10
136:12,13 149:23	3 12:20 13:17 70:17	452023752 11:17	67 58:23 65:13
150:13,25 151:4	70:21 84:3 95:15	45402 4:23	81:19 82:8,9,24,25
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

89:14 98:14	9
127:17,18 128:5	9 14:8,20 54:3 108:1
69 148:6	108:5,19
6983279 7:14	90 31:6 101:6
7	90s 64:5
7 13:12,20,22 93:22	92 13:15 122:22
94:2 104:13 152:7	92501 16:13
70 12:20 101:5,16	92506 19:16
101:19 102:15	93 13:20
127:20 150:6	937 4:24
703 14:21	94 13:7
709 13:23	94111 8:18
72 59:2,5 65:13,19	94607 5:8
69:10 70:2 80:21	95 13:17
81:13,15 82:8,23	9778415 7:22
98:18,18 112:21	98 129:20
112:23 113:1,4,8	9814714 6:17
127:5,13,14,21	9853270 3:18
	9879895 5:9
128:4,13,17,20	99 12:20 13:12,22
7335727 4:7	14:5,9
752016912 7:13	9942421 6:7
7709 94:9	
777 15:22	
7783056 8:9	
788 13:13	
O	
8	
8 14:5,5 52:7,14	
104:6,11 105:2,2	
154:17	
80 7:20 97:17	
101:17,19 127:18	
139:12 141:25	
143:16 144:9	
148:24	
83 13:5 128:25	
8407282 9:20	
8497152 3:9	
85 13:10	
86 127:17,18	
8613735 11:9	
88 92:14	
8th 5:7 7:20	
	<u>-</u>